qHV 7245 •A44 1934

JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS 1934



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DANIEL C. ROPER, SECRETARY

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

WILLIAM LANE AUSTIN, DIRECTOR



JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS 1934

Statistics Relating to the Disposition of Defendants in Criminal Cases Definitely Before Trial Courts of General Criminal Jurisdiction in 25 States

PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF DR. LEON E. TRUESDELL

Chief Statistician for Population

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1936

CONTENTS

	Page
IntroductionAdministration of criminal justice	- 1
Crime Surveys	
Methods of collection	- 2
Scope of the etatistics	- 2
Difficulties of comparison	. 2
Procedural outcome	. 3
Summary of procedural disposition (table 1) Pending cases (table 2)	- 3 - 3 - 4 - 5
Offense classification (table 3)	- 4
Eliminations without conviction (tables 4 and 5)	. 5
Dismissals by the prosecution (tables 6 and 7)Acquittals by jury (tables 8 and 9)	- 7
Convictions (tehle 10)	- 10
Pleas of guilty (table 11)	- 10
Convictions by courts and juries (tables 12 and 13)	. 11
Trial (tables 15 and 16)	13
Disposition of defendants by offense (tables 17 to 23)	- 14
Sentence or treatment	- 17
Sentences imposed on convicted offenders (tables 24 to 30)	- 17
Summary and conclusions	- 22
DETAILED TABLES	
Table	
31. Defendants in criminal cases definitely before trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by	r
procedural outcome, by States: 1934	- 24
offense, by States: 1934	- 24
33. Percent distribution, by offense, of defendante in criminal cases disposed of by trial courts of	
general criminal jurisdiction, by States: 1934	26
35. Percent distribution, by offense, of defendants charged with the major offenses and disposed of	21
by trisl courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by States: 1934	29
36. Defendants in criminal cases disposed of by triel courts of general criminal jurisdiction in 25 States, by procedural outcome and offense: 1934	. 30
37. Percent distribution, by procedural outcome, of defendants in criminal cases disposed of by trial	
courte of general criminal jurisdiction in 25 States, by offense: 1934	31
58. Defendants in criminal cases disposed of by trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by procedural outcome and offense, by States: 1934	32
39. Percent distribution, by procedural outcome, of defendants in criminal cases disposed of by trial	
courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by offense, by States: 1934	59
40. Number and percent distribution of defendants in criminal cases disposed of by trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction, without conviction, by method of disposition, by States: 1934	
41. Number and percent distribution of defendants convicted, by method of conviction, by States: 1934	70
42. Number and percent distribution of cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by	
States: 1934	
offense, by States: 1934	73
44. Percent distribution, by offense, of defendants found guilty and sentenced by trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by States: 1934	74
45. Percent distribution, by offense, of derendants found guilty of the major offenses and sentenced	
by trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by States: 1934	
States, by sentence or treatment and offence: 1934	77
47. Percent distribution, by sentence or treatment, of defendants found guilty and sentenced by trial courts of general oriminal jurisdiction in 25 States, by offense: 1934	78
48. Defendants found guilty and sentenced by trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction, by	
sentence or treatment and offense, by States: 1934	
general criminal jurisdiction and sentenced, by offense, by States: 1934	107
APPENDIX	
	115
Summary analysis of judicial criminal statistics of Rhode Island and Vermont	117

JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS, 1934

INTRODUCTION

ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. -- There is a widespread dissatisfaction with the administration of criminal justice in this country. It has been felt for a long time that too many guilty offenders escape merited punishment. The defects of criminal justice have inspired numberless books, magazine and newspaper articles, and speeches. The technicalities of our antiquated criminal procedure, the inefficiency of the jury system, the abuses of the insanity defense, and "politics", are some of the favorite subjects of attack. Innumerable panaceas have been recommended to remedy these and other so-called defects of our system of administering criminal justice. The discovery of defects and the prescription of remedies, however, have usually been based on the observation of a small number of sensational cases. But every year hundreds of thou-sands of criminal cases come to the attention of police, prosecutors, courts, and juries. These routine cases must be studied if adequate knowledge of American criminal justice is to be had.

CRIME SURVEYS. -- A technique for such study was developed by the crime surveys which were made in various parts of the country beginning with the Cleve-land Survey of 1919. The surveys usually selected a large number of felony cases which were disposed of by law enforcement agencies during a specific period. They followed these cases through the various stages in the procedure and sought to determine the methods used in disposing of them and to allocate the responsibility of law enforcement agencies for their disposition. These surveys tremendously enriched our fund of knowledge of the processes of criminal justice. They demonstrated very clearly the possibility and the desirability the routine activities of law enforcement agencies by statistical measuring

Criminal justice surveys, however, provided only a picture of conditions in the community at the time the survey was made, whereas conditions may be radically different the year following. Indeed, changes may have occurred as the result of putting into effect the recommendations of the survey. In order to keep acreast of the changes in criminal justice a continuous record of the activities of law enforcement agencies is necessary. Surveys were also concerned primarily with the administration of justice in urban centers. Rural areas were neglected. But there may also be acute problems of rural crime control. No picture of criminal justice is complete without the inclusion of data from rural areas. Survey statistics, moreover, were not all compiled on similar bases, making comparisons between different parts of the country very difficult. But there is a definite advantage in comparing the work of enforcement agencies in different parts of the country.

ANNUAL COLLECTION OF DATA.--These limitations of the surveys could be overcome only if State-wide statistics were collected from law enforcement agencies, annually, on uniform bases in the various States. As one step toward supplying the need for such statistics the Census Bureau, under authority of an Act of Congress, inaugurated an annual collection of judicial criminal statistics. In 1932, the first year for which the collection was made, 16 States, including the District of Columbia, contributed data; 1/ the returns for 1933 covered 24 States, 2/ and those for 1934, 27 States. 3/ The area covered by this report contains approximately 42.8 of the population of the United States.

1/ These States were: Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Idaho, Iowa, Kanses, Maryland, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Carolina, Obio, Vermont, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

2/ These States were: Arizona, Colorado, Delaware, District of Columbia, Idaho, Iowa, Kanses, Michi-, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, gan Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

These States were: Arizone, California, Colorado, Connacticut, District of Columbia, Idaho, Iowa, Kanses, Michigan, Minnesote, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Merico, North Dakote, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wieconsin, and ming. The statistice do not include figures for the following counties and cities: ARIZONA - Moheve and Yuma counties. CALIFORNIA - Calaveras, Kern, Riverside, San Diego, Wyoming.

IZONA - Moheve and Yuma counties. CALIFORNIA - Caleveras, Kern, Riverside, San Diego, end Santa Clara counties. COLORADO - Conejos, Moffat, San Juan counties. IOWA - Buena Vista, Cerro Gordo, Clayton, Jasper, Louisa, Kontgomery, Focahontas, Polt, Wepello, Weahington, and Woodbury counties. MICHIGAN - Mecosta county. MISSOURI - Cass, Cole, Cooper, Dallas, Dunklin, Greene, Howard, Iron, Leclede, Lawrence, Maries, Ozark, Phelpe, Platte, Polk, Bandolph, Reynolde, St. Frencois, Taney, and Texas counties, and St. Louis city. OREGON - Klameth and Multicomah counties. VERMONT - Grand Isle county and Rutland city. WASHINGTON - Ferry and King counties. WISCONSIN - Eastern district of Municipal Court of Meukesha county. WYOMING - Converse county.

METHODS OF COLLECTION. -- The survey statistics were usually based on data specially collected by investigators, from original records, at great expense. The statistics collected by the Census Bureau, however, are obtained through the voluntary cooperation of court clerks in the various States. The statistics are entered upon two uniform tally sheets, one of which relates to procedural disposition and the other to the sentences imposed on convicted offenders. In each State, a State official or other responsible person supervised the collection of the data for the Census Bureau and examined and approved the reports for the individual courts. This supervision was of great assistance to the Bureau, assuring greater accuracy in the statistical reports. The basic figures for each State were issued on rotaprinted sheets as soon as they were compiled by the Census Bureau.

disposition of This report analyzes the procedural the criminal charges 157,910 defendants who appeared before the courts in 1934 and the senagainst tences imposed upon convicted offenders. The report was prepared under general supervision of Dr. Leon E. Truesdell, Chief Statistician for Population. The text was written by Mr. Morris Ploscowe. Dr. Alba M. Edwards supervised the

collection of the data.

SCOPE OF THE STATISTICS. -- Most of the defendants appeared before courts of general criminal jurisdiction, which are the principal courts for the trial of felonies in each State. The Bureau intended in 1934, as in previous gather data from such courts only. Unfortunately, the reports for a few States included cases from some inferior courts. These courts cannot be compared with courts of general criminal jurisdiction. Inferior courts deal chiefly with misdemeanors. Their function in felony cases is to hold preliminary hearings in order to decide whether the evidence against the accused is sufficient to justify holding him for the grand jury or for trial. Courts of general criminal jurisdiction, on the other hand, try felonies and finally dispose of them. They have a more or less extensive misdemeanor jurisdiction in many States, but their principal concern is with felonies.

Because of the lack of comparability of inferior courts and courts of because of the lack of comparability of interior courts and courts of general criminal jurisdiction, it was necessary to exclude Rhode Island and Vermont from this analysis, although they reported to the Bureau in 1934, since most of the data they furnished were from the inferior courts. These States are separately analyzed in the Appendix (p. 115). The statistics from Connecticut, North Dakota, Oregon, Utah, and Wisconsin, also included some inferior court cases, but the proportion of such cases is so small that comparisons of these with other States are not vitiated.

DIFFICULTIES OF COMPARISON. -- Even with the data limited to courts of general criminal jurisdiction, difficulties of comparison are encountered. There are wide differences in the jurisdiction of these courts. Some handle misdemeanor cases only on appeal, some deal with them in the first instance, and some do both. Interstate comparisons are also complicated by differences in the both. ${\underline y}$ Interstate comparisons are also complicated by differences in the definitions of offenses and in the rules of the substantive criminal law. The statistics analyzed in this report are gathered in each State on the basis of a uniform classification of offenses. But the categories of this classification do not cover the same kinds of conduct in every State. Differences in legal provisions as to self-defense, for example, make it possible for a man to be convicted of murder or manslaughter in one State and acquitted in another for a thilling arising out of similar circumstances. Where a man enters a house without breaking, commits a theft therein, and then has to break out in order to escape, the offense may be burglary in one State and only larceny in another. There are also considerable procedural differences between States. A case "distinguished by the procedure by the procedure by the procedure of the missed by the prosecution" may be a disposition by the prosecutor himself, or a disposition by the prosecutor with the concurrence of a judicial officer, depending upon the laws of the particular State.

However, differences in the jurisdiction of courts, the definition of offenses, and procedural rules, do not render interstate comparisons impossible. Similarities are more fundamental than differences. It is this fact which makes

possible national criminal judicial statistics.

4/ Even within the confines of a single State jurisdictional annerences exist in courts of the same general class. In Wisconsin, for example, the circuit courts have power to hear and determine "all cases of crime and misdamsenor of every kind not exclusively cognizeble by a justice of the peace or some other inferior court." Wisconsin Statutes (1935) 252.03. But by special laws, some, but not all, of the municipal courts have been given a varying jurisdiction over major offensee. Compare Ch. 43 and 548 of the Wisconsin laws of 1935 and 254.04 of the Wisconsin Statutes.

5/ The list of offensee conforms to that in use by the Burseu of the Census in its annual reports on "Prisoners in State and Federal Prisons and Reformatories." This list was formulated through the cooperation of the Census Burseu and the Burseu of Investigation of the Department of Justice. The offense groups ere presented in abbreviated form, but tally sheets furnished to the court clerks gave detailed offenses under each group, and these are reproduced on page 119.

The statistics analyzed in this report provide only a partial insight into the administration of criminal justice, because the Census Bureau has no statistics on the cases eliminated by the preliminary hearing, the grand jury, or the prosecutor's refusal to file an information, by nor on the tremendous mass of misdemeanors disposed of by inferior courts. The statistics cover only cases which survived the earlier stages in the procedure and which came definitely before the trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction.

The statistics have considerable value although only part of the field of

criminal justice administration is covered. Defendants charged with serious crimes come before the courts of general criminal jurisdiction. Since their cases survived the earlier stages of the procedure, there is considerable likelihood that the charges against them are justified. The statistics indicate what happened to these cases in the 25 States covered by this report. They make it possible to answer such questions as the following: How many cases were eliminated without conviction and by what agencies? How many defendants were tried by jury or by the court without a jury and with what results? How many defendants were convicted? Were convictions on plea of guilty or after trial? Were convictions of the offense charged or of lesser offenses? What sentences were imposed on convicted offenders?

Statistics, however, no matter how complete, cannot tell us all that we need to know about the administration of criminal justice even in the courts covered by this report. Statistics record phenomena; they do not explain them. Explanations of statistical phenomena must be sought by realistic observations of the agency studied. The statistics may show, for example, that 50 percent of the jury cases in a particular State ended in acquittal. This may have been due to the fact that an undue proportion of weak cases were passed on to the jury lower courts and the grand jury. Prosecutors may have pushed all cases without much reference to their chances of success at the trial. Cases may have been poorly prepared and inadequately presented at the trial. Juries may have been unsympathetic to the enforcement of the law in particular localities. Any or all of these causes may have been operating in the State. But their presence cannot be determined from the statistics. The statistics would suggest simply that something may be wrong when 50 percent of the jury trials end in acquittal. The attempt to find out what is wrong would have to be made by nonstatistical methods.

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME

The cases against 17,982 defendants, or 11.4 percent of the 157,910 who came before the courts, were still pending and awaiting final disposition at the end of the year (see table 1). Cases against 139,928 defendants, or 88.6 percent of the total, were finally disposed of during the course of the year. Of these defendants, 42,563, or 30.4 percent, were eliminated without conviction and 97,365, or 69.6 percent, were convicted. The great bulk of these defendants, 92,911, or 66.4 percent, were convicted of the offense as charged. Only 4,454 defendants, or 3.2 percent, were convicted of a lesser offense than the one originally charged. The cases against 17,982 defendants, or 11.4 percent of the 157,910 who came

TABLE 1. - SUMMARY OF PROCEDURAL DISPOSITION IN 25 STATES: 1934

	Number	Percent
Defendants before the court in 25 States	157,910 17,982 139,928	100.0 11.4 88.6
Defendants disposed of during year	139,928 42,563 92,911 4,454	100.0 30.4 66.4 3.2

PENDING CASES .-- It will be evident as we proceed in this analysis that general averages for 25 States are not very enlightening because of the variations between States. Table 2 shows these variations in the percentages of cases pending at the end of the year. One quarter or more of all the cases that came before the courts were pending at the end of the year in Wyoming, District

^{6/} An information is a formal charge of crime, made on the sole responsibility of the prosecutor. It differs from the indictment in that it is not voted by the grand jury.

Missouri----

of Columbia, New Mexico, Arizona, North Dakota, and Montana. Less than 10 percent, however, were pending in Minnesota, California, Utah, Wisconsin, Connecticut, and Pennsylvania.

STATE	Total defend- ants	CASES PENDING AT END OF YEAR		STATE	Total defend- ants		CASES PENDING AT END OF YEAR	
	before	Number	Percent		before	Number	Percent	
Twenty-five States-	157,910	17,982	11.4	Cregon	1,328	209	15.7	
				Colorado	2,642 2,730	413 414	15.6 15.2	
Wyoming	600	176	29.3	South Dakota	1,230	169	13.7	
District of Columbia	2,476	724	29.2	Kansas	4,317	576	13.3	
Naw Mexico	1,634	474	29.0	Michigan	6,344	770	12.1	
Arizona	1,305	352	27.0	Iowa	3,917	443	11.3	
North Dakota	1,384	357	25.8	Idaho	665	68	10.2	
Montane	961	240	25.0	Minnasota	3,370	310	9.2	
Ohio	13,125	3,266	24.9	California	11,369	980	8.6	
New Hampahire	682	155	22.7	Utah	553	41	7.4	
Washington	2,466	502	20.4	Wisconsin	24,370	1,445	5.9	
New Jersey	12.404	2.219	17.9	Connecticut	1.919	111	5.8	

TABLE 2. - CASES PENDING AT END OF YEAR. BY STATES: 1934

The amount of judicial business in a State does not bear any necessary relation to the ability of its courts to clear their dockets. States like Wyoming, New Mexico, Arizona, North Dakota, and Montana, with relatively few cases to dispose of, led in the percentage of cases pending at the end of the year, whereas Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and California, which have much more judicial business, are at the bottom of the table. This may be due to the fact that a very much larger percentage of cases in Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and California are disposed of by courts located in urban areas. Such courts sit more or less continuously. Courts in rural areas, on the other hand, hold their sessions only at stated periods, usually four times a year. The statistics are for the calendar year. But considerable time may elapse between the last previous session of the court and the end of the calendar year. Thus there may be an accumulation of cases which are pending and undisposed of when the statistics are compiled.

17.0

Penneylvanie----

48,390

2,251

4.7

1,317

7,729

The courts in States like Wyoming, Arizona, and Montana, moreover, are called upon to dispose of relatively high percentages of major offenses (see table 3). Major offenses in general require more time for their disposition than minor offenses.

OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION. -- The kinds of cases handled by law enforcement agencies have a direct bearing on the results achieved(see table 17). Some criminal charges are more difficult to establish than others. In rape, for example, the laws of many States require that the testimony of the complaining witness be corroborated by independent evidence. Such corroboration is not required for other offenses. Public opinion is also more hostile to convictions for some offenses than for others. In many cities there is a prejudice against the enforcement of liquor, gambling, and prostitution laws, which is undoubtedly reflected in the decisions of the judges and jurymen.

Thus, when the work of law enforcement agencies is compared, allowances must be made for differences in the kinds of cases handled. Otherwise comparisons would be based upon unlike units. Complete statistics on the number of defendants in each State charged with each one of the 26 offenses in the offense classification will be found in tables 32 and 33. In table 34 the offenses are ranked in order according to the number of defendants disposed of. It is evident from table 34 that certain offenses, such as burglary and larceny, form a substantial portion of the judicial business in every State. These offenses have a consistently high ranking. Burglary ranks first in 11 Statesy/ and larceny in 9 States. The highest ranking for these two offenses in any State is 7. On the other hand, murder, manslaughter, prostitution and commercialized vice, carrying weapons, violation of drug lawsy/ form only a small part of the judicial business of courts of general criminal jurisdiction. Other offenses, however, are not so consistently distributed.

There are wide variations between States in the ranking and hence in the number of charges for aggravated assault, rape, auto theft, violation of liquor

^{7/} Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Idaho, Michigan, Nebraske, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Ohio, Utah.

^{8/} Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakote, Washington, Wycming.
9/ In California, however, violation of the drug laws is third in rank.

laws, driving while drunk, and disorderly conduct. To some extent these variations reflect differences in the types of crime committed in each State. New Mexico and Arizona, for example, have much larger proportions of Mexicans in their population than Nebraska. Mexicans tend to be arrested for crimes of violence to a much greater extent than other races.10/ This may explain why the ranking for aggravated assault is 2 in New Mexico and Arizona and 15 in Nebraska. The variations also reflect differences in the kinds of law which are enforced. More liquor law violations are to be expected under a prohibition law than under a law which permits the manufacture and sale of liquor. It is not surprising then that liquor law violation is first in rank in Kansas and North Dakota, prohibition States, and 18th in California, a State that has legalized liquor.

The sufficiency of law enforcement agencies also determines the types of cases coming before the courts. A State with a special police force charged with detection of violations of liquor laws, for example, would tend to have more liquor cases coming before its courts than a State where liquor law enforcement devolves upon the regular police. This may explain why liquor law violation is first in rank in Iowa and 17th in Montana, although both States have set up monopolies in the sale of package liquor. Iowa is better supplied with agents specially appointed for liquor law enforcement than Montana.

Table 3 presents data on the number and percentage of defendants in the various States charged with major offenses. Murder, manslaughter, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, auto theft, embezzlement and fraud, receiving stelen property. Forcery, rape violations of drug laws, and carrying

Table 3 presents data on the number and percentage of defendants in the various States charged with major offenses. Murder, manslaughter, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, auto theft, embezzlement and fraud, receiving stolen property, forgery, rape, violations of drug laws, and carrying deadly weapons, are considered major offenses for the purposes of this table. It is evident that some State courts handle a much larger percentage of major offenses than do others. Three-fourths or more of the defendants whose cases were finally disposed of in the District of Columbia, Colorado, and Wyoming in 1934 were charged with major offenses. On the other hand, only about one-fourth of the defendants in Wisconsin and less than one-half in Michigan, Connecticut, Nebraska, North Dakota, Iowa, and Pennsylvania were charged with major offenses.

These variations are due in large measure to differences in the misdemeanor jurisdictions of the courts in the various States. The low percentage of major offenses handled in Wisconsin, Connecticut, and North Dakota is also due to some extent to the inclusion of data from some of the inferior courts in the reports for these States.

TABLE 3.—DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION WHO WERE CHARGED WITH MAJOR OFFENSES, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total dsfend~	DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH MAJOR OFFENSES		STATE	Total defend- ants	DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH MAJOR OFFENSES	
	emra	Number	Percent		gitte	Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	139,928	69,129	49.4	New Mexico	1,160	709	61.1
				Missouri		3,863	60.2
				010800	1,119	669	59.8
District of Columbia	1,752	1,489	85.0	Minnssota	3,060	1,820	59.5
Colorado	2,316	1,775	76.6	Naw Jarsay	10,185	5,945	56.4
Wyoming	424	318	75.0	Kansas	3,741	2,060	55.1
Montana	721	540	74.9	South Dakota	1,061	537	50.6
Idaho	597	4.22	70.7	Michigan	5,574	2,686	48.2
Arizona	953	667	70.0	Connecticut	1,808	868	48.0
California	10,389	7,195	69.3	Nebraska		1,046	46.9
Utah	512	345	67.4	North Dakota		468	45.6
Ohio	9,859	6,638	67.3	Iowa		1,559	44.9
New Hampshire		331	62.8	Pennsylvania		20,109	43.6
Washington	1,964	1,203	61.3	Wieconsin		5,865	25.6

ELIMINATIONS WITHOUT CONVICTION.--In the 25 States studied, 42,563 defendants, or 30.4 percent of the total disposed of, were eliminated without conviction.11/ The individual States vary considerably in the percentage of such eliminations (table 4). In Colorado, Kansas, and Missouri, 43.2 percent, 43.1 percent, and 42.8 percent, respectively, of the defendants were not convicted. In Minnesota and Oregon, on the other hand, only 14.7 percent and 20.9 percent of the defendants were not convicted.

^{10/} National Commission on Lew Observance and Enforcement -- Report on Crime and the Foreign Born, pp. 228, 238-240.

pp. 22t; 23t-240.

11/ A small percentage of cases listed as disposed of without conviction should really be listed as "pending." "Other no penalty dispositions," one of the cetagories comprised in the group disposed of without conviction, includes cases of transfers to other courts and changes of vanue. It also includes some cases bound over from inferior courts to courts of general criminal jurisdiction. These cases have not been finally disposed of. "Other no penalty dispositions" represent 3 percent of the total cases.

TABLE 4 .- DEFENDANTS ELIMINATED WITHOUT CONVICTION, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants dis-	DISPOSED OF WITH- OUT CONVICTION		STATE	Total defend- ants dis-	DISPOSED OF WITH- OUT CONVICTION	
	posed of	Number	Percent		posad of	Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	139,928	42,563	30.4	Washington	1,964	629	32.0
				Montana	721	226	31.3
				Iowa	3,474	1,024	29.5
Colorado	2,316	1,001	43.2	Connecticut	1,808	517	28.6
Kansas	3,741	1,613	43.1	New Hampshire	527	148	28.1
Miesouri	6,412	2,746	42.8	Idaho	597	161	27.0
Wyoming	424	158	37.3	District of Columbia	1,752	445	25.4
Arizona	953	341	35.8	Wisconsin	22,925	5,808	25.3
New Mexico	1,160	402	34.7	South Dakota	1,061	237	22.2
Nebraska	2,229	768	34.5	California	10,389	2,226	21.4
New Jersey	10,185	3,486	34.2	Michigan	5,574	1.183	21.2
Ohio	9,859	3,262	33.1	North Dakota	1,027	218	21.2
Utah	512	169	33.0	Oregon		234	20.9
Pannsylvania	46,139	15,110	32.7	Minne sota	3,060	451	14.7

A high percentage of failures to convict in the courts of general criminal jurisdiction does not necessarily indicate inefficiency at this stage of the procedure, nor is a low percentage of eliminations an index of efficiency. Cases reach courts of general criminal jurisdiction by three routes: (1) Some defendants are arrested, bound over by the court holding a preliminary hearing, and indicted by the grand jury or charged by information of the prosecutor; (2) some defendants are indicted by the grand jury without prior hearing before the inferior court; (3) some defendants are charged by information of the prosecutor without the intervention of the grand jury or the inferior court. [2] Thus the inferior courts, the grand jury, and the prosecutor pass upon the kinds of cases that come before the courts of general criminal jurisdiction. The results achieved in the latter courts will largely depend upon the care with which the former agencies have done their work; and if the inferior courts, prosecutor, or grand jury are hesitant to throw out weak cases, then an undue proportion of failures to convict by the courts of general criminal jurisdiction may be expected. No judgment can therefore be passed on the eliminations without conviction in these courts except after a careful examination of the functioning of the agencies in the earlier stages of the procedure. The work of these agencies is outside the scope of the present inquiry.

There are many different methods of eliminating criminal cases without conviction. These are grouped in the Census statistics under six different head-

ings, as follows:

1.--DISMISSED BY PROSECUTION

Cases eliminated through some action for which the prosecution is primarily responsible are entered under this heading. Such eliminations may occur through a formal nolle prosequi issued by the prosecutor, or through some other device. In some States the prosecutor must obtain judicial sanction for the nolle prosequi, in others it can be used on his sole initiative and responsibility.

2. -- DISMISSED BY COURT ON MOTION OF DEFENSE

The defense, rather than the prosecutor, is the moving factor in this class of eliminations, but the demand for the dismissal of the proceedings is necessarily passed on by the court. The dismissal may occur before trial on the basis of the pleadings, either through a successful motion to quash or a demurrer to the indictment or information. The court may, also, bring about the dismissal at the trial through a directed verdict of acquittal.

3. -- JURY WAIVED, ACQUITTED BY COURT

These eliminations without conviction are made on the responsibility of the judge who is trying the case without a jury. The decision acquitting the defendant is taken on the basis of the evidence presented at the trial.

4. -- ACQUITTED BY JURY

In these cases the decision of acquittal is made by a jury on its sole initiative and responsibility. If a verdict of acquittal had been directed by the court, the case would be listed as dismissed by the court on motion of the

^{12/} This occurs in States which do not use the grand jury as an ordinary instrument of criminal procedure.

defense. Acquittals on the grounds of insanity, however, are listed in the statistics under the caption "other no penalty dispositions", rather than under acquittals by jury.

5. -- NEVER IN CUSTODY

In these cases the defendant has been indicted or formally charged by an information filed by the prosecutor, but the prosecution has been unable to proceed because of inability to arrest the accused and bring him within the power of the court.

6. -- OTHER NO-PENALTY DISPOSITIONS

This category contains many different types of dispositions, including transfers to other courts, changes in venue, acquittals or dismissals on the grounds of insanity, cases where the defendant has died or jumped bail, etc.

The methods used to eliminate 42,563 defendants without conviction, are shown in table 5.

TABLE 5.-ELIMINATIONS WITHOUT CONVICTION, BY METHOD OF DISPOSITION, IN 25 STATES: 1934

	DEFENDANTS D	ISPOSED OF	DEFENDANTS NOT CONVICTE		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total defendants disposed of	139,928	100.0			
Disposed of without conviction	42,563	30.4	42,563	100.0	
Dismissed by prosecution	22,801	16.3	22,801	53.6	
Dismissed by court on motion of defense	2,139	1.5	2,139	5.0	
Jury waived, acquitted by court	2,194	1.6	2,194	5.2	
Acquitted by jury	9,628	6.9	9,628	22.6	
Never in custody	1,670	1.2	1,670	3.	
Other no-penalty dispositions	4,131	3.0	4.131	9.	

It is evident from table 5 that certain methods of elimination without conviction account for relatively few cases. Only 5 percent of such eliminations were due to dismissals by the court on motion of the defense; 5.2 percent of the failures to convict were due to acquittals by the court in jury waived cases; and 3.9 percent of the dispositions without conviction were due to an inability to take the defendants into custody. Other no penalty dispositions account for 9.7 percent of the eliminations without conviction. For the 25 States studied, these four types of disposition account for but 23.8 percent of the defendants eliminated without conviction. This represents 7.2 percent of all the defendants disposed of during the course of the year.

In some States, however, much higher percentages of the cases are eliminated without conviction by these methods of disposition. This is evident from table 40. Dismissals on motion of the defense accounted for 20.1 percent of the eliminations without conviction in Utah, 19.2 percent in Washington, 16.9 percent in South Dakota, 10.7 percent in New Mexico, and 10.2 percent in Michigan. In California, Nebraska, New Jersey, and Wisconsin, 15.1 percent, 12.5 percent, 11.8 percent, and 10.0 percent, respectively, of the eliminations without conviction were due to acquittals by the court in jury-waived cases. In no other State, however, was the percentage of acquittals by the court higher than the general average of 5.2 percent. The failure to take defendants into custody appears to be a real problem in two States only. In New Jersey 26.6 percent and in Washington 13.5 percent of the eliminations without conviction were for this reason. In Wisconsin, 45.9 percent of the eliminations without conviction are listed as "other no penalty dispositions", 13/2 which also accounts for 13.0 percent, 12.9 percent, 11.7 percent, 11.4 percent, and 11.0 percent, respectively, of the eliminations in Utah, Washington, Arizona, Wyoming, and California.

DISMISSALS BY THE PROSECUTION. -- Dismissal on the initiative and responsibility of the prosecuting authorities is the principal method of eliminating cases without conviction. In the 25 States studied, 53.6 percent of the eliminations were by the prosecution. This represents one-sixth of all the defendants whose

13/ The great bulk of these cases are not failures to convict, but are bind-overs from the inferior courts to the sourts of general criminal jurisdiction.

cases were disposed of by the courts in 1934. Dismissals by the prosecution are a significant factor in the elimination of criminal cases without conviction in every State. This is evident from tables 6 and 7. In 13 of the 25 States, more than one-fifth of all the defendants disposed of during the year had their cases dismissed by the prosecution (table 7). In 17 of the 25 States, dismissals by the prosecution account for more than three-fifths of the eliminations without conviction (table 6).

These facts indicate the tremendous importance of the prosecutor's office for the administration of criminal justice. In many of the cases dismissed by the prosecution, either the inferior court or the grand jury, or both, have found that there was a prima facie case against the accused. The prosecutor, however, for reasons which satisfied him, quashed the proceedings by refusing to prosecute. This action is completely within his discretion in many States. In some States, as we have seen, the prosecutor must obtain judicial approval for his dismissal. But this is no very great check on the prosecutor's dismissing whatever cases he sees fit. Judges depend upon prosecutors for information about cases coming before them. They usually ratify the prosecutor's decision to dismiss without independent examination of the facts.

TABLE 6. — DEFENDANTS NOT CONVICTED, WHOSE CASES WERE DISMISSED BY THE PROSECUTION, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Defend- ante not	DISMISSED BY PROSECUTION		STATE	Defend- ante not	DISMISSED BY PROSECUTION	
	convicted	Number	Parcant		convicted	Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	42,563	22,801	53.6	MinnesotaArizona	341	300 223	66.5 65.4
New Hampshire		130 425	87.8 82.2	North Dakota Nebraska	768	139 488 99	63.8 63.5 62.7
District of Columbie Kansas	1,613	363 1,316	81.6 81.6	Oregon	1,183	143 678	61.1 57.3
Missouri Iowa Ohio	1,024	2,228 786 2,483	81.1 76.8 76.1	South Dakota New Jarsey	237	1,044 111 1,590	46.9 46.8 45.6
Idaho Montana	161 226	116 162	72.0 71.7	Psnnsylvania Washington	15,110 629	6,836 259	45.2 41.2
Naw Mexico	402 1,001	278 676	69.2 67.5	Wisconsin		57 1,871	33.7 32.2

TABLE 7.—TOTAL DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF WHOSE CASES WERE DISMISSED BY THE PROSECUTION, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants	DISMISS PROSEC		STATE	Total defend- ants	DISMISSED BY PROSECUTION	
	dis- posed of	Numba r	Parcent		dis- posed of	Number	Percent
Twenty-five Statas-	139,928	22,801	16.3	Nabraska	2,229 1,752	488 363	21.
				Idaho		116	19.
Kansse		1,316	35.2	Naw Jaraey		1,590	15.
dissouri		2,228	34.7	Pennsylvania		6,836	14.
Colorado		676	29.2	North Dakota		139	13.
Dhio	9,859	2,483	25.2	Washington	1,964	259	13.
Naw Hampahire	527	130	24.7	Ore gon	1,119	143	12.
New Mexico	1,160	278	24.0	Michigar	5,574	678	12.
Connecticut	1,808	425	23.5	Utah	512	57	11.
rizona	953	223	23.4	South Dakote	1,061	111	10.
lyoming	424	99	23.3	California	10.389	1.044	10.
W8		786	22.6	Minnesota	3,060	300	9.
iontana	721	162	22.5	Wisconsin	22,925	1,871	8.

ACQUITTALS BY JURY.--Acquittals by jury play a much smaller role in the disposition of criminal cases than dismissals on the initiative of the prosecution. Acquittals by jury account for 22.6 percent of the eliminations without conviction, as compared with 53.6 percent for which the prosecution is responsible. Only 6.9 percent of all the defendants disposed of were acquitted by jury during the year, as compared with 16.3 percent whose cases were dismissed by the prosecution.

Acquittals by jury, however, are especially numerous in Pennsylvania, where they account for 44.3 percent of the eliminations without conviction and 14.5 percent of the defendants disposed of (tables 8 and 9). In Kansas, Iowa, Ohio, Nebraska, Missouri, Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Wisconsin, on the other hand, acquittals by jury represent less than one-tenth of all the eliminations without conviction and no more than 4.3 percent of the defendants disposed of.

TABLE 8. - DEFENDANTS NOT CONVICTED, WHO WERE ACQUITTED BY JURY, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Defend- ants	ACQUITTED BY JURY		STATE	Defend- ants	BY JURY	
	convicted	Number	Percent		convicted	Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	42,563	9,628	22.6	WyomingColorado		24 149	15.2
Pennsylvania	15,110	6,689	44.3	MontanaIdaho		32 22	14.2
South Dakote	237	66	27.8	New Jerssy		455 68	13.1
UtahOregon		42 57	24.4	Washington	629	160	9.9
California		523	23.5	Iowa	1,024	96	9.4
Michigan		206	17.4	Ohio	3,262	286	8.6
Arizona	341	56	16.4	Nebrasks	768	62	8.1
District of Columbia	445	73	16.4	Missouri	2,746	221	8.0
Minnesota	451	73	16.2	Connecticut	517	14	2.
North Dakota	218	35	16.1	New Hampshira	148	4	2.7
New Mexico	402	62	15.4	Wisconsin	5,808	153	2.8

TABLE 9. - DEFENDANTS FINALLY DISPOSED OF, ACQUITTED BY JURY, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants	ACQUI BY J		STATE	Total dafend- ants	ACQUITTED BY JURY	
	dis- posed of	Number	Percent		dis- posed of	Number	Parcent
Twenty-five States-	139,928	9,628	6.9	Kansas District of Columbia		160 73	4.:
				Idaho		22	3.
Pennsylvania	46,139	6,689	14.5	Michigan	5,574	206	3.
Jtah		42	8.2	Washington	1,964	68	3.
Colorado	,	149	6.4	Missouri		221	3.
South Dakota		66	6.2	North Dakota	1,027	35	3.4
Arizona		56	5.9	Ohio	9,859	286	2.
Wyoming		24	5.7	Iowa	3,474	96	2.
New Mexico		62	5.3	Nebraska	2,229	62	2.
regon		57	5.1	Minnesota	3,060	73	2.
alifornia	10,389	523	5.0	Connecticut	1,808	14	0.
lew Jersey	10,185	455	4.5	New Hampshire	527	4	0.
donts na	721	32	4.4	Wisconsin	22,925	153	0.

CONVICTIONS. -- A man may be convicted of an offense in one of three ways. He may confess his guilt through a plea of guilty. 14/ If he pleads not guilty, however, he may be found guilty by a court sitting without a jury, or by a jury. A finding of guilt by a court or jury takes place at the end of the trial. A plea of guilty, on the other hand, dispenses with the necessity for a trial. A defendant may be convicted of the offense charged, or of a lesser offense. He may, for example, be charged with murder and be convicted of manslaughter; or he may be charged with robbery and be convicted of larceny.

According to table 10, 97,365 defendants, or 69.6 percent of the total dis-

According to table 10, 97,365 defendants, or 69.6 percent of the total disposed of in the 25 States studied, were convicted of the offense charged or of a lesser offense. Of these defendants, 92,911, or 66.4 percent of the total disposed of, were convicted of the offense charged, and 4,454, or 3.2 percent of the total disposed of, were convicted of a lesser offense than the one originally charged. Three-fourths of the convicted defendants pleaded guilty; 15.2 percent were found guilty by juries, and 9.1 percent were found guilty by courts

in jury waived cases.

TABLE 10. - DEFENDANTS CONVICTED, BY METHOD OF CONVICTION, IN 25 STATES: 1934

METHOD OF CONVICTION	Numbe r	Percent
Defendants disposed of	139,928	100.0
Total convicted	97,365	69.6
Found guilty of offense charged	92,911	66.4
Found guilty of leseer offence	4,454	3.2
Defendante convicted	97,365	100.0
Plea of guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	73,771	75.8
Jury waived, court finds guilty, offense charged or lesser offense	8,826	9.1
Jury verdict guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	14,768	15.

PLEAS OF GUILTY.--Most of the defendants who were convicted in each one of the 25 States pleaded guilty. This is evident from table 11. Even in Pennsylvania and Utah, the States at the bottom of the list, three-fifths of the convictions were obtained through pleas of guilty. In 22 of the other 25 States, 75 percent or more of the convictions were the result of pleas of guilty. It may come as a surprise to the layman that so large a proportion of defendants plead guilty. There would seem to be an obvious advantage for a

It may come as a surprise to the layman that so large a proportion of defendants plead guilty. There would seem to be an obvious advantage for a defendant to contest an accusation and take his chances of defeating it at the trial. But the plea of guilty has come to have definite advantages to the offender. Where there is a strong likelihood that he will be convicted, the experienced criminal attempts to strike a bargain with the prosecutor. He offers to plead guilty in return for a short sentence. Prosecutors favor pleas of guilty since the uncertainty, expense, and work incidental to jury trials is avoided.

TABLE 11.—TOTAL CONVICTIONS OF OFFENSE CHARGED, OR OF LESSER OFFENSE, OBTAINED THROUGH PLEA OF GUILTY, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total convic- tions	PLEA OF CUILTY OF OFFENSE CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE		STATE	Total convic- tions	PLEA OF GUILTY OF OFFENSE CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE	
		Number	Percent		***************************************	Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	97,365	73,771	75.8	Wyoming	1,335	225 1,124	84.8 84.2
Connecticut	1,291	1,186	91.9 91.5	Kanses District of Columbia	612 2,128 1,307	513 1,747 1,069	83.8 82.1 81.8
New Hampshire Minnesota Oregon	379 2,609 885	2,297	88.9 88.0	New JerseyOhio	6,597	5,452 5,360	81.4 81.2
North Dakota Wisconsin	809 17,117	771 702 14,732	87.1 86.8 86.1	New Mexico	758 1,315 8,163	60% 1,041 6,319	79.4 79.2 77.4
IdahoSouth Dakota	436 824	375 707	86.0 85.8	Missouri Nebraska	3,666 1,461	2,749	75.0 73.5
Michigan Montana	4,391 495	3,736 421	85.1 85.1	Vtah	345 31,029	209 18,782	60.9 60.5

^{14/} In some States the defendant may plead note contenders which is, in effect, a confession of guilt.

A plea of guilty, moreover, is entered on the records as a conviction and the efficiency of the prosecutors is usually judged by the number of convictions that they obtain. Technically, the prosecutor can give no assurances as to sentences. Sentences are imposed by the judge. But judges are dependent upon prosecutors for information about cases coming before them. In urban centers, moreover, they are aware that unless a substantial proportion of the cases are disposed of through pleas of guilty, the judicial machine will be swamped under the pressure of cases to be tried. They are, therefore, inclined to ratify the agreement entered into between the prosecutor and the defendant.

CONVICTIONS BY COURTS AND JURIES. -- Findings of guilt by courts sitting without a jury, account for only a negligible percentage of the convictions in many States. In only four States, Nebraska, Missouri, Wisconsin, and New Jersey, are more than one-tenth of the convictions the result of court decisions (table 12).

TABLE 12.—TOTAL CONVICTIONS OF OFFENSE CHARGED, OR OF LESSER OFFENSE, IN JURY WAIVED
CASES, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total convic- tions	JURY WAIVED, COURT FINDS GUILTY OF OFFENSE CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE		STATE	Total convic- tions	JURY WAIVED, COURT FINDS CUILTY OF OFFENSE CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE	
		Number	Percent			Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	97,365	8,826	9.1	Minnesota	2,609 758	132	5.3
				Connecticut	1.291	59	4.0
Nebraska	1,461	275	18.8	Washington	1,335	60	4.5
Missouri	3,666	501	13.7	Wyoming	266	12	4.5
Wisconsin	17,117	2,167	12.7	Iowa	2,450	93	3.4
New Jersey	6,699	747	11.2	Montana	495	19	3.1
Pennsylvania	31,029	3,016	9.7	Oregon	885	29	3.:
New Hampshire	379	34	9.0	Idaho	436	13	3.0
Ohio	6,597	556	8.4	North Dakota	809	23	2.
California	8,163	644	7.9	Arizona	612	16	2.
Utah	343	22	6.4	Kansas	2,128	53	2.
South Dakote	824	48	5.8	Colorado	1,315	21	1.
Michigan	4,391	236	5.4	District of Columbia	1,307	11	0.

In Utah 32.7 percent of the convictions and in Pennsylvania 29.7 percent were obtained by jury verdicts. In Oregon, Michigan, South Dakota, Nebraska, New Jersey, Minnesota, Iowa, Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Wisconsin, on the other hand, jury verdicts accounted for less than one-tenth of the convictions (table 13).

TABLE 13.—TOTAL CONVICTIONS OF OFFENSES CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE, IN JURY CASES, BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total convic- tions	JURY VERDICT GUILTY OF OFFENSE CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE		STATE	Total convic- tions	JURY VERDICT GUILTY OF OFFENSE CHARGED OR OF LESSER OFFENSE	
		Number	Percent			Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	97,365	14,768	15.2	Idaho	436	48	11.0
				Wyoming	266	29	10.9
				North Dakota	809	84	10.4
Utah	343	112	32.7	Ohio	6,597	681	10.:
Pennsylvania	31,029	9,231	29.7	Oregon	885	85	9.0
Colorado	1,315	253	19.2	Michigan	4,391	419	9.
District of Columbia	1,307	227	17.4	South Dakota	824	69	8.4
Kansas	2,128	328	15.4	Nebraeka	1.461	112	7.1
New Mexico	758	117	15.4	New Jersey	6.699	500	7.5
California	8,163	1,200	14.7	Minnesota	2,609	180	6.
Arizona	612	83	13.6	Iowa	2.450	116	4.
Missouri	3,666	416	11.3	Connecticut	1.291	46	3.6
Washington	1,335	151	11.3	New Hampshire	379	8	2.1
Montana	495	55	11.1	Wisconsin	17,117	218	1.

CONVICTIONS FOR LESSER OFFENSES.--According to the crime surveys, it is a common practice to convict the accused of lesser offenses than the ones originally charged. Of 100 defendants charged with felonies in New York City and Chicago in 1926, 81 and 75, respectively, were convicted of lesser offenses

than the one originally charged. 15/ In the upstate New York cities the proportion of convictions for lesser offenses was 30 percent. In Multnomah county, Oregon (in which is located the city of Portland), 35 percent of the defendants were convicted of lesser offenses.16/ In three California counties, Alameda, Los Angeles, and San Francisco, the percentage of convictions for lesser offenses were 30.3 percent, 19.5 percent, and 21.9 percent, respectively.12/
There may be perfectly good legal reasons for a conviction of a lesser offense than the one originally charged. Provocation may be shown in a murder

which would compel a reduction of the charge to manslaughter. But practical considerations frequently determine such convictions. Convictions for lesser offenses have a definite place in connection with the acceptance of pleas of guilty. It may not be possible under the original charge to give an accused the assurance as to sentence which he requires before he will plead. A defendant charged with robbery, for example, will plead guilty if he is assured of a one year sentence only. But the minimum for robbery may be 3 or 5 years. It is necessary for the prosecutor, therefore, in order to induce the accused to plead guilty, to consent to a reduction of the charge to larceny. Similarly, an accused charged with grand larceny may be permitted to plead guilty to petty larceny.

The statistics of the Census Bureau, in contrast to those of the crime surveys, above referred to, do not show any very extensive practice of convicting defendants for lesser offenses. According to table 14, less than one-tenth of the convictions in 22 States were of a lesser offense. Only in Idaho, Utah, and ohio were larger percentages - 36.5, 12.0, and 11.8, respectively - of the convictions for reduced charges. However, the Census statistics are compiled on a different basis from the statistics of the surveys. The latter were concerned with fellow cases only in which reduction of the charge is usually needled. with felony cases only, in which reduction of the charge is usually possible. But half of the defendants considered in this report were charged with "minor" offenses, in most of which a reduction of the charge is not possible.

The inertia of court clerks may also account in part for the small proportion of defendants found guilty of lesser offenses. It is easier for a court clerk, in making up the schedules for his court, to return a defendant as guilty of the offense charged rather than of a lesser offense. The latter entry requires a more complex mental operation than the former. In order to determine that the accused was convicted of a lesser offense, the clerk must first note the offense originally charged and compare it with the offense of which accused was convicted. If there is a difference between the two he must then determine whether the offense of which the accused was convicted is a lesser offense. It is not always easy to decide this question, especially where the accused has been charged with a number of different offenses and convicted of only one. All this labor on the part of the clerk is avoided if he simply enters the conviction for the offense as charged.

PABLE 14 DEFENDANTS	CONVICTOR	CHITIMY	OF	TESSER	OFFENSE	RY	STATES.	1934

STATE	Total convic-	FOUND GUILTY OF LESSER OFFENSE		STATE	Total convic-	FOUND GUILTY OF LEASER OFFENSE	
	tions	Number	Percent		tions	Number	Percent
Twenty-five States-	97,365	4,454	4.6	Kansas	2,128 495	129	6.1
				Pennsylvania		1,327	4.3
Idaho	436	159	36.5	Minne so ta	2,609	96	3.7
Utah	343	41	12.0	Washington	1,335	49	3.7
Ohio	6,597	779	11.8	Nebraska	1,461	52	3.6
District of Columbia	1,307	111	8.5	North Dakota	809	29	3.6
Wyoming	266	22	8.3	Connecticut	1,291	42	3.3
Arizona	612	49	8.0	New Jersey	6,699	212	3.2
Missouri	3,666	277	7.6	Michigan	4,391	117	2.7
Naw Mexico	758	56	7.4	Iowa	2,450	46	1.9
California	8,163	593	7.3	New Hampshire	379	5	1.3
Colorado	1,315	95	7.2	Огедол	885	9	1.0
South Dakota	824	51	6.2	Wisconsin	17,117	82	0.5

^{15/} National Commission on Law Observance and Enforcement -- Report on Prosecution, Tabla 1, p. 186.

^{16/} Morse and Beattie, Oregon Crime Survey, 1934.

TRIAL. 18/ -- Most of the criminal cases which come before the courts are disposed of by administrative methods and not by formal trials. As we have seen, more than twice as many defendants were eliminated without conviction by the prosecutor as were acquitted by juries. Three out of every four convicted defendants pleaded guilty. Only 17.4 percent of the 139,928 defendants who came before the courts in 1934 took advantage of their constitutional guarantees of trial by jury. Only 7.9 percent of these defendants waived their right to jury trial and were tried by the court without a jury.

Although trials are not as numerous as other methods of disposition they are still an exceedingly important part of the criminal justice machinery. Defendants who refuse to plead guilty must be tried if they are to be convicted and sentenced. A refusal to plead guilty is particularly apt to occur in such serious offenses as rape, aggravated assault, robbery, and manslaughter (see table 37). What happens to defendants when they are tried? In all prior presentations in this report, the convictions and acquittels resulting from trials have been compared with other methods of dispositions. In tables 15 and 16 the number of trials in jury and jury-waived cases are taken as a basis and data on the number and percentage of defendants acquitted are presented.

TABLE 15. - DEFENDANTS ACQUITTED IN JURY CASES, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total dafand- anta	DEFEND ACQUI		STATE	Total defend- ants	DEFENI ACQUI	
	tried by	Numbar			tried by	Number	Percent
Twenty States	24,114	9,532	39.5	Nabraska		62	35.
				Missouri		221	34.
				New Mexico		62 206	34.
South Dakota	135	66	48.9	Kansas		160	33. 32.
law Jersey	===	455	47.6	Washington		68	31.
BWO		96	45.3	California		523	30.
ennsylvania	15,920	6,689	42.0	Ohio		286	29.
isconsin	371	153	41.2	North Dakote		35	29.
rizona	139	56	40.3	Minnesota		73	28.
regon	142	57	40.1	Utah	154	42	27.
colorado	402	149	37.1	District of Columbia	300	73	24.
			1 1				1

TABLE 16. - DEFENDANTS ACQUITTED IN JURY WAIVED CASES, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total dafend- ante in jury	DEFEND ACQUI		Total dafend ants STATE in jur		defendants acquitted	
	waived casas	Number	Percant		waived cases	Number	Parcant
Nine Stataa	10,354	2,080	20.1	Ohio	723 2,747	167 580	23.1
Naw Jaraay	1,160 980 371	413 336 96	35.6 34.3 25.9	Michigan Pennsylvania Miasouri Minneaota	295 3,425	59 409 17 3	20.0 11.9 3.3 2.2

18/ There is no separate column showing the total number of trials, in the tally sheat used by the Caneus Bureau. The number of trials in jury cases was obtained by adding the following columns in the achedules: Col. 6 (acquitted by jury), Col. 11 (jury vardict guilty - offense charged), and Col. 14 (jury vardict guilty - lesser offense). These columns, unfortunately, do not include all the jury trials. Where a defendant is acquitted on the grounds of insenity he is entered under the heading "other nopenalty dispositions." Where the jury is directed to bring in a vardict of not guilty, the defendant is entered under "dismissed by court on motion of the defense." It is impossible from the statistics to obtain the number of defendants acquitted by reason of insenity and by directed verdicts. The number of such cases, however, is undoubtedly very small in every State.

To secure the total number of trials in jury-waived cases, the following columns were added: Col. 5 (jury waived, acquitted by court), Col. 10 (jury waived, court finds guilty - offense charged), and Col. 13 (jury waived, court finds guilty - lesser offense).

There are wide variations between the States in the percentage of acquittals resulting from trials by court and by jury. Twice as large a proportion of the defendants are acquitted by jury in South Dakota as in the District of Columbia. But one cannot conclude without further investigation that juries are twice as efficient in the District of Columbia. A greater percentage of weak cases may be thrown out in the early stages of the procedure in the District of Columbia than in South Dakota. It is also possible that cases are better prepared for trial in the District of Columbia than in South Dakota.

In Missouri only 3.3 percent and in Minnesota only 2.2 percent of the defendants in jury waived cases were acquitted by the court, as compared with

35.6 percent in New Jersey.

Number

4 726

3,640

2,204

4.104

Disorderly conduct and

Forgery-----

Carrying weapons, stc. Road and driving laws-

drunkennase----

Jury trials result in a much higher percentage of acquittals than trials in jury waived cases. Thus, 39.5 percent of the total number of defendants tried by jury were acquitted, as compared with acquittals of 19.9 percent of the defendants tried by the court. Of the 9 States shown in table 16, all but one had a lower percentage of acquittals in jury waived cases than in jury cases.

This does not mean that courts are an inherently superior mechanism for

This does not mean that courts are an inherently superior mechanism for obtaining convictions in criminal cases. Juries and courts do not try the same kinds of cases. Of the defendants charged with manslaughter, 42.7 percent were tried by jury and only 5.7 percent were tried by the court (table 37). Manslaughter had the highest proportion of defendants eliminated without conviction. Defendants may waive jury trial because they believe that they will be found guilty in any event. By waiving the jury, their cases can be more quickly disposed of, and they can start serving sentence sooner. They may also expect better consideration from the judge with respect to sentence, since they did not put the State to the expense of a jury trial.

DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS BY OFFENSE. -- In all the previous comparisons between States and between procedural dispositions, no allowance was made for differences in the types of offenses with which defendants were charged. But juries, courts, and other law enforcement agencies find it easier to convict defendants in some classes of cases than in others. The offense with which a defendant is charged has a marked influence on the ultimate disposition of the criminal prosecution. This is clearly brought out by table 17.

offense	of defend- ants dis-	VICTED OF CHARGET LESSER C	OR OF	OFFENSE	of defend- ants dis-	VICTED OF CHARGEI LESSER O	OR OF
	posed of	Number	Parcent		posed of	Number	Percent
							40.0
All offensea	139,928	97,365	69.6	Gambling	3,647	2,510	68.8
				Other eex offensea	4,601	3,152	68.5
				Other motor wehicle			
Parking violations	1,719	1,697	98.7	laws	2,698	1,772	65.7
Vagrancy	3,576	3,112	87.0	Prostitution and			
Violating drug laws	1,157	969	83.8	commercial. vice	900	581	64.6
Burglary		12,369	82.0	Murder	1,399	895	64.0
Auto theft		3,734	81.5	Stolen property	1.675	1.064	63.5
Driving while intoxi-	-,,	, , , , ,		Aggravated assault		4,525	61.9
catad	7,568	5.943	78.5	Rape	2,911	1,763	60.6
Robbery		4,673	76.5	Nonsupport or neg-	.,	_,	
	0,110	4,075	70.5	lact	7,611	4,583	60.2
Larceny, except auto	35 405	11 000			7,011	7,500	50.2
theft	15,485	11,663	75.3	Violating liquor			

laws-----

Embezzlement and

Other esacult----

Manalaughter----

fraud----

TABLE 17. - DEFENDANTS CONVICTED, BY OFFENSE, IN 25 STATES: 1934

DEFENDANTS CON~

DEFENDANTS CON-

Number

10,798

5,517

1,235

6,155

3,595

3,063

628

57.0

56.7

55.5

50.9

Only half of the defendants charged with manslaughter were convicted, as against 82 percent of the defendants charged with burglary. A defendant charged with embezzlement and fraud had a much better chance of not being convicted than one charged with robbery. In general, it is easier to obtain convictions for offenses against property than offenses against the person. Thus, 71.2 percent of the combined number of defendants charged with embezzlement and fraud, receiving stolen property, forgery, larceny, and robbery19/ were convicted, as compared with 59.2 percent of the combined number of defendants charged with manslaughter, other assault, rape, aggravated assault, and murder.

75.0

74.1

74.0

71.8

3,543 2,696

1,632

2,948

^{19/} The leading five offenses against the person and the leading five offenses against property, as presented in table 17, were chosen for comparison.

The methods used to dispose of defendants as well as the results obtained, differ in the various offenses. Table 18 shows the number of defendants who pleaded guilty, by offense. The percentages of pleas of guilty range from 99 percent in parking violations to 42 percent in murder. Pleas of guilty are more numerous in offenses against property than in offenses against the person. Thus, 82.8 percent of the defendants convicted of forgery, auto theft, burglary, larceny, and embezzlement pleaded guilty, as compared with 66.4 percent of the defendants convicted of "other" sex offenses, "other" assaults, rape, aggravated assault, and manslaughter. 20/

TABLE 18. - DEFENDANTS WHO PLEADED GUILTY, BY OFFENSE, IN 25 STATES: 1934

	Defend- ants found guilty of offense charged or of	PLEA GUI	DED LTY	offense	Defend- ante found guilty of OFFENSE offense charged or of		PLEADED GUILTY		
	lesser	Number	Percent		lesser	Number	Percent		
All offenses	97,365	73,771	75.8	Embezzlement and					
				fraud	3,595	2,716	75.5		
				Stolen property	1,064	797	74.9		
				Other sex offences-	3,152	2,332	74.0		
Parking violetions		1,680	99.0	Carrying weapons,etc	1,632	1,173	71.9		
Forgery	2,696	2,373	85.1	Other motor vehicle	3,063	2,188	71.4		
	-,	3,176	84.2	lews	3 550	3 000			
Burglery Disorderly conduct and		10,418	04.2	Prostitution and	1,772	1,238	69.9		
drunkenness		2,956	83.5	commercial vice	581	393	67.6		
Vagrancy	3,112	2,956	82.5	Rape	1,763	1,171	66.4		
Violeting liquor laws-	6,155	5,074	82.4	Robberv	4,673	2,974	63.6		
Violeting drug lews	969	797	82.2	Gambling	2,510	1,518	60.5		
Larceny, except auto	303	737	02.2	Aggraveted assault	4.525	2,687	59.4		
thaft	11.663	9.507	81.5	Manslaughter	628	347	55.3		
Road and driving laws-	2,948	2,387	81.0	Nensupport or neg-	020	357	55.3		
Driving while intoxi-	2,340	2,307	01.0	lsct	4,583	2,290	50.0		
cated	5,943	4,742	79.8	Murder	4,565 895	376	42.0		
C0 10 ft	0,545	1,742	73.0	muldor	030	3/6	42.0		

Table 19, on the use of the jury in the trial of various offenses, contains a few surprises. The jury is used more commonly in gambling and prostitution cases than in robbery, rape, larceny, auto theft, and burglary cases. More than three times as many defendants charged with gambling were tried by jury as defendants charged with burglary. Thus, a complex, expensive method of trial is being used extensively to dispose of minor cases. In general, however, the jury trial is used more often for major 21/ offenses than for minor 22/ offenses. But the difference is not very great, as 20.3 percent of the major offenses were tried by jury as compared with 14.7 percent of the minor offenses.

The penalties for gambling and prostitution are relatively slight as compared with those for robbery or burglary. Thus, in the two former offenses the punishment after a plea of guilty or after a jury verdict will not differ very materially; there is not the same incentive, therefore, to bargain for pleas as in robbery or burglary. Moreover, a defendant charged with prostitution or gambling has a better chance of being acquitted than a defendant charged with burglary or robbery. Of those tried by jury for prostitution and gambling 48 percent and 42 percent, respectively, were acquitted, as compared with acquittals of 21 percent and 25 percent of those tried for robbery and burglary. The tolerance of gambling and prostitution in many communities is apparently reflected in jury verdicts. This helps to explain why so large a proportion of defendants charged with gambling and prostitution demand jury trials.

To some extent State variations in the proportion of defendants convicted and eliminated without conviction are due to differences in the kinds of offenses that come before their courts. Kansas, for example, convicted 56.9 percent of its defendants and Minnesota 85.3 percent. But 21.9 percent of the defendants

^{20/} The leading five offenses in each group were used for purposes of comparison.

^{21/} The following offensee ere considered as "major": Murder, manalaughter, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, auto theft, embezzlement and fraud, receiving stolen property, forgary, rape, violeting drug laws, carrying weapons.

^{22/} The following offenses are considered as "minor": Other assault, prostitution and commercialized vice, other sex offenses, nonsupport or neglect, violeting liquor laws, driving while intoxicated, road and driving laws, parking violetions, other motor-vehicle laws, disorderly conduct and drunkenses, vagrancy, gambling, all other offenses.

in Kansas were charged with the violation of the liquor laws as compared with only 1.3 percent in Minnesota. It is evident from table 17 that it is more difficult to convict defendants on liquor charges than for most other offenses. This difficulty was present in Kansas, for 50.6 percent of the defendants charged with liquor law violation were not convicted (table 39).

TABLE 19. - DEFENDANTS TRIED BY JURY, BY OFFENSE, IN 25 STATES: 1934

OFFENSE	Number of defend- ants	DEFENDANTS TRIED BY JURY		OFFENSE	Number of defend- ants	DEFENI TRIED I	
	dis- posed of	Number	Percent		dis- posed of	Number	Percent
All offenses	139,928	24,396	17.4	Other sex offenses		908	19.5
				theft	15,485	2,516	16.5
Murder	1,399	737	52.7	fraud	6,342	1,022	16.1
Cembling	3,647	1,619	44.4	Violating drug laws-	1,157	157	13.6
Manslaughter	1,235	527	42.7	Auto theft	4,581	605	13.2
Aggravated assault Prostitution and com-	7,307	2,634	36.0	Burglary Violating liquor	15,083	1,893	12.6
mercial, vice	900	275	30.6	laws	10,798	1,332	12.3
Robbe ry Rape	6,110 2,911	1,863 770	30.5 26.5	Forgery	3,640	343	9.4
Carrying weapons, etc. Other motor vehicle	2,204	583	26.5	Disorderly conduct	4,104	270	6.6
laws Stolen property	2,698 1,675	666 354	24.7	and drunkenness Nonsupport or neg-	4,726	219	4.6
Driving while intoxi-	2,010	004		lect	7,611	286	3.8
cated	7,568	1,584	20.9	Vagrancy	3,576	6	0.3
Other esseult	5,517	1,104	20.0	Parking violations		2	0.1

TABLE 20. - DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH ROBBERY AND CONVICTED, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ents charged	CONVICT ROBBER LESSER		STATE	Tctal defend- ants charged	CONVICTED OF ROBBERY OF LESSER OFFENSE	
	with robbery	Number	Percent		with robbery	Number	Percent
Ten States	5,338	4,130	77.4	District of Columbia	305 173	242 133	79.3 76.9
				Kenses	173 897	130 673	75.1 75.0
Connecticut Pennsylvania Michigan	123 1,690 177	111 1,432 143	90.2 84.7 80.8	California New Jersey Missouri	786 616 398	587 414 265	74.7 67.2 66.6

TABLE 21. - DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH BURGLARY AND CONVICTED, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Totel defend- ants charged	CONVICTED OF BURGLARY OR LESSER OFFENSE		STATE	Total defend- ants charged		TED OF ARY OR OFFENSE
	with burglary	Numbe r	Percent		with burglary	Number	Percent
Twenty-one States	13,746	11,523	83.8	Pennsylvania	3,166 127	2,750 110	86.9
	,			Montane	121	103	85.1
Oregon	134	123	91.8	California	1,586	1,325	83.5
Minnesote	327	300	91.7	New Jersey	1,386	1,139	82.2
Connecticut	299	272	91.0	Oh10	1,915	1,564	81.7
Nebraska	295	268	90.8	Iowa	374	298	79.7
South Dakota	116	105	90.5	Utah	121	92	76.0
Michigan	931	839	90.1	Colorado	540	407	75.4
District of Columbia-	332	298	89.8	Kansas	422	318	75.4
Washington	333	299	89.8	Arizona	163	121	74.2
Idaho	101	89	88.1	Missouri	957	703	73.5

However, differences in the types of offenses dealt with by the courts by no means affords complete explanation of State variations in procedural results. Considerable variations between States are still present when convictions for specific offenses are compared. Tables 20, 21, 22 and 23 23/ compare the convictions by States for robbery, burglary, larceny, and aggravated assault,

respectively.

Connecticut convicted 90.2 percent, and Missouri only 66.6 percent, of the defendants charged with robbery. Missouri also had the smallest percentage of convictions for burglary and aggravated assault. In that State 73.5 percent of the defendants charged with burglary and 49.5 percent of those charged with aggravated assault were convicted. In Oregon, on the other hand, 91.8 percent of the defendants charged with burglary were convicted. In California. 75.9 percent of the defendants charged with aggravated assault were convicted. Minnesota convicted 88.5 percent of its defendants charged with larceny, Montana only 63.6. It should be noted that these convictions included convictions of lesser offenses than those charged.

TABLE 22.—DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT, AND CONVICTED, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants charged	CONVICT LARCEN LESSER		Tota defen ants charg		LESSER OFFENSE	
	with larceny	Number	Percent		with larceny	Number	Percent
Nineteen States	13,287	10,146	76.4	New Jersey	1,099	837 160	76.: 75.:
dinnesote	684	605	88.5	Pennsylvania District of Columbia	5,113	3,869 172	75. 75.
dichigen	503	432	85.9	Kansas	541	406	75.
lorth Dakota	184	158	85.9	Wyoming	110	82	74.
regon	234	192	82.1	Celifornia	669	485	72.
OW8BWO	433	355	82.0	New Mexico	246	175	71.
Seshington	436	348	79.8	Missouri	970	669	69.
ebraska	228	.181	79.4	Colorado	471	322	68.
)hio	784	607	77.4	Montane	143	91	63.

TABLE 23. — DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AND CONVICTED, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants charged with	CONVICT AGGRAY ASSAUI LESSER	ATED	STATE	Total defend- ents charged with	CONVICT AGGRAV ASSAUT LESSER	ATED T OR
	aggravated sasault Number Perce	Percent		eggravated assault	Number	Percent	
Eleven States	6,484	4,008	61.8	New Mexico		70 488	63.1
e				Colorado		84	59.2
Californis		464	75.9	Arizona	137	80	58.4
Dietrict of Columbia		120	73.6	Kanses	140	81	57.9
Michigan		108	73.5	Pennsylvania	3,374	1.953	57.9
Oh10	575	410	71.3	Missouri	303	150	49.5

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON CONVICTED OFFENDERS. -- When a defendant is convicted of an offense the trial judge must impose sentence upon him. In some offenses, as in murder, the judge has no discretion as to sentence. But for most offenses the judge has considerable freedom to choose the penalty to be imposed. The law may give him the right to fix prison sentences between minimum and maximum

23/ Wisconsin is excluded from consideration in these tebles because of the large percentage of "other no penalty dispositions." Most of these cases are bind-overs from the inferior courts to the superior courts and are not necessarily cases in which there has been a failure to obtain conviction. This is evident from the statistics of the District Court of Milweukee, which had by far the largest number of cases listed as "other no penalty dispositions;" 55 out of 56 robbery cases, 75 out of 86 aggravated asseults, 275 out of 283 burglaries, and 134 out of 147 cases of rape are entered under "other no penalty dispositions."

limits, or fines between certain amounts. He may have the right to choose between different types of treatment, for example, between probation and imprisonment or between imprisonment in the local jail or in the State prison. The use which the judge makes of his discretion is fraught with great conse-

quence to the individual offender and to the general security.

Unfortunately, the law does not follow consistent aims in prescribing punishments. All theories of punishment may be found in the law; punishment as retribution, as deterrence, as reformation, and as a means of incapacitation of the criminal. The judge is given few guides as to which theory he shall act upon in the individual case. It is inevitable that the personal predilections of the judge will largely determine the sentences that he imposes. Wide variations in the use of the sentencing power are, therefore, to be expected. Such variations have been observed in a single State where judges operate under the same law 24/ Considerable differences have also been noted in the sentences imposed by a number of judges attached to the same court. 25/ If variation in sentence is the rule within a State, or within the same court, it is to be expected between States. The data on the sentences imposed in the 25 States studied throw light upon the differences in the use of the sentencing power as between States.

Table 24 presents the basic statistics on the penalties of the 97,365 convicted offenders. Of these offenders, 22 percent were sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, 25.5 percent to local jails and workhouses, and 23.3 percent to pay fines, costs, or other money payments; 22.3 percent were placed on probation or given a suspended sentence with supervision, and 5.3 percent receive the same type of sentence without supervision. Institutions for juveniles, the death penalty, and other penalties accounted for the balance of the

sentenced defendants.

TABLE 24. - DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURT OF CENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES: 1934

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	ALL OF	FENSES
Character Car and Canada Canad	Number	Percent
Defendants sentenced	97,365	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	21,374 1,016 24,829 22,665 21,704 5,143 62 572	22.0 1.0 25.5 23.3 22.3 5.3 0.1

The variations between States in sentences to State prisons and reforma-

tories may be noted in table 25.

Over three-fifths of the convicted defendants in Colorado, the District of Columbia, and Montana were sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, as compared with less than one-twelfth sent to such institutions in Pennsylvania and Wisconsin.

To some extent State variations in sentences are due to differences in the offenses for which offenders were convicted. There is considerable agreement between table 45, giving the percentage of offenders found guilty of major offenses, and table 25, showing the percentage of offenders sentenced to State prisons and reformatories. Seven of the leading 10 States in the major offense table are to be found in the leading 10 States of the sentence table; converse-ly 7 of the lowest 10 States in the major offense table are at the bottom of ly, 7 of the lowest 10 States in the major offense table are at the bottom of the list in the table showing the percentage of offenders sentenced to State prisons and reformatories. These facts should occasion no surprise, since major offenses are more severely punished than minor offenses. States with high proportions of defendants convicted of major offenses should, therefore, send more defendants to prisons and reformatories than States with low percentages convicted of major offenses. Sentences to State prisons and reformatories are generally more severe than sentences to other penal institutions.

New Jersey Judicial Council - 6th Annual Report, 1935 pp.29-36.

Individual differences in the sentencing tendencies of judges. 23 Journal of Criminal Law

TABLE 25.—DEFENDANTS SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES (FOR ADULTS), BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants san-	SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES (FOR ADULTS)		STATE	Total defend- ants sen-	SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES (FOR ADULTS)		
	tonend	Percent		tenced	Number	Percent		
Twenty-five States-	97,365	21,374	22.0	MinnesotaOhio	6,597	970 2,456	37.2 37.2	
Colorado	1,315	912 799	69.4 61.1	Michigan	1,461	1,540 513 287	35.1 35.1 34.8	
District of Columbia- Montana Utah	1,307 495	302	61.0	Oregon	885	307 248	34.7	
Washington	343 1,335	199 760	56.9	North Dakota	2,450	748	30.5	
Wyoming	2,128 266	1,185	55.7 53.4	California	1,291	2,215 345	27.1 26.7	
Arizona	612 758	298 358	48.7 47.2	Naw Jersey	379	1,636 85	24.4 22.4	
Missouri	ouri 3,666 1,670 45.6			Pennsylvania Wisconsin	31,029 17,117	2,216 998	7.1 5.8	

But differences in the types of offenses for which convicted offenders are sentenced is only a partial explanation of State variations in sentences. New Hampshire and Montana, for example, had somewhat similar percentages of convictions for major offenses—71.5 percent and 78.0 percent—yet New Hampshire sent only 22.4 percent of its convicted defendants to State prisons and reformatories as compared with 61 percent of the defendants sent to these institutions in Montana. State variations in the types of treatment used are still found when sentences for the same offense are studied. Tables 26, 27, 28, and 29 present data on defendants convicted of burglary who were sentenced, respectively, to State prisons and reformatories, to local jails and workhouses, and to probation with and probation without supervision.

TABLE 26. — DEFENDANTS SENTENCED FOR BURGLARY SENT TO PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES (FOR ADULTS), FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total dafend- ants sentenced for	SENTENC STATE F AND REFOR (FOR A	PRISONS	STATE	Total defend- ants sentencad for	SENTENC STATE F AND REFOR (FOR A	RISONS MATORIES
	burglary	Number	er Parcent		burglary	Number	Percant
Twenty States	11,915	5,343	44.8	Nabraska		150 69	56. 56.
				lowaOhio	298	161 788	54.0
Kansas	318	259	81.4	Michigan	835	424	50.
Washington	298	241	80.9	Minnasota	301	140	46.
Missouri	684	526	76.9	Connecticut	269	111	41.
Colorado	404	307	76.0	New Jarsay	1,104	400	36.
Montana	102	77	75.5	Wisconsin	675	231	34.
rizona	122	85	69.7	California	1,302	441	33.5
district of Columbia	298	207	69.5	New Hampshire	110	36	32.
South Dakota	106	66	62.3	Pannsylvania	2,750	624	22.

In Kansas and Washington 8 out of every 10 offenders convicted of burglary were sentenced to State prisons or reformatories, but less than one-third of such defendants were sentenced to these institutions in New Hampshire and Pennsylvania. No defendants convicted of burglary were sentenced to local jails and workhouses in Oregon, yet Pennsylvania sent 41.1 percent of such defendants to local jails and workhouses. In Washington, il.1 percent of the defendants convicted of burglary were put on probation or given a suspended sentence with supervision, as compared with 46.5 percent in Wisconsin and 41.7 percent in Michigan. In New Hampshire and Oregon 27.3 percent and 14.6 percent, respectively, of the defendants convicted of burglary were placed on probation or given suspended sentences without supervision. These sentences accounted for less than 10 percent of the defendants sentenced in all other States.

TABLE 27.—DEFENDANTS SENTENCED FOR BURGLARY SENT TO LOCAL JAILS AND WORKHOUSES, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants sentenced for	SENTENC LOCAL AND WOS		STATE	Total defend- ants santenced for	SENTENC LOCAL AND WOR	
		Number	Fercent		burglary	Number	Percant
Twenty States	11,915	2,246	18.9	Nebraska		35 140	13.1
				South Dakota District of Columbia		9 18	8.5
Pennsylvania	2,750	1,130	41.1	Michigan	835	50	6.0
California		316	24.3	Missouri	684	39	5.7
Iowa	298	70	23.5	Kansas	318	15	4.7
Connecticut	269	59	21.9	Colorado	404	18	4.5
Minnasota	301	50	16.6	Montana	102	2	2.0
New Jersay	1,104	173	15.7	Washington	298	4	1.3
Wisconsin	675	101	15.0	Arizona	122	1	0.8
New Hampshira	110	16	14.5	Oregon	123		

TABLE 28.—DEFENDANTS SENTENCED FOR BURGLARY AND PLACED ON PROBATION OR GIVEN SUSPENDED SENTENCE WITH SUPERVISION, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defand- ants santanced for	PLACE PROBATI GIVEN SU SENTENO SUPERI	ION OR USPENDED OE WITH	STATE	Total dafend- ants sentenced for	PLACE PROBATI GIVEN SU SENTENO SUPERV	ON OR USPENDED E WITH
	burglary Number		Percent		burglary	Number	Percent
Twenty States	11,915	3,398	28.5	Nabraska	110	69 71 · 24 26	25.8 23.8 21.8 21.3
Wisconain	675	314	46.5	Pennsylvania	2,750	561	20.4
Michigan	835	348	41.7	Colorado	404	76	18.8
New Jarsey	1,104	417	37.8	Iowa	298	53	17.8
Ohio	1,549	584	37.7	South Dakota	106	18	17.0
California	1,302	448	34.4	Montana	102	16	15.7
Minnesota	301	100	33.2	Kansas		41	12.9
Connecticut	269	78	29.0	Missouri	684	88	12.9
Oregon	123	33	26.8	Washington	298	33	11.1

TABLE 29.—DEFENDANTS SENTENCED FOR BURGLARY AND PLACED ON PROBATION OR GIVEN SUSPENDED SENTENCE WITHOUT SUPERVISION, FOR SELECTED STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defend- ants sentenced for	PLACE PROBATI GIVEN SU SENTENCE SUPERV	ON OR SPENDED WITHOUT	STATE	Total defend- ants sentenced for burglary	PLACE PROBATI GIVEN SU SENTENCE SUPERV	ON OR SPENDED WITHOUT
	burglary	Number	Percent		parkrara	Number	Parcent
Twenty States	11,915	392	3.3	Washington Minnesots Viaconsin California	301 675	7 6 12 20	2.3 2.0 1.8 1.5
New Hampshira	110	30	27.3	Chio	1,549	21	1.4
Oregon	123	18	14.6	Iowa		4	1.3
South Dakota	106	9	8.5	Nebraska		3	1.1
Arizona	122	10	8.2	Kansas		3	0.9
Connecticut		20	7.4	Michigan		7	0.8
Naw Jersey		68	6.2	Missouri		5	0.7
Pennsylvania	2,750	146	5.3	Colorado			
Montana	102	3	2.9	District of Columbia	298		

Other offenses show similar variations. According to table 30, Kansas leads in the percentage of convicted defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories for larceny, auto theft, and robbery. Sentences to State prisons and reformatories accounted for 68.3 percent, 83.1 percent, and 93.1 percent,

respectively, of the defendants convicted of larceny, auto theft, and robbery in Kansas. In Wisconsin, on the other hand, only 10.7 percent of the defendants convicted of larceny were sent to State prisons and reformatories. In Pennsylvania, 16.1 percent and 18.3 percent, respectively, of the defendants convicted of auto theft and robbery were sent to these institutions. Of the defendants convicted of aggravated assault, 62.5 percent in the District of Columbia were sent to State prisons and reformatories, as compared with 3.7 percent in Pennsylvania.

TABLE 30.—PERCENTAGE OF DEFENDANTS CONVICTED OF LARCENY, AUTO THEFT, ROBBERY, AND AGGRAVATED ASSAULT, WHO WERE SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES (FOR ADULTS), BY SELECTED STATES: 1934

LARCENY		AUTO THEFT		ROBBERY		AGGRAVATED ASSAU	LT
STATE	Per- cent	STATE	Per- cent	STATE	Per-	STATE	Per-
Kanses Colorado	58.1 58.7 56.9 55.4 53.9 48.3 46.1 44.5 43.3 40.7 40.4 39.4 28.2 23.5 21.8 12.8	Kanses	61.8 47.8 47.2 45.3 43.5 33.7 28.8 23.4	Kansae- Minnesota Miesouri Michigan Wisconsin	92.4 89.1 83.8 82.2 75.3 73.2 70.3 70.2 65.3	Dist. of Columbia Missouri Wieconsin Ohio	47.4 36.1 32.7 27.7 26.3

These variations between States in sentences for similar offenses are due not alone to differences in judicial attitudes but also to differences in State laws vary in the length and types of sentences that may be imposed for similar offenses. In Kansas, a theft of more than \$20 is punishable by imprisonment up to 5 years.26/ No minimum term is prescribed. In Wisconsin, a theft of \$20 to \$100 is punishable by 6 months to 1 year imprisonment, and a theft of \$100 to \$1,000 is punishable by 1 to 5 years imprisonment.27/ In North Dakota a theft of more than \$20 is punishable by imprisonment of from 3 months to 10 years.28/ In most States, offenders sentenced to more than one year imprisonment are sent to State prisons, and offenders sentenced for less than one year to local jails and workhouses; but in Pennsylvania and Wisconsin long term offenders may be sent to local penal institutions.29/ Some States, such as Kansas, limit probation to first offenders.30/ In Connecticut, only persons convicted of a felony for the third time are ineligible for probation.31/ In New Jersey, probation may be granted for "any crime or offense".32/ In Kansas, offenders convicted of murder, forcible rape, arson, robbery, burglary, or larceny of auto or live stock, may not be put on probation.30/ Such differences in State laws render impossible any uniformity in the treatment of offenders.

- 26/ The term is longer in certain cases of grand lerceny, for example, where e horse or an automobile is atolen. Revised Statutes of Kansas (1923) 21-533, 4.
 - 27/ Wisconsin Statutes (1935), 343-17.
 - 28/ North Dakota Compiled Laws (1913), 9916, Supp. (1913-25) 9917.
 - 29/ Purdon's Pennsylvenia Statutes, 19-891; Wisconsin Statutes (1935) 56-18.
 - 30/ Kansas Revised Statutes (1923) 62-2203.
 - 31/ Connecticut General Statutes (1930) 6487, 6518.
 - 32/ New Jersey Laws of 1929, ch.156.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

It is evident from the statistics analyzed above that the States vary considerably in the methods used to dispose of criminal cases and in the proportion of defendants convicted and eliminated without conviction. These variations are due, in part, to differences in court jurisdiction, administrative practices, and legal provisions. They may, however, reflect inherent differences in the efficiency of law enforcement agencies.

Despite the variations, it is possible to trace common patterns of criminal justice administration in the 25 States studied. The statistics make it clear justice administration in the 25 states studied. The statistics make it crear that trials do not play the part in the disposition of criminal cases that has been generally assumed. Three times as many cases were disposed of through some administrative action, such as dismissal by the prosecution, or acceptance of a plea of guilty, as were tried by courts or juries. The dominating figure in these administrative dispositions is the prosecutor. Most of the cases were eliminated without conviction on his responsibility. Many of the pleas of suilty were undoubtedly preceded by a process of bargaining between the defendguilty were undoubtedly preceded by a process of bargaining between the defend-ant and the prosecutor. In the cases brought to trial, a higher proportion of the defendants were convicted by courts than were convicted by juries.

However, the above patterns do not apply to all offenses. Procedural methods and results vary with individual offenses as with States. It was easier, for example, to convict defendants charged with property crimes than defendants charged with crimes against the person. Some offenses, such as gambling and prostitution, show a surprisingly high proportion of the defendants tried by

jury.

The States vary in the sentences imposed on convicted offenders as well as in procedural results and methods. Thus, in 1934, offenders convicted of the same offense had a much greater chance in some States than in others of being sentenced to imprisonment rather than to pay fines or to serve probation terms. being

DETAILED TABLES

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DEFINITELY BEFORE TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, BY STATES: 1934

	DEFENDANT	S BEFORE T	HE COURT		DEFENDANTS	DISPOSED OF		CESES	
STATE	Total	Cases carried over	New	Total	Without conviction	Convicted of offensa charged	Convicted of lesser offense	pending at en- of year	
Twenty-five States	157,910	17,454	140,456	139,928	42,563	92,911	4,454	17,9	
irlzona	1,305	161	1,144	953	341	563	49	31	
alifornia	11,369	1,448	9,921	10,389	2,226	7,570	593	91	
colorado	2,730	552	2,178	2,316	1,001	1,220	95	4	
Connecticut	1,919	157	1,762	1,808	517	1,249	42	1	
district of Columbia	2,476	377	2,099	1,752	445	1,19€	111	7	
daho	665	29	636	597	161	277	159		
Owe	3,917	538	3,379	3,474	1,024	2,404	46	4	
anses	4,317	1,116	3,201	3,741	1,613	1,999	129	5	
Michigan	6,344	526	5,818	5,574	1,183	4,274	117	7	
Innesota	3,370	237	3,133	3,060	451	2,513	96	3	
Missouri	7,729	1,708	6,021	6,412	2,746	3,389	277	1,3	
lontane	961	138	823	721	226	469	26	2	
ebraska	2,642	416 118	2,226	2,229	768	1,409	52	4	
lew Jersey			564	527	148	374	5	1	
ew Mexico	12,404	2,216	10,188	10,185	3,486	6,487	212	2,2	
orth Dakota	1,634	274	1,346	1,160	218	702	29	3	
hio	13,125	3,576	9,549	9,859	3,262	5.818	779	3,2	
regon	1,328	208	1.120	1,119	234	876	9	3,2	
enneylvenia	48.390	1,811	46,579	46,139	15,110	29,702	1,327	2.2	
outh Dakote	1.230	122	1.108	1.061	237	773	51	1	
tah	553	69	484	512	169	302	41	1	
ashington	2,466	234	2,232	1,964	629	1.286	49	:	
isconsin	24.370	1,015	23.355	22,925	5,808	17,035	82	1.4	
yoming	600	120	480	424	158	244	22	1,3	

TABLE 32.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934

OFFENSE	25 States	Ari- zone	Cali- fornia	Colo- rado	Conn- acticut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
All offenses	139,928	953	10,389	2,316	1,808	1,752	597	3,474
Munder	1,235 6,110 7,307 5,517 15,083 15,485 4,581 4,581 4,675 3,640 2,911 900 4,601 1,187 2,804 4,01 10,798 4,104 1,719 1,719 2,698	26 21 44 137 24 163 84 41 54 12 34 34 55 13 15 2 22 19 76 9 10	185 171 786 611 1,586 669 707 489 124 543 391 21 283 790 143 197 40 1,810 23	55 21 74 142 40 540 471 30 202 36 98 1C4 2 28 8 2 36 9 2	5 48 123 51 83 299 88 60 25 21 42 18 138 3 3 14 37 61 44 147 2	62 18 305 163 332 228 201 60 19 40 38 15 4 23 28 91	16 10 25 20 10 11 83 26 24 22 6 6 16 1	17 18 78 86 73 374 433 108 140 61 147 52 26 87 4 41 118 739 42 118 118 134 134
Vegrancy		1 9 95	2 23 586	1 17 316	2 29 212	29 96	53	10 59 276

TABLE 32.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OFFENSE	Kansas	Mich- igan	Minn- esota	Missouri	Montana	Ne- bras- ka	New Hamp- shire	New Jersay	New Mexico
All offenses	3,741	5,574	3,060	6,412	721	2,229	527	10,185	1,160
Murder	59	58	24	147	16	20	2	74	48
Mensleughter	30	52	20	32	7	18	6	111	13
Robbery	173	177	173	398	27	65	11	616	32
Aggrevated assault	140	147	67	303	36	33	13	781	111
Other assault	101	224 931	80 327	276 957	31	93 295	25 127	541	73 73
Larceny, except auto theft	541	503	684	970	143	228	57	1,099	246
Auto theft	148	260	194	215	34	86	22	346	24
Embezzlement and fraud	194	128	81	229	9	60	46	691	48
Stolen property Forgery	49 183	37 113	50 143	84 247	11 53	54 114	1 18	187	18
Rape	97	174	47	119	47	33	26	167	24
Prostitution and commercialized									
Vice	7	17	8	18	3	35		190	13
Violating drug laws	27	213	143	67	13 18	31	13	610	13
Carrying weapons, etc	1	85	10	161	18	39	2	273	25
Nonsupport or neglect	159	156	82	42	1	70	18	853	12
Violating liquor lews	820	544	41	336	6	255	22	596	98
Driving while intoxicated Roed and driving lews	90	173 73	196 33	254 316	2	199	48	68	50
Perking violetions		4		4					
Other motor-vehicle laws	24	187	24	71	7	21	8	10	4
Disorderly conduct and drunken-							_		
Vagrancy	100	374	28	311 248	5	86 55	5	18	22
Gambling	37	38	59	70	39	16	5 •	514	56
All other offenses	279	874	546	536	72	253	31	832	104
							L		
									1
OFFENSE	North Dakota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvenia	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming
OFFENSE	2102 42	Ohio	Oregon			Utah			Wyoming
OFFENSE All offenses	Dakotu	Ohio 9,859	Oregon			Utah 512			Wyoming 424
	Dakotu			sylvenia	Dakota		ington	consin	
All offensea	Dakota 1,027	9,859	1,119	46,139	Dakota 1,061	512	ington 1,964	22,925	424
All offenses	1,027	9,859	1,119	46,139	1,061 6	512	1,964	22,925 39	424
All offenses	1,027	9,859 256 131	1,119	46,139 234 380	1,061 6	512	1,964	22,925 39 62	16 3
Murder	1,027	9,859	1,119	46,139	1,061 6	512	1,964	22,925 39	424
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39	9,859 256 131 897 575 356	1,119 4 10 14 40 38	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557	1,061 6 10 25 33 37	512 4 14 35 30 14	1,964 22 24 63 48 66	22,925 39 62 234 313 680	16 3 17 26 7
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166	0 1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116	512 4 14 35 30 14 121	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128	16 3 17 26 7 55
Murder	1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113	0 1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 6333 436	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638	16 3 17 26 7 55
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113	0 1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116	512 4 14 35 30 14 121	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128	16 3 17 26 7 55
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15	39 62 22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 357 937	166 3 177 266 2755 1100 1077
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 84 20 35 11 22	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508 690	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 13	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 357 937 104 293	16 3 17 26 6 7 5 5 110 10 10 17 18 30 0
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15	39 62 22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 357 937	166 3 17 266 27 555 110 10 17
Murder	1,027 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 84 20 35 11 22	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68	344,139 234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 8,171 508 690 722 413	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 1 30 24	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 357 937 104 293 421	166 3 177 266 77 55 110 10 177 18 30 13
Murder	1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314 178	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 256 68 36 68 83 31	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 ,508 690 722 413 1,692	1,061 6 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 25 22 22 22	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 1 30 24	22 24 63 33 436 66 88 15 11 119 32 84	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 357 937 104 293 421 73 869	166 3 17 266 6 7 55 110 10 10 13 13 13
Murder	1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314 178	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2	234 46,139 234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508 6990 722 413 1,692 164	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 25 22 22	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 30 24	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11 119 32 3 84 11	22,925 39 62 22,34 313 680 1,128 1,838 337 104 293 421 73 869 27	166 3 3 177 266 7 7 55 1100 107 17 18 300 13 3 3 3 3 3 3
Murder	1,027 4 5 5 28 8 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 24 1 5 60	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314 178 18 102 2443	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 225 68 88 36 688 31 2 399 2 3	234 380 1,690 3,374 885 2,171 508 690 722 413 1,692 164	1,061 66 100 255 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 28 22 32 13	512 4 14 135 30 14 121 47 13 18 1 30 24	22 24 63 63 48 66 88 15 11 119 32 3 844 11 1	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 357 104 293 421 73 869 27 112	166 3 17 266 7 55 110 10 17 18 30 13 3
Murder	1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314 178 18 102 2 243 865	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508 690 722 413 1,692 1,692 1,692 1,692 1,891 4,894	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 25 22 22	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 30 24	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11 119 32 3 84 11	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,63	166 33 177 266 7 555 1100 110 117 188 300 13
Murder	Dakota 1,02? 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 542 179 314 178 18 102 246 856 856	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 32 145	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508 690 722 413 1,692 154 1,012 3,891 4,894 3,204	1,061 66 100 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 25 2 2 32 88 116 89	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 18 20 21 7 1 20 17 3	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 88 15 11 119 32 84 11 161 47 76	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516	166 3 177 266 7 7 555 110 100 107 13 13 3 3 3 3 3 5 5 5
Murder	Dekcta 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60 10 24 222 37 2	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 542 179 314 178 18 102 244 365 856 117 70	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 3 2 2 145 37 11	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171,508 690 722 413 1,692 1,692 1,012 3,891 4,894 3,204 9,772	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 25 2 2 32 1 3 3 28 116 89	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 1 1 24 21 20 17	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11 119 32 3 84 11 1 61 47 766 25	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,838 357 104 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246	166 3 17 266 7 7 155 110 110 12 13 3 3 3 3 3 5 13
Murder	Daketa 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 1 5 60 10 24 222 37 2	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 617 542 179 314 178 18 102 243 865 856 856 117 70	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 25 66 68 83 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 11 1	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 5,101 1,692 154 1,012 3,891 4,892 4,592 154 2,577 3,000	1,061 66 100 255 333 7116 211 211 211 30 25 22 32 1 33 28 116 89 9 1	512 4 14 35 30 14 12 13 18 1 30 24 	22 24 63 48 66 333 486 15 11 119 32 3 84 11 1 61 47 76 25 4	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 1,638 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246 1,670	166 3 17 266 7 7 155 110 110 12 13 3 3 3 3 3 5 13
Murder	Dekcta 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60 10 24 222 37 2	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 542 179 314 178 18 102 244 365 856 117 70	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 3 2 2 145 37 11	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171,508 690 722 413 1,692 1,692 1,012 3,891 4,894 3,204 9,772	1,061 6 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 25 2 2 32 1 3 3 28 116 89	512 4 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 18 20 21 7 1 20 17 3	22 24 63 48 66 88 15 11 11 9 32 3 84 11 1 61 47 76 25 4 23	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,838 357 104 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246	166 3 17 266 7 7 155 110 110 12 13 3 3 3 3 3 5 13
Murder	Daketa 1,02? 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60 10 24 222 37 7 2	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 617 542 179 314 178 18 102 243 865 856 856 117 70	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 32 145 37 11 6	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 1,012 164 1,012 3,891 4,894 3,204 4,894 3,204 60 1,153	1,061 66 100 255 333 7116 211 211 211 30 25 22 32 1 33 28 116 89 9 1	512 4 14 35 30 14 12 13 18 1 30 24 	1,964 222 244 633 486 666 888 15 11 119 32 344 11 161 47 76 25 4 23	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246 1,670 794 2,655	166 3 17 266 7 7 155 110 110 12 13 3 3 3 3 3 5 13
Murder	Dekcta 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60 10 24 2222 37 2 16 28	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 9314 178 18 102 2 243 865 856 117 70 2 42 168	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 32 2 145 37 11 1 8	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508 690 722 413 1,692 154 1,012 3,891 14,884 3,204 972 30 1,153	1,061 66 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 25 2 2 31 3 28 8 116 89 9 1 1 2 43	512 4 14 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 1 30 24 	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11 119 32 3 84 11 1 61 47 76 6 25 4 23 17	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,838 357 937 104 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246 1,670 794 2,655 3,228	424 16 33 17 26 7 55 110 10 10 17 18 30 13
Murder	Daketa 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 1 5 60 10 24 222 37 2 16 28 31	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 617 542 179 314 178 102 243 865 856 856 117 20 42 168 64	1,119 4 10 14 4 4 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68 83 31 2 39 2 3 3 32 145 37 11 6	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 5,101 1,692 154 1,012 3,891 4,894 4,912 3,891 4,894 7,72 30 0 1,153	1,061 66 100 255 33 37 116 211 211 30 25 32 17 30 28 116 89 9 1 12 43	512 4 14 35 30 14 12 13 18 1 30 24 	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11 119 32 3 84 11 61 47 76 25 4 23	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,638 1,638 2,73 104 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246 1,670 794 2,655 3,228	424 16 3 17 26 7 55 10 10 17 18 30 33 3 5 19 2 1
Murder	Dekcta 1,027 4 5 28 27 39 81 184 20 35 11 22 41 5 60 10 24 2222 37 2 16 28	9,859 256 131 897 575 356 1,915 784 617 9314 178 18 102 2 243 865 856 117 70 2 42 168	1,119 4 10 14 40 38 134 234 25 68 36 68 31 2 39 2 3 32 2 145 37 11 1 8	234 380 1,690 3,374 2,557 3,166 5,113 885 2,171 508 690 722 413 1,692 154 1,012 3,891 14,884 3,204 972 30 1,153	1,061 66 10 25 33 37 116 211 36 24 17 30 25 2 2 31 3 28 8 116 89 9 1 1 2 43	512 4 14 14 35 30 14 121 47 13 18 1 30 24 	1,964 22 24 63 48 66 333 436 88 15 11 119 32 3 84 11 1 61 47 76 6 25 4 23 17	22,925 39 62 234 313 680 1,128 1,838 357 937 104 293 421 73 869 27 112 612 755 516 2,246 1,670 794 2,655 3,228	424 16 33 17 26 7 55 110 10 10 17 18 30 13

TABLE 33.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1934

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

OFFENSE	25	States	Arizo	na Cali- fornia	Colo- rado	Con- nect- icut	Distri of Columb	Idaho	Iowa
All offenses		100.0	100	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0 100.0	100.0
Murder		1.0	-	.7 1.8	2.4	0.3		.5 2.7	
Manslaughter		0.9		.2 1.6	0.9	2.7 6.8	1	.0 1.7	
Aggregated accoult		5.2	14		6.1	2.8		.3 3.4	
Other assault	!	3.9		.5 0.4	1.7	4.6		3.0	
BurglaryLarceny, except auto theft		10.8	17	.1 15.3	23.3	16.5	18.		
Auto theft		3.3		.3 6.8	1.3	4.9	11.		
Embazzlement and fraud		4.5	5	.7 4.7	8.7	3.3	3.		
Stolen property		1.2		.3 1.2	1.6	1.4	1.	.1 3.7	1.8
Forgery		2.6		.6 5.2	4.2	1.2	2.		
Rape		2.1		.6 3.8	4.5	2.3	2.		
Prostitution and commercialized vi Other sex offenses	ce-	0.6		.5 0.2	0.1	1.0	0.		2.5
Violating drug laws		0.8		.6 7.6	0.1	0.2	1.		
Carrying weapons, etc		1.6		.2 1.4		0.8			1.2
Nonsupport or neglect		5.4	2.	.3 1.9	2.5	2.0	1.	6 1.5	3.4
Violating liquor laws		7.7	2.	.0 0.4	1.6	3.4	5.	2 5.2	21.3
Driving while intoxicated		5.4		.0 17.4	0.4	2.4		1.0	13.3
Road and driving lews		2.9		.9 0.2	0.1	8.1			0.5
Other motor-vehicle laws		1.2	1.	į.	0.4	0.1		- 0.7	1.0
Disorderly conduct and drunkenness		3.4		.3 0.1	0.8	5.3			0.3
Vagrancy		2.6	0.	.1		0.1			0.3
Gambling		2.6	0.		0.7	1.6	1.		7.9
All other olienses		9,5	10.	.0 5.6	13.6	11.7	5.	5 8.9	7.9
						1			
OFFENSE	Kansas	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missouri	Montana	Ne- braske	New Hemp- shire	New Jersey	New Mexico
All offenses	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
V. A									
MurderManslaughter	1.6		0.8	2.3	2.2	0.9	0.4	0.7	4.1
Robberv	4.6	3.2	5.7	6.2	3.7	2.9	2.1	6.0	2.8
Aggravated ageault	3.7	2.6	2.2	4.7	5.0	1.5	2.5	7.7	9.6
Other assault	2.7	15.7	2.6	4.3	4.3 16.8	13.2	4.7	5.3	6.3
Larceny, except auto theft	14.5	9.0	22.4	15.1	19.8	10.2	10.8	10.8	6.3
Auto theft	4.0	4.7	6.3	3.4	4.7	3.9	4.2	3.4	2.1
Embezzlement and fraud	5.2	2.3	2.6	3.6	1.2	2.7	8.7	6.8	4.1
Stolen property	1.3	0.7	1.6	1.3	1.5	2.4	0.2	1.8	1.6
Forgery	4.9	2.0	4.7	3.9	7.4	5.1	3.4	1.8	3.3
Prostitution and commercialized	2.6	3.1	1.5	1.9	6.5	1.5	4.9	1.6	2.1
V1C8	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	1.6		1.9	1.1
Other sex offenses	0.7	3.8	4.7	1.0	1.8	1.4	2.5	6.0	1.1
Violating drug lews	0.6	0.4	0.3	2.5	2.5	1.7	0.4	2.7	0.8
Carrying weapons, etc Nonsupport or neglect	4.5	2.8	2.7	0.7	0.1	3.1	3.4	8.4	1.0
Violating liquor laws	21.9	9.8	1.3	5.2	0.8	11.4	4.2	5.9	8.4
Driving while intoxicated	2.4	3.1	6.4	4.0	0.3	8.9	9.1	0.7	4.3
Road and driving laws	0.7	1.3	1.1	4.9	0.1	3.1	4.0	0.1	0.5
Parking violations		0.1	0.8	0.1	1.0	0.9	1.5	0.1	0.3
Other meter mehicle leve	0.0						L.D	U. I	() 5
Other motor-vehicle laws	0.6	3.4 6.7							
Other motor-vehicle laws Disorderly conduct and drunkenness Vagrancy	0.6 2.7	6.7	0.9	4.9	0.7	3.9 2.5	0.9	0.2	1.9
Other motor-wehicle laws	2.7	6.7 0.2 0.7	0.9	4.9 3.9 1.1	0.7 0.1 5.4	3.9 2.5 0.7	0.9	5.0	1.9
Other motor-vehicle laws Disorderly conduct and drunkenness Vagrancy	2.7	6.7	0.9	4.9 3.9	0.7	3.9 2.5	0.9	0.2	1.9

TABLE 33.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

OFFENSE	North Dakota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- aylvania	South Dakota	Utah	Wesh- ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming
All offenses	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	0.4 0.5 2.7 2.6 3.8 7.9 17.9 1.9 3.4	2.66 1.3 9.1 5.8 3.6 19.4 8.0 6.3 5.5 1.8 3.2 1.8	0.4 0.9 1.3 3.6 6.3.4 12.0 20.9 2.2 6.1 3.2 6.1 2.8	0.5 0.8 3.7 7.3 5.5 6.9 11.1 1.9 4.7 1.1 1.5	0.6 0.9 2.4 3.1 3.5 10.9 19.9 3.4 2.3 1.6 2.8 2.4	0.8 2.7 6.8 5.9 2.7 23.6 9.2 2.5 3.5 0.2 4.7	1.1 1.2 3.2 2.4 3.4 17.0 22.2 4.5 6.8 0.6 6.1 1.6	0.2 0.3 1.0 1.4 3.0 4.9 8.0 1.6 4.1 0.5 1.3 1.8	3.6 0.7 4.0 6.1 1.7 13.0 25.9 2.4 4.0 4.2 7.1 3.1
Other sex offenses		1.0	3.5	3.7	3.0	4.1	4.3	3.8	0.7
Violating drug laws			0.2	0.4	0.1	1.4	0.6	0.1	0.7
Carrying weapons, etc Nonsupport or neglect		2.5 8.8	0.3 2.9	2,2 8,4	0.3 2.6	0.2 3.9	0.1 3.1	0.5 3.5	1.2
Violating liquor lawa Driving while intoxicated Road and driving laws Parking violations Other motor-venicle laws Disorderly conduct and drunk-	3.6	8.7 1.2 0.7	13.0 3.3 1.0 0.1 0.7	10.6 6.9 2.1 0.1 2.5	10.9 8.4 0.8 0.1 1.1	3.3 0.6 2.7	2.4 3.9 1.3 0.2 1.2	3.3 2.3 9.8 7.3 3.5	4.5
Vagrancy	2.7 0.3 1.2 10.8	1.7 0.6 5.7	0.2 0.2 0.3 11.6	1.5 5.2 9.0	0.3 14.3	1.8 0.6 12.1	0.9 0.3 2.0 15.8	11.6 14.1 0.6 11.4	0.2

TABLE 34. -- OFFENSES RANKED ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF, BY STATES: 1934

OFFENSE	25 Statas	Arizone	Cali- fornia	Colo- rado	Con- nect- icut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Munclaughter	19 8	10 13 7 2 11	13 14 3 6	10 15 8 5	22 13 5 12 8	8 16 2 5	14 15 9 12 13	19 19 11 10 12
Burglary	111	1 4 8 6 17	2 5 4 9	1 2 13 4 12	1 7 7 11 18	1 3 4 9 15	1 2 8 10 11	4 3 9 7 13
Forgary	21 11	9 9 20 16 15	8 10 19 11 3	7 6 19 14 19	19 15 20 4 23	10 11 17 18 14	3 6 17 14 19	6 15 18 10 21
Carrying weepons, etc	5 4 5	22 12 14 5	16 12 18 1	9 12 18 19	21 16 10 14 3	13 7	16 5 17 18	16 8 1 2
Parking violations		18 21 23 19 3	15 20 19 7	18 16 17 3	24 9 6 24 17 2	12 6	18 7 4	17 20 20 14 5

TABLE 34.—OFFENSES RANKED ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OFFENSE	Kenses	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missouri	Montane	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mexico
Murder	14	18	17	14	12	17	16	17	8
Menslaughter	17	19	18	20	16	18	14	16	15
Robbery	7	10	6	4 7	10	9	12	7	10
Aggravated essaultOther asseult	10	13	10	8	9	15	11	5 9	2 5
100001	1								
Burglary	3	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	5
Larceny, except auto theft	2	4	1	1	1	3 7	2	2	1
Auto theft	9 5	6	5 9	12 11	8 15	10	8	11 6	12 8
Stolen property	15	20	12	16	14	12	17	14	14
Forgery	6	15	7	10	4	5	10	14	9
Rape	12	11	13	15	5	15	6	15	12
Vice	20	22	19	21	19	14		13	15
Other sex offenses	18	8	7	18	13	16	11	7	15
Violating drug lews	19	21			11			18	17
Committee		3.6	20	10	,,	1.2	16	1.0	
Carrying waapons, etc	8	16 12	19	13 19	11	13	16 10	12	11 16
Violeting liquor lews	1	3	14	5	17	2	8	8	4
Driving while intoxiceted	13	11	4	9	20	4	3	17	7
Road and driving lews	18	17	15	6	21	8	9	20	18
Parking violations		24		22					
Other motor-vehicle lews	19	9	17	17	16	17	13	20	19
Disorderly conduct and drunken-	1		- '	1,	10	Τ,	10	20	1.0
1039	11	5	16	6	18	7	15	19	13
		23		10	21	11			
Vagrancy						19	15	10	6
Vagrancy	16	20	11	17	6				
Vagrancy	16 4		11 2	17 3	3	5	5	4	3
Vagrancy		20							
Vagrancy	4	20		3	3		5	. 4	
Vagrancy	4 North	20		3 Penn-	3 South		5 Wash-	W1s-	
Vegrency	4	20	2	3	3	2	5	. 4	3
Vegrency	4 North	20	2	3 Penn-	3 South	2	5 Wash-	W1s-	3
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE	4 North Dakota	20 2 Ohio	2 Oragon	Penn- sylvania	South Dakota	2 Utah	Wash- ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming
Vegrancy	North Dakota	20 2 Ohio	Oragon	3 Penn-	South Dakota	2	5 Wash-	Wis- consin	Wyoming 9
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder Mundslaughter	North Dakota	20 2 Ohio	2 Oragon	Penn-sylvania	South Dakota	Utah	Wash-ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder Manslaughter Robbery	20 19 10 11	20 2 Ohio 12 16 2 7	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6	Penn-sylvania	South Dakota	Utah 15 11 4 5	Wash-ington 16 15 9 11	Wis- consin	3 Wyoming 9 15 8
Vegrancy	North Dakota	20 2 Ohio	2 Oragon 18 16 14	Penn-sylvania	South Dakota	2 Utah 15 11 4	Wash-ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming 9 15 8
Vegrancy- Gembling- All other offenses- OFFENSE Murder- Manslaughter- Robbery- Aggraveted essault- Other assault-	20 19 10 11	20 2 Ohio 12 16 2 7	0regon 18 16 14 6 8	Penn- sylvania 20 19 10 5 7	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6	15 11 4 5	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8	Wis- consin	9 15 8 5
Vegrency	20 19 10 11	20 2 Ohio 12 16 2 7	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6	Penn-sylvania	South Dakota	Utah 15 11 4 5	Wash-ington 16 15 9 11	Wis- consin	9 15 8 5 13 3
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4	20 2 Ohio 12 16 2 7 10	0ragon 18 16 14 6 8 3	Penn- sylvania 20 19 10 5 7	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7	Utah 15 11 4 5 11	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2	Wis-consin 22 21 18 16 12 7	9 15 8 5 13 1 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9	20 2 0hio	0regon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 5	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 7 13	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18	Wis-consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8	9 15 8 5 13 11 11 8 8
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7	20 2 0hio	0ragon	Penn- sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5	Wis-consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15	9 15 8 5 13 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder Munslaughter	20 19 10 11 7 4 8 14 9	20 2 Ohio 12 16 2 7 10 1 5 6 9	0regon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 5	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 1 4 9 17	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 7 13	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19	Wis- consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 8 8 7
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9	20 2 0hio	2 Oregon	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9 17	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 13 14	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 5 18 19 4	Wis- consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20	9 15 8 5 13 11 11 8 8
Vegrency- Gembling Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7 4 2 4 9 17	20 2 0hio 12 16 2 7 10 1 5 6 9 14	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 10	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 1 4 9 17	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 7 13 14 10 12	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17	5 Wash-Ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13	Wis-consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20 17 14	9 9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 1 8 8 7
Vagrancy- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 9 17	20 2 2 0 12 16 2 7 10 1 5 6 9 14 11 14 22	2 Oregon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 5 5 10 5 12	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 4 10 12 20	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6	5 Wash-Ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 21	Wis- consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20 17 14 21	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 8 7 7 4 10
Vegrency	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 17 13 6 19 5	20 2 2 0 12 16 2 7 10 1 5 6 9 14 11 14 22 18	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 10 12 20 9	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6	5 Wash-Ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 6	Wis-conein 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20 17 14 21	9 15 8 5 13 1 11 1 8 7 4 10
Vagrancy- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 9 17	20 2 2 0 12 16 2 7 10 1 5 6 9 14 11 14 22	2 Oregon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 5 5 10 5 12	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 4 10 12 20	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6	5 Wash-Ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 21	Wis- consin 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20 17 14 21	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 8 7 7 4 10
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 17 13 6 19 5	20 2 2 0 12 16 2 7 10 1 5 6 9 14 11 14 22 18	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 10 12 20 9	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6	5 Wash-Ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 6	Wis-conein 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20 17 14 21	9 15 8 5 13 1 11 1 8 7 4 10
Vegrency- Gembling Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	20 19 10 11 7 4 4 2 14 9 17 13 6	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6 8 3 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 7 20	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 10 12 20 9 21 11 19 11	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 21 6 19 22 10	Wis-conein 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 5 15 8 20 17 14 21 9 23 20 10	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 4 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 19 11 2	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 4 2	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 10 12 20 9 21 19 11 3	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 6 7 14 17 8 10	5 Wash-Ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 21 16 19 22 10 11	Wis-conein 22 21 18 16 12 7 5 15 8 20 17 14 21 9 23 20 10 11 10 11	9 15 8 5 13 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency- Gembling- All other offenses- OFFENSE Murder- Manslaughter- Robbery- Aggraveted essault- Other assault- Burglary- Larceny, except auto theft- Auto theft- Embezzlement and fraud- Stolen property- Forgery- Rape- Tyostitution and commercialized vice- Violating drug laws- Violating drug laws- Carrying weapons, etc Nonsupport or neglect- Violating liquor laws- Driving wole intoxicated	North Dekota 20 19 10 11 7 4 8 14 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1 8	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 16 16 6 8 3 1 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 7 20 19 11 2 9	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 2 6	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 13 14 4 10 12 20 9 9 21 1 1 3 3 4	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 17 8 10 16	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 21 6 19 22 10 11 7	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency- Gembling Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1 8 22	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 16 16 8 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 19 11 2	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 11 4 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 4 2 6 6 13	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 10 12 20 9 21 19 11 5 4 4 17	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 10 16 11	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 22 10 11 7 14	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency- Gembling- All other offenses- OFFENSE Murder- Manslaughter- Robbery- Aggraveted essault- Other assault- Burglary- Larceny, except auto theft- Auto theft- Embezzlement and fraud- Stolen property- Forgery- Rape- Tyostitution and commercialized vice- Violating drug laws- Violating drug laws- Carrying weapons, etc Nonsupport or neglect- Violating liquor laws- Driving wole intoxicated	North Dekota 20 19 10 11 7 4 8 14 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1 8	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 16 16 6 8 3 1 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 7 20 19 11 2 9	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 2 6	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 13 14 4 10 12 20 9 9 21 1 1 3 3 4	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 17 8 10 16	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 4 13 21 6 19 22 10 11 7	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 11 18 7 7 4 100
Vegrency	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1 8 22	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 16 16 8 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 19 11 2	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 11 4 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 4 2 6 6 13	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 10 12 20 9 21 19 11 5 4 4 17	Uteh 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 10 16 11	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 22 10 11 7 14	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 11 18 7 7 4 100
Vegrency- Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder Manslaughter Robbery	North Dekota 20 19 10 11 7 4 4 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1 8 22 15	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oregon 18 16 16 16 18 15 10 5 12 20 7 20 7 20 19 11 2 9 15 21	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 2 6 13 22	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 13 14 4 10 12 20 9 21 11 3 3 4 17 21 15	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 17 8 10 16 11 15	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 1 5 18 19 4 13 21 16 19 22 10 11 7 14 21	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 11 8 7 7 4 10 15 15 14 6 6 16 16 6 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Vegrency- Gembling Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 2 1 15 10	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 16 16 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 19 11 2 9 9 11 12 9 15 21	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 2 6 6 13 22	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 7 7 13 14 4 10 12 20 9 21 11 3 4 4 17 21	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 17 8 10 16 11	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 2 10 11 7 7 14 21 15 17	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 1 8 7 7 4 10
Vegrency- Gembling- Gembling- All other offenses- OFFENSE Murder- Manslaughter- Robbery- Aggraveted essault- Other assault- Other assault- Embezzlement and fraud- Stolen property- Forgery- Eape- Prostitution and commercialized vice- Other sex offenses- Violeting drug laws- Violating drug laws- Driving weapons, etc Nonsupport or neglect- Violating liquor laws- Driving while intoxicated- Rond end driving laws- Driving wholetions- Other motor-wehicle laws- Disorderly conduct and drunken- ness- Disorderly conduct and drunken- ness-	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 4 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 1 8 22 15 10 21	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 14 6 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 19 11 2 9 15 21 17 20 20	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 2 6 13 22 11	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 13 14 10 12 20 9 21 19 11 3 4 4 17 21 15 5 5	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 17 8 10 16 11 15 13	5 Wesh-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 15 18 19 4 13 21 6 19 22 10 11 7 14 21 15 17 20	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 6 5 13 3 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Vegrency- Gembling Gembling All other offenses OFFENSE Murder	North Dakota 20 19 10 11 7 4 2 14 9 17 13 6 19 5 18 12 2 1 15 10	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Oragon 18 16 16 16 8 3 1 13 5 10 5 12 20 7 20 19 11 2 9 9 11 12 9 15 21	Penn-sylvania 20 19 10 5 7 6 6 1 14 9 17 16 15 18 10 21 12 4 2 6 13 22	South Dakota 18 16 12 8 6 6 3 1 1 7 13 14 4 10 12 20 9 21 11 3 3 4 17 21 15	Utah 15 11 4 5 11 1 3 12 9 17 5 6 7 14 17 8 10 16 11 15	5 Wash-ington 16 15 9 11 8 2 1 5 18 19 2 10 11 7 7 14 21 15 17	Wis-consin 22 21	9 15 8 5 13 3 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

TABLE 35.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH THE MAJOR OFFENSES AND DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION BY STATES: 1934

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

	(1	Percent no	t shown	wher	e less	than 0.1)			
OFFENSE	25 St		s Ariz	900	Cali- fornie	Colore	Con- necti- cut	Distri of Columb	Idah	o Iowa
Mejor offenses		49.4		0.0	69.3	76.	6 48.0	85.	0 70.7	44.9
Mirder Mansleughter		1.0	2	.7	1.8	2.	9 2.7	3.	0 1.7	0.5
Robbery		4.4		.6	7.6 5.9	3.		17.		
Burglery		10.8		.1	15.3	23.		18.	9 16.9	
Larceny, except auto theft		11.1	8	8.8	6.4	20.	3 4.9	13.	0 13.9	12.5
Auto theft		- 3.3		.3	6.8	1.		11.		
Stolen property		1.2		.3	1.2	1.		1.		
Forgery		2.6	3	5.6	5.2	4.	2 1.2	2.	3 10.9	4.2
Rape		2.1	3	.6	3.8	4.	5 2.3	2.	2 4.9	1.5
Violating drug laws Carrying weapons, etc		0.8		.6	7.6	0.		1.		
oditying weapons, occ.		1.0								
		1								
OFFENSE	Kenses	Michigen	Minne- aota	Mis	aouri	Montene	Nebraska	New Hamp- ahire	New Jersey	New Mexico
Major offenses	55.1	48,2	59.5		60.2	74.9	46.9	62.8	58.4	61.1
Murder	1.6	1.0	0.8		2.3	2.2	0.9	0.4	0.7	4.1
Manaleughter	0.8	0.9 3.2	0.7 5.7		6.2	3.7	2.9	1.1 2.1	6.0	2.8
Aggrevated assault Burglery	3.7	2.6	2.2		4.7	5.0 16.8	1.5	2.5	7.7	9.6
Larceny, except auto theft Auto theft	14.5	9.0 4.7	22.4 6.3		15.1	19.8	10.2	10.8	10.8	21.2
Embezzlement and fraud Stolen property	5.2	2.3	2.6		3.6	1.2	2.7	8.7	6.8	4.1
	1									
Forgery	4.9	2.0 3.1	4.7		3.9	7.4 6.5	5.1 1.5	3.4	1.8	3.3
Violeting drug lews	0.6	0.4				2.5			0.3	0.8
Carrying weapons, etc		1.5	0.3		2.5	2.5	1.7	0.4	2.7	2.2
				-						L
OFFENSE	North Dakote	Ohio	Cregos		nn- venia	South Dakota	Utah	Wesh- ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming
Major offenses	45.6	67.3	59.8		43.6	50.6	67.4	61.3	25.6	75.0
Murder	0.4	2.6 1.3	0.4		0.5	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.2	3.8
Robbery	2.7	9.1	1.3		3.7	2.4	6.8	3.2	1.0	4.0
Aggravated assoult Burglary	2.6	5.8 19.4	3.6		7.3	3.1	5.9 23.6	2.4	1.4	6.1
		8.0	20.9			19.9	9.2	22.2		25.9
Larceny, except auto theft Auto theft	1.9	6.3	2.2		11.1	3.4	2.5	4.5	8.0	2.4
Embezzlement and fraud Stolen property		5.5 1.8	6.1		4.7	2.3	3.5 0.2	0.8	4.1	4.0
					1.1					
Repe	2.1	3.2	6.1		1.5	2.8	5.9	6.1	1.3	7.1
Violeting drug laws			0.2		0.4	0.1	1.4	0.6	0.1	0.7
Carrying weapons, etc	1.0	2.5	0.3		2.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.5	

TABLE 36.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE: 1934

(For States included, see table 32)

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	All of- fenses	Murder	Men- sleugh- ter	Rob- bery	Aggre- veted es- seult	Other as- seult	Bur- glery	Ler- ceny, except auto theft	Auto theft
Total defendents disposed of-	139,928	1,399	1,235	6,110	7,307	5,517	15,083	15,485	4,581
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, ecquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody	22,801 2,139 2,194 9,628	504 139 10 12 256 20	607 219 27 40 276	1,437 645 22 117 395 109	2,782 1,200 48 146 1,161 62	2,454 1,499 137 164 555 39	2,714 1,414 64 99 476 137	3,822 1,942 202 177 1,001	847 424 19 39 192 34
Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty	92,911 70,691	580 209 30	36 558 303 27	149 4,381 2,739 199	165 3,864 2,242 292	2,927 2,107 315	524 11,731 9,874 496	361 11,141 9,061 613	3,603 3,066 136
Jury verdict guilty Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty	4,454 3,080 611	341 315 167 8	228 70 44 3	1,443 292 235 38	1,330 661 445 73	136 81 11	1,361 638 544 38	1,467 522 446 28	131 110 9
Jury verdict guilty PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Fm- bezzle- ment and	Stolen property	For- gery	Rape	Prostitution and com.	Other sex offen-ses	Viola- ting drug lews	Cerry- ing weap- ons,	Non- sup- port or
Total defendents disposed of-	freud 6,342	1,675	3,640	2,911	vice 900	4,601	1,157	etc. 2,204	neg- lect 7,611
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, ecquitted by court Acquitted by Jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	1,575 209	611 359 32 31 165 3	944 541 22 23 103 91 164	1,148 547 34 60 288 24 195	319 138 11 13 132 8 17	1,449 696 62 76 352 35 228	188 103 5 22 42 2	572 284 19 35 206 8 20	3,028 1,774 223 145 58 449 379
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty		1,031 770 78 183	2,608 2,301 75 232	1,579 1,047 105 427	564 379 44 141	3,036 2,251 255 530	961 790 56 115	1,553 1,108 77 368	4,166 2,171 1,782 213
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	59 39 12 8	33 27 6	88 72 E 8	184 124 5 55	17 14 1 2	116 81 9 26	8 7 1	79 65 5 9	417 119 283 15
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Viole- ting liquor lews	Driv- ing while intox- iceted	Road and driv- ing laws	Perk- ing viole- tions	Other motor- ve- hicle lews	Disor- derly conduct and drunk- enness	Va-	Gam- bling	All other of- fenses
Totel defendants disposed of-	10,798	7,568	4,104	1,719	2,698	4,726	3,576	3,647	13,334
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions-	4,643 3,593 139 133 604 46 128	1,625 625 53 92 776 13 66	1,156 546 161 107 124 43 175	22 19 1 2	926 323 85 89 323 9	1,183 753 53 63 108 13	464 255 15 55 1 12 126	1,137 306 83 22 686 18 22	5,234 2,882 403 303 924 133 589
Found guilty of offence charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty	6,049 4,985 343 721	5,806 4,630 382 794	2,912 2,364 408 140	1,697 1,680 15 2	1,745 1,223 188 334	3,468 2,924 448 96	3,110 2,564 541 5	2,432 1,444 56 932	7,873 5,782 985 1,106
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	106 89 10 7	137 112 11 14	36 23 7 6		27 15 3 9	75 34 26 15	2 2	78 74 3 1	227 111 25 91

TABLE 37.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, CF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES, BY
OFFENSE: 1934

(Percent not shown where less than C.1)

FROCEDURAL CUTCOME	All of- fenses	Murder	Man- slaugh- ter	Rob-	Aggra- vated es- sault	Other as- seult	Bur- glery	Lar- ceny, except auto theft	Auto theft
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, ecquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	16.3 1.5 1.6 6.9	36.0 9.9 0.7 0.9 18.3 1.4 4.8	49.1 17.7 2.2 3.2 22.3 0.7 2.9	23.5 10.6 0.4 1.9 6.5 1.8 2.4	38.1 16.4 0.7 2.0 15.9 0.8 2.3	44.5 27.2 2.5 3.0 10.1 0.7 1.1	18.0 9.4 0.4 0.7 3.2 0.9 3.5	24.7 12.5 1.3 1.1 6.5 0.9 2.3	18.5 9.3 0.4 0.9 4.2 0.7 3.0
Found guilty of offense charged Place guilty	50.5 5.9	41.5 14.9 2.1 24.4	45.2 24.5 2.2 18.5	71.7 44.8 3.3 23.6	52.9 30.7 4.0 18.2	53.1 38.2 5.7 9.2	77.8 65.5 3.3 9.0	71.9 58.5 4.0 9.5	78.7 66.9 3.0 8.8
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury vardict guilty	3.2 2.2 0.4 0.5	22.5 11.9 0.6 10.0	5.7 3.6 0.2 1.9	4.8 3.8 0.5 0.4	9.0 6.1 1.0 2.0	2.5 1.5 0.2 0.8	4.2 3.6 0.3 0.4	3.4 2.9 0.2 0.3	2.9 2.4 0.2 0.3
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Em- bezzle- ment and fraud	Stolen prop- erty	For- gery	Repe	Prostitution and com. vice	Other sex offen- ses	Viola- ting drug laws	Carry- ing weap- ons, etc.	Non- sup- port or neg- lect
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	43.3 24.8 3.3 2.0 6.7 3.4 3.1	36.5 21.4 1.9 1.9 9.9 0.2 1.3	25.9 14.9 0.6 0.6 2.8 2.5 4.5	39.4 18.8 1.2 2.1 9.9 0.8 6.7	35.4 15.3 1.2 1.4 14.7 0.9 1.9	31.5 15.1 1.3 1.7 7.7 0.8 5.0	16.2 8.9 0.4 1.9 3.6 0.2 1.2	26.0 12.9 0.9 1.6 9.3 0.4 0.9	39.8 23.3 2.9 1.9 0.8 5.9 5.0
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Juny waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	55.8 42.2 4.2 9.3	61.6 46.0 4.7 10.9	71.6 63.2 2.1 6.4	54.2 36.0 3.6 14.7	62.7 42.1 4.9 15.7	66.0 48.9 5.5 11.5	83.1 68.3 4.8 9.9	70.5 50.3 3.5 16.7	54.7 28.5 23.4 2.8
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	0.9 0.6 0.2 0.1	2.0	2.4 2.0 0.2 0.2	6.3 4.3 0.2 1.9	1.9 1.6 0.1 0.2	2.5 1.8 0.2 0.6	0.7 0.6 0.1	3.6 2.9 0.2 0.4	5.5 1.6 3.7 0.2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Viole- ting liquor laws	Driv- ing while intox- icated	Road and driv- ing laws	Park- ing viola- tions	Other motor- ve- hicle lews	Disor- derly conduct and drunk- enness	Va- grancy	Gem- bling	All other of- fenses
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	43.0 33.3 1.3 1.2 5.6 0.4 1.2	21.5 8.3 0.7 1.2 10.3 0.2 0.9	28.2 13.3 3.9 2.6 3.0 1.0 4.3	1.3 1.1 0.1 0.1	34.3 12.0 3.2 3.3 12.0 0.3 3.6	25.0 15.9 1.1 1.3 2.3 0.3 4.1	13.0 7.1 0.4 1.5 0.3 3.5	31.2 8.4 2.3 0.6 18.8 0.5 0.6	39.3 21.6 3.0 2.3 6.9 1.0
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	56.0 46.2 3.2 6.7	76.7 61.2 5.0 10.5	71.0 57.6 9.9 3.4	98.7 97.7 0.9 0.1	64.7 45.3 7.0 12.4	73.4 61.9 9.5 2.0	87.0 71.7 15.1 0.1	66.7 39.6 1.5 25.6	59.0 43.4 7.4 8.3
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	1.0 0.8 0.1 0.1	1.8 1.5 0.1 0.2	0.9 0.6 0.2 0.1		1.0 0.6 0.1 0.3	1.6 0.7 0.6 0.3	0.1	2.1 2.0 0.1	1.7 0.8 0.2 0.7

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934

ALL OFFENSES

FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Sta	ates	Arizone	Cali- fornia	Colore	do	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	lowe
Totel defendents disposed of-	139,	139,928		10,389	2,3	16	1,808	1,752	597	3,474
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	22, 2, 2, 9,	563 801 139 194 628 670 131	341 223 19 2 56 1 40	2,226 1,044 49 336 523 30 244	1	01 76 61 2 49 49	517 425 49 23 14	445 363 5 73	116 7 5 22 4	1,024 786 50 4 96 45 43
Found guilty of offense cherged Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	70, 8,	911 691 215 005	563 488 7 68	7,570 5,858 594 1,118		20 73 20 27	1,249 1,148 56 45	1,196 971 11 214	218	2,404 2,208 91 105
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3,	454 080 611 763	49 25 9 15	593 461 50 82	:	95 68 1 26	42 38 3 1	111 98 13	157	46 33 2 11
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- ses	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri Mon	tane	Ne- braske	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	3,741	5,574	3,060	6,4	12	721	2,229	527	10,185	1,160
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penalty dispositions		1,183 678 121 59 206 9	451 300 27 3 73 14 34	2		226 162 6 2 32 9 15	488 64 96 62 16	130 7 2	3,486 1,590 76 413 455 927 25	402 278 43 6 62 5
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		4,274 3,658 225 391	2,513 2,220 132 161		B9 44 96 49	469 402 18 49	1,048	374 333 34 7	6,487 5,290 732 465	702 562 36 104
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	129 91 2 36	117 78 11 28	96 77 19	20	77 05 5 5	26 19 1 6	52 26 15 11	5 4	212 162 15 35	56 40 3 13
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan:		uth	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Totel defendants disposed of-	1,027	9,859	1,119	46,1	39 1	,061	512	1,964	22,925	424
Disposed of without conviction————————————————————————————————————		3,262 2,483 76 167 286 116	234 143 12 2 57 4 16	6,6 1	36 70 09	237 111 40 6 66 4	57 34 6 42 8	259 121 15 68 85	5,808 1,871 439 580 153 100 2,665	158 99 7 4 24 6
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	681 23 76	5,818 4,792 463 563	876 768 28 80	29,7 18,0 2,6 9,0	64 35	773 663 44 66	192 15 95	1,087 60 139	17,035 14,661 2,161 213	244 206 12 26
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	21	779 568 93 118	9 3 1 5	3	27 18 81 26	51 44 4 3	17	37	82 71 6 5	19

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

MURDER

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	ates	Arizone	Cali- fornia	Col	orado	Con- necti- cut	Distric of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	1	,399	26	185		55	5	68	2 16	17
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, equitted by ourt Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions		504 139 10 12 256 20 67	7 2 5	61 8 1 4 33 1		30 6 3 16 2 3	2 2	20	2	3 1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		580 209 30 341	6 2 4	91 33 4 54		20 2	2			11 5 1 5
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		315 167 8 140	13 4 9	33 11 3 19		5 1 4		39		3 1 2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ken-	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri b	Montana	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	59	58	24	14	47	16	20	2	74	48
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penelty dispositions	10	12 3 1 5 5	10 2 6 1		65 29 20 2 -	5 2 2	5	1	25 5 1 13 3 3	15 3 1 10
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty	30 5 5 20	30 16 1	7 6 1		51 17 	7 4 3	11 1 1 9	1	33 14 	19 4 15
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	15 3 12	16 9 7	7 1 6	1	31 15 	4 3	3		16 13 3	14 4 10
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North De- kote	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani		South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	4	256	4	23	34	6	4	22	39	16
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	2 1	78 32 1 1 27 9	1	8	2 - 30 2 - 1 -	2		5 1 1 3	18 2	3
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		86 23 14 49	2 2		.3 -	2 1	2 2	17 4 13	18 13 1 4	8 1 7
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		92 62 4 26	1		.8	2			3 2	

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

MANSLAUCHTER

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	ates	Arizona	Celi- fornie	Co	olorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	1	,235	21	171		21	48	18	3 10	18
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		607 219 27 40 276 9	15 8 1 5	61 8 2 14 34		14 4 4 4 2	12 9 2 1	3	3	8 4 4
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury vardict guilty		558 303 27 228	6 3 3	100 45 11 44		5 2 3	31 28 1 2	7 5 2	1	7 5 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		70 44 3 23		10 5 2 3		1	5 5		4	3 1 2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igen	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- brasks	New Hamp- shire	Naw Jersey	New Mex- 1co
Total defendants disposed of-	30	52	20		32	7	18	6	111	13
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		29 5 4 1 16	3		13 8 2 3	5 2 3	1	4	79 37 2 7 25 8	5 2 1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	16 9 1 6	22 13 2 7	10 6	1	18	2		1	29 18 	6 3 3
Found guilty of lesser offense Flee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury vardict guilty	2	1	4 4		1				3	2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	la	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total dafendants disposed of-	5	131	10	38	30	10	14	24	62	3
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no panalty dispositions		65 25 2 4 31 1 2	7 5 2	11	3 5	3	1 1	3	43 5 5 8 9	
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury vardict guilty	1	56 38 3 15	3 2		75 76 8	7 1 6	8 3 5	5	16 12 1 3	1
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury wsived, court finds guilty- Jury vardict guilty	3 2 1	10 7 1 2			10 -4		2		3 2	1
					-		J			

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

ROBBERY

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	ates	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Colorado	Con- necti- cut	Distric of Columbi	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	. 6	,110	44	786	74	123	30	5 25	78
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, equitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions		,437 645 22 117 395 109 149	16 9 2 3 3	199 79 1 46 59 1	18 11 2 4	12 7 5		1	
Found guilty of offense cherged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2	,381 ,739 199 ,443	28 14 1 13	534 306 42 186	55 41 1	104 83 10 11	22 15	8 4	58 51 7
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty		292 235 32 25		53 38 12 3	1	7 7	1	8 7	1 1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan-	Mich- igen	Minne- sota	Missour	ri Montan	Ne- breske	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	173	177	173	39	38 2	7 65	11	616	32
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	43 31 2 9 1	34 12 2 4 12 1	40 29 2 4 5	1	33 39 5 1 1 1 4 3	3 2	1	202 63 1 14 29 89 6	9 3 1 4 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty	120 92 5 23	140 90 13 37	119 105 14			34 15		400 315 31 54	23 13 1 9
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	10 6 4	3 3	14 12 2	1	91	1		14	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	28	897	14	1,69	0 25	35	63	234	17
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	20	224 137 1 33 31 3 19 567 443	1 1 1 13 13	202	5 1 26 62 2 2 3 1	7 2 5 24 12	17 11 1 3 2 43 38	102 18 2 2 2 78	1 1 15 13
Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	5 2 1	39 85 106 87 11 8		22 11 6	2 1 1 1 3	12	5 3 3	12 15 5 4 1	2

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	atea	Ari zona	Cali- fornia	Col	lorado	Con- necti- cut	Distric of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendanta disposed of-	7	,307	137	611		142	51	163	3 20	86
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	1	,762 ,200 48 146	57 38 5	147 63 2 21		58 33 4	9 5	32	5	25 19
Acquitted by jury		,161 62 165	13	12		12 3 6	2			1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2	,864 ,242 ,292 ,330	64 58 6	289 171. 39 79		74 49 25	41 34 3 4	102 75 2 25	5	56 51 1 4
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		661 445 73 143	16 12 1 3	175 130 17 28		10 5 5	1	18 14	5	5 5
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	Naw Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	140	147	67	3	03	36	33	13	781	111
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	59 44 2	39 23 3	12 7		53 15 2	15 8 1	13 9 2	2	293 110 2 94	41 30 1
Acquitted by jury Never in cuatody Other no penalty dispositions	12	6 7	1		20	5	1		40 47	10
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finde guilty- Jury verdict guilty	62 36 26	91 55 6 30	33 1 10		20 35 2 33	14 9 5	18 13 2 3	11 9 2	414 300 76 38	66 41 3 22
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury wardict guilty	19 13 	17 6 3 8	11 6 5		30 20 2	7 4 3	2 1 1		74 54 12 6	3
			<u> </u>		\perp					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	ia I	South Dakota	Uteh	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposad of-	27	575	40	3,37	4	33	30	48	313	26
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defence Juny waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penalty dispositions	7 5 2	165 115 6 9 21 1	10 4 1 5	92	5 - 2 -	11 5 2	6 2 2 2 1 1	12 6 2 3 1	168 51 4 13 8	10 5 3 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finde guilty- Jury verdict guilty	17	259 183 35 41	30 26 4	1,86 66 8 92	5 0 -	20 9	17 12 5	33 26 	136 76 39 21	12 9 3
Found guilty of lesser offenee Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty	3 1 2	151 95 27 29		8 5 2	6 -	2 1	7 4 3	3	9 5 3 1	4

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OTHER ASSAULT

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	24 St		Arizona	Celi- formie	Co	oloredo	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	5	,517	24	41		40	83		18	73
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by Jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1	39	8 7 1	14 12 2		24 20 3	35 29 5 1		8 6	37 28 1 1 3 4
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	2	927 107 315 505	16 13 1 2	24 12 5 7		13 11 2	45 43 2		10 6 4	36 33 2 1
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury weived, court finde guilty- Jury verdict guilty		136 81 11 44		3 1 2		3	3 3			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- ses	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montane	Ne- breske	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	101	224	80	2'	76	31	93	3 25	541	73
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	9	79 52 7 2 11	5	10	31 06 9 3 12	13 12	28	10 1	243 142 7 52 22 16	44 38 5
Found guilty of offense cherged Plee guilty	28 20 4 4	138 81 28 29		13	30	15 9 1 5	41 21 15	14 11 3	285 217 53 15	28 23 3 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury welved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	4 3 1	7 1 2 4	2 2]	15 7 3 5	3 3			13 4 9	1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kote	Ohio	Oragon	Penn- sylvani	Le	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- oonsin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	. 39	356	38	2,55	57	37	14	66	680	7
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		182 152 5 9 10 2	14 8 1 5	46	32 32	11 8 1	1	2 2	290 168 44 62 6	1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury walved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	26	165 132 8 25	21	1,37 96 3	36 38	26 21 4 1	3	33 2	387 242 137 8	1
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		9 4 1 4		4	3		1	3	3	

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

BURGLARY

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St.	ates	Arizone	Celi- fornie	Col	Lorado	Con- nect- cut	District of Columbie	Idaho	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of-	15	,083	163	1,586		540	299	332	101	374
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1	,714 ,414 ,64 ,99 ,476 ,137 ,524	42 25 11	261 124 6 31 46		133 92 2 15 14 10	27 26 1	34 26 6	7 2 3	76 58 2 12 2 2
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	9	,731 ,874 ,496 ,361	115 106 	1,253 1,010 94 149		385 325 10 50	268 257 9 2	292 252 2 38	45	292 265 5 22
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty		638 544 38 56	6 5 1	72 64 2 6		22 16 6	4 4	6 6		6 6
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ken- ses	Mich- igen	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montane	Ne- breske	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	422	931	327	9:	57	121	295	127	1,386	73
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody	89 1 13	92 52 11 4 14	27 19 1	1	54 90 8 1 38	18 15	27	17	247 117 1 29 18 78	11 7 1 3
Other no penelty dispositions Found guilty of offense cherged Flee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	290 250 4 36	10 814 766 10 38	287 273 1	65 54	16 34 43 28 63	99 89 5 5	265 224 36 5	109 101 8	1,096 960 91 45	62 53 4 5
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	28 26 2	25 21 4	13 12 1		69 55 1	4 3 1	3 2 1	1	43 40 2 1	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kote	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	la l	Soutb Dakota	Utah	Wesh- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Totel defendents disposed of-	81	1,915	134	3,16	66	116	121	333	1,128	55
Disposed of without conviction————————————————————————————————————		351 253 3 15 29 22 29	11 5 1 1 1 1 2	23	12 17 5 - 39	5	29 2 6 2 5 5	34 18 1 2 7 6	452 86 1 9 8 1 347	15 7 2 1 5
Found guilty of offence charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	64 56 4 4	1,391 1,216 67 108	123 114 1 8	2,66 1,91 5	14 54 -	93 87 6	87 66 2 19	292 265 7 20	666 604 51 11	38 33 1 4
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury welved, court finds guilty	4 3 1	173 135 22 16			34 74 4 6	12 11	5 3 2	7 6 	10 10	2 2

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	ates	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Colorad	io	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	15	,485	84	669	45	1	89	228	83	433
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	3,1	,822 ,942	35 20	184 93	14		34 28	56		78 51
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-		202	1	2 29		4	3	2		6
Acquitted by jury Never in custody	1,	139	5	34 14	2	4	2	8		17
Other no penalty dispositions		361	9	12		7	1		1	
Found guilty of offense charged		141	46	430	29		54	161		354
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		061 613 467	45 1	286 60 84	25	4	52 1 1	132 1 -28	1	328 18 8
Found guilty of lesser offense		522	3	55		5	1	11	30	1
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-		28	2	47		1	1	11	30	1
Jury verdict guilty		48	1	1		4				
	L	I					T	T		
FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missour	ri Mont	ana	Na- braska	New Hemp- shire	New Jersay	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	541	503	684	91	70	143	228	57	1,099	246
Disposed of without conviction	135	71	79	30	01	52	47	11	262	71
Dismissed by prosacution	104 8	33	53	22	22	41	30	10	141	46
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	2	12	3	1	2	1 	3	1	5 45	12
Acquitted by jury Never in custody	17 3	10	12		2	10	4 2		34 37	7 2
Other no panalty dispositions	1	12	4		29		ı			ı
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	381 336	423 385	584 544	61 53		88 79	161	46 41	825 698	170 142
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	5 40	5 33	14 26	3	54	9	26	5	77 50	8 20
Found guilty of lesser offense	25	9	21		50	3	20		12	5
Plea guilty	19 1	8	21		13	2	9 7		7	5
Jury verdict guilty	5	1			7	1	4		5	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				1			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oragon	Penn- sylvani	Sou Dako		Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	184	784	234	5,11	.3	211	47	436	1,838	110
Disposed of without conviction	26	177	42	1,24		51	9	88	569	28
Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense	11	154 2	25 1		:0	19 13	2 2	45 2	192 64	17
Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	1 9	13	11	70	3	17	4	1 2	65 6	7
Naver in custodyOther no penalty dispositions	2	2 2	1 4	2		1	1	. 27	6 236	2 2
Found guilty of offense charged	146	516	191	3,76	- 1	 L46	35	333	1,268	75
Plea guilty	133	447 29	171	2,70	14	126	25	307	1,068	69
Jury warved, court linds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	9	40	16	94		15	10	17	182	2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty	12 11	91 76	1 1	10		14 12	3 2	15 13	1	7 6
Jury waived, court finds guilty-	1	6			5	2	1			
Jury verdict guilty	1	9					1	2		1
							I			

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

AUTO THEFT

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Ste	ntes	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Co	olorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendents disposed of-	4	,581	41	707		30	88	201	26	108
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosacution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, acquitted by court-		847 424 19 39 192	8	103 53 1 14		3 2	6	40	4	19 15 1
Acquitted by Jury		34		10 25		1				2
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3,	066 136 401	33 30 3	576 502 33 41		21 19 2	80 80 	161 140 21	12	86 79 4 3
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty		110		28 25 2 1		6 5	2 2		9 9	3 2 1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- ses	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- 1co
Total defendants disposed of-	148	260	194	2:	15	34	86	22	346	24
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	23	29 12 1	15 9 4		50	3		2	62 27 1 4	5 1 3
Acquitted by jury Naver in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1	12	2		1 4	1			22	1
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	115 100 4 11	228 212 1 15	175 173 2	14	32 13 9 LO	30		18	282 271 8 3	19 17 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		3 3	4		3	1	1 3		2 2	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Obio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	La	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	20	617	25	88	35	36	13	88	357	10
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury walved, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penalty dispositions		110 72 7 6 12 3	1	13	2 5	6 3 1		13 7 2 4	117 29 3 9 1 1 74	
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	19 18 	462 405 30 27	23 22 1	66 42 2	2	30 29 1	10 5 5	75 64 11	240 205 31 4	10 10
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty		45 39 3		1	6 0 - 6		3 21			
					_					

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

EMBEZZLEMENT AND FRAUD

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Ste	ates	Arizone	Cali- fornia	Co	oloredo	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of-	6	,342	54	489		202	60	60	0 24	140
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1,	,747 ,575 209 129 424 214 196	16 13 1	117 72 6 10 16 2		101 62 10 24 4	17 16 1	22	3 2	56 48 4 4
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2	536 677 269 590	37 33 4	366 320 21 25		90 63 2 25	41 36 2 3	38 34	12	82 75 1 6
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		59 39 12 8	1	6 3 2 1		11 10 1	1 1			2 1 1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan-	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Misaou	ri	Montane	Ne- breske	New Hemp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendanta disposed of-	194	128	81	21	29	9	60	46	691	48
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, equitted by court Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penalty dispositions	12	45	13		18 81 6 1 10 1	2	15	7	392 201 34 28 16 113	15 11 1 2
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury weiwed, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	72 60	78 68 4	64 63 1	16	08 89 7	7 5	28	37 32 5	298 265 16 17	33 30 1 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty	1	5		*****	3				1	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North De- kote	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	16	South Dakote	Utah	Wesh- ing ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	35	542	68	2,17	71	24	18	15	937	17
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury walved, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no panelty dispositions	1	266 208 9 12 17 14 6	10	29	33 L8	10 6 3	9 4 1 1 3	3	417 147 85 54 5 15	7 6 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		262 216 24 22	49	1,25 79 2 43	26	13 11 1	7 3	9 6 3	519 359 155 5	9 8 1
Found guilty of leaser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		14 7 5 2			5 2 3	1	2 2		1	1

TABLE 38. — DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

STOLEN PROPERTY

PROCEDURAL, OUTCOME	25 St	ates	Arizone	Celi- fornie	Colorado	Con- necti- cut	Distric of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	1	,675	12	124	36	25	1	9 22	61
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions		611 359 32 31 165 3 21	4 3 1	37 21 1 7 5	24 20 2	11 11		2	22 17 1 3 1
Found guilty of offense cherged Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		,031 770 78 183	8 6 1 1	85 57 15 13	12 11 1	13 11 2	10	9	36 32 3 1
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Juny weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		33 27 6		2 2		1 1		6 6	3 1 2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan-	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri Montar	Ne- braska	New Hemp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	49	37	50		84 1	.1 5	4 1	187	18
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody	20	13 4 2 7	8 3 1		37 33 3 1	3 .	B 1 3 1 1	63 39 1 14 8	6 4 1 1
Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		24 21 3	42 37 2 3		2	7 40 5 45 1	5	1:23 99 13 11	12 10
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury welved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1					1		1	
FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North De- kote	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	South Dakote		Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	11	179	36	50	08 1	7]	. 11	104	18
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury wedved, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions		72 65 2 5	11 6 2 1 1 2		33 10 1	5	1	33 8 4 7 2	9 4
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury weived, court finde guilty- Jury verdict guilty	11 9 2	99 85 8 6	24 22 2	30 16 1	8	9	10	71 60 11	8 6 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdiet guilty		8 7 1	1			3			1
						1	41		

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

FORGERY

FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	atas	Arizona	Cali- fornia	C	olorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	- 3	,640	34	543		98	21	40	65	147
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody		944 541 22 23 103 91	12 3 1	66 43 		38 19 6	2 2	10	2 1	66 56 1
Other no penalty dispositions— Found guilty of offense charged— Plea guilty—————————— Jury weived, court finds guilty— Jury verdict guilty————————————————————————————————————	2 2	,608 ,301 75 232	22 22	469 415 17 37		60 55	19	30	22 20	81 72 5 4
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty	-	88 72 8 8		8 7 1					38	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ken- ses	Mich- igen	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montane	Ne- bresks	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	183	113	143	2	47	53	114	18	187	38
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penalty dispositions	37 2 1 2	21 18	10 7 1 1 1		67 52 1 7 1 6	2	114	3	86 34 1 4 2 45	4 3 1
Found guilty of offense cherged Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury wordict guilty	134 126	92 90 2	131 126 2 3		66 54 6	44 43 1	97 86 8	13	99 74 8 17	34 33 1
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3		2 2		14	1	1		2 1 1	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oragon	Penn- sylvan:	la	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	_ 22	314	68	69	90	30	30	119	293	30
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquittad by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		110 100 2 4 4	14 11 2 1	7	78 79 6 70 5	2	6 3 2 	12	135 21 5 2 2 2 4 101	8 6
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		203 178 6 19	54 51 1	11	2 4	27 24 1 2	22 19 1 2	87	158 146 12	22 20 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty		1		1	.6 4 7 5		2 1 1			

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

RAPE

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 St	ates	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Coloredo	Con- necti- cut	Distric of Columbi	Idaho	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of	- 2	2,911	34	391	104	42	3	18 29	52
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court	-	,148 547 34 60	12	117 51 1	44 32 1	7 5 1	1	-	13
Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	-	288 24 195	2	37	9 1 1	1		2	3 1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1	,579 ,047 105 427	20 11 9	239 155 24 60	55 38 1	32 29 2		7 10 3 3 4 7	
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds gullty		184 124 5 55	1	35 26 9	5 5	3 3	1 1	8 6	5 2 3
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ken- ses	Mich- igen	Minne- sota	Missou	ri Monten	Ne- braske	New Hemp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	97	174	47	11	19 4	7 33	3 26	167	24
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dlamissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody	44 1 2 9	43 19 2 3 15	8 6		1		5	61 30 8 15 7	15 12 1
Other no penelty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	39 28	119 83 8 28	36 27 9	3	9	0 14	19 18	96 71 14 11	9 7 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		12 7 5	3 2		6 4 1			10 9	
FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kote	Ohlo	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	South Bakote	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	41	178	31	72	2 25	24	32	421	13
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	12 6 1 3 2	60 35 4 6 12	10 6	13	7 3 0 1 4	4	7 4 1 1 1	237 44 8 15 11 3 156	11 6 3 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	28 19 1 8	93 62 13 18	21 14 7	409 210 10 189	0	10	23 19 	182 137 30 15	2 2
	1	25 17 3 5		39 18	1	3 1 2	2 1 1	2 2	
						-			

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

PROSTITUTION AND COMMERCIALIZED VICE

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	22 Ste		Arizone	Cali- fornia	Co	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Ideho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-		900	5	21		2	18	15	6	26
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, equitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		13 132 8	2 2	1		1 1	6 5	13		1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury welved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty			3	15 6 9		1	12 11 1	1		22 211
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdiet guilty		14		3 2 1						
FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ken- ses	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- braek	New Hamp- ehire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	7	17	8		18	3	3	5	190	13
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury welved, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1 1	2 3 1	1		7 7	2	1:	3	45 29 1 3 10 2	6 5
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury walved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3	11 4 4 3	7 5	1	11 8 2	1	. 18	3	144 121 18 5	2 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Flee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty							1		1 1	5 5
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kote	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylven		South Dakots	Uteh	Wesh- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendents disposed of-	5	18	2	4	13	2		- 3	73	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by Jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	2	9	1		52 29 1 4 11 4 3			1	32 10 6 4 	
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty Found guilty of lesser offense		8 5 3	1	1	57 45 3 09	2		1	40 35 3 2	
Plea guilty	ĩ	1			4			1	1	

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OTHER SEX OFFENSES

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Sta	tes	Arizona	Celi- fornia	Co	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Totsl defendents disposed of-	4,	601	13	283		28	138	4	1 16	87
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1,	.449 696 62 76 352 35 228	5 4 1	75 23 1 21 20 1 9		22 11 7 4	23 20 1 2			30 27 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		036 251 255 530	8 4 4	180 91 28 61		6 4 1 1	110 109 1		13	56 53 1 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		116 81 9 26		28 27 1			5 4 1		1 1	1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- ses	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- brasks	New Hamp- shire	New Jeraey	New Mex- ico
Total defendents disposed of-	27	213	143		67	13	3 31	13	610	13
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	3	62 35 6 6 12	4		33 25 4	5	14	3 1 1	209 101 3 16 73 14	8 6 1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	17 11 6	149 120 13 16	108 95		30 23 2 5	3 3 		7	391` 316 41 34	5 4
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury walved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1	2	6 3 3	, =====	2				10 82	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan		South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	60	102	39	1,6	92	32	21	. 84	869	3
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penelty dispositions	1	29 20 3 1 3	1	2	525 59 24 5 03 13 21	2 2 1	3	13	314 89 15 26 12 1	3 2
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	55 47 8	63 42 8 13	29		.33 54 57 322	24 17 7	8	48 5	544 434 94 16	
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		10 8 1 1	1		34 15 6 13			2	11 9 1 1	

TABLE 38.— DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

VIOLATING DRUG LAWS

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	22 Sta		A	rizona	Cali- fornia	Co	olorado	Cor	ti-	Distr of Colum		Idaho	Iowe
Totel defendents disposed of-	1,	157		15	790		2		3		23	1	4
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense		188 103 5		5 3 2	108 70				2 2		6		2 2
Jury waived, acquitted by court-	}	22	-		19								
Acquitted by jury		42			11	1							
Never in custodyOther no penalty dispositions		2 14			7	1			- 1				
Found guilty of offense charged		961		9	675		2		1		17	1	2
Plee guiltyJury waived, court finds guilty-		790	_	8	599 4 4	2			1		17	1	2
Jury verdict guilty		115	-	1	32								
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty		8	_	1	7				- 1				
Jury waived, court finds guilty-		1		1									
Jury verdict guilty			-										
			_		T	_		_		_			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich		Minne- sots	Missou	ri	Montane		le- raska	Ne Han shi	m-	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	23	2	3			1	18	3	1			′27	9
Disposed of without conviction	7		3		1	1			1			5	3
Dismissed by prosecution	4		3			1	1		1			2	1
Dismissed on motion of defense-							1	ا			- 1	2	
Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	2		- 1				1				- 1		2
Never in custody			- 1								- 1	1	
Other no penalty dispositions			-					-					
Found guilty of offense charged	16	2	0				12	e				22	6
Plee guilty	12		8				3			3		17	4
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	4		1					- 1		1	- 1	5	1
Found guilty of lesser offense			_										
Plee guilty			- 1								- 1		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-											- 1		
Jury verdict guilty			-					-					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kote	Ohio		Oregon	Penn- sylvan		South Dakota	Ţ	Jtah	Wes ing tor	;-	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-			2	2	1	64	1		7		11	27	3
Disposed of without conviction			1			28			2			8	
Dismissed by prosecution			-			2						2	
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-						1						1	
Acquitted by jury			1			24			1		- 1		
Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions						1			1			5	
Found guilty of offense cherged			1	2	1	36	,		5		11	19	3
Ples guilty			1	2		67] :		2		9	16	3
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty						2 67			3		2	3	
Found guilty of lesser offense			ļ										
Plea guilty								1		1			
Jury waived, court finds guilty-										1	- 1		
Jury verdict guilty			-										

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued CARRYING WEAPONS, ETC.

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	21 Sta		Arizona	Cali- fornia	Col	orado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	2,	204	2	143			14			41
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by Jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		35 206 8	2 2	42 23 1 9 4 1			4 3 1			11 8 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty	1,	77		80 59 7 14			10			29 28 1
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		65		21 19 2						1 1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri l	Montana	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	1	85	10	1	61	18	39	2	273	25
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penslty dispositions	1	12 4 2 1 1	1			3 3		1	82 47 4 16 10 5	1
Found guilty of offense cherged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		72 63 6 3	9		2 4 -	15 14 1	33 23 8 2	1	191 168 13 10	20 17 3
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		1			-					1 1
FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvani	ia I	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	10	248	3	1,0	12	3	1	1	112	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offanse cherged	1	53 34 2 3 7 1 6	3	17	- -	1 1	1 1 1	1	18 12 1 5 92	
Plea guiltyJury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1	168 13 10	3	37	5 -	2			71 20 1	
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty		4 3 1		3	3 -				2 2	

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

NONSUPPORT OR NEGLECT

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Ste	tes	Arizone	Cali- fornia	Co	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	7,	611	22	197		59	37	28	3 9	118
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense	1,	028 774 223	10 9	81 58 9		44 30 1	27 24 3	19	4	81 63 3
Jury waived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		145 58 449 379	1	8 3 1 2		1 4 8		3	5	6 3 6
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2,	166 171 782 213	12 12	114 102 7 5		15 9 1 5	10 5 3 2	9 8 1	2	37 34 1 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		417 119 283 15		2					1 1	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOMS	Kan-	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montane	Ne- bresks	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	169	156	82		42]	70	18	853	12
Diaposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Naver in custody Other no penelty dispositions	1	64 52 5 2 3	3 1		- 1	1	38 1 1 1 1 3	11	496 102 2 25 9 357	9 8
Found guilty of offense cherged Plee guilty Jury walved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	64 46	91 84 4 3	59 54		5 3 2		24	7 7	356 191 153 12	3 3
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2	1 1	1						1	
PROCEOURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan	ia	South Dekota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendents disposed of-	24	865	32	3,8	91	28	20	61	812	5
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury welved, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	7	574 478 6 31 10 47 2	19 14 1 2 2 1	5	14 03 68 35 12 10 86	17 13 2	1	14 2 11	489 181 15 41 3 9 240	4 3
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty		284 212 48 24	12 9 1 2		37 10 35	11 10 1	3 10 3	9 3 1	323 202 120	1
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty		7 1 6	1	1	3		2			****

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

VIOLATING LIQUOR LAWS

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Sta	tes	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Со	lorado	necti-	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	10,	,798	19	40		38	61	91	31	739
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	3,	643 593 139 133 604 46 128	8 7	39 35 3 1		30 17 1 1 7 1 3	29 24 2 1 2	21 20	1	248 211 14 1 13 2 7
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	4	049 ,985 343 721	11 10 1	1		8 8	32 29 3	70 61 2 7	21	486 454 18 14
Found guilty of lesser offense Flea guilty Jury waived, ourt finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty									"	5 4 1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan-	Mich- igen	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montena	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	820	544	41	3	36	6	255	22	596	98
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	348 22 12 22 7	147 103 15 6 20	14 8 2 3 1	2	24 06 10 3 4	4		13	162 114 2 14 15 17	27 17 9 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	397 349	395 350 16 29	27 24 1 2		10 63 43 4	2 2		9	430 395 13 22	69 67 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		2 2			2 2		3		4 3 1	2 2
FROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan	ia	South Dakota	Utah	Wesh- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	222	856	145	4,8	84	116	17	47	755	19
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	27 4 1 8	239 196 4 10 23 1	21 17 1 3	4		22 9 6 2 2	2 5 3	9 2	192 72 6 37 17 4 56	15 9 4 1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	174 146 8 20	604 523 59 22	122 108 7 7			90 84 4 2	3	24 15 7 2	560 459 87 14	2 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty	1	13 9 4	2 2		49 40 5 4	4	1		3 3	2 2

TABLE 38. — DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	24 Sta		Arizona	Cali- fornia	Co	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	7,	568	76	1,810		9	44		6	462
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody		625 625 53 92 776 13	20 16 1	220 91 3 38 73		6 1 2	13 6 2 3 2		2 2	93 75 2 2 5
Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plaa guilty	5, 4,	806	53 49	1,552 1,344		3 2	31 22		-	7 367 340
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		382 794	1 3	92 116		1	3 6		1	18 9
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		137 112 11 14	3 2 1	38 35 1 2						2 2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	Naw Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	90	173	196	2	54	2	199	48	68	50
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury walved, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	35 8 1 5	33 11 5 1 11	9 1 1 5				33	14	17 2 2 12 1	8 5
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	39 31	136 111 12 13	178 170	1	55 01 41 13		147 114 30	29 26 2	51 11 40	42 39 3
Found guilty of lesser offensa Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1	4	1		3 3					
PROGEDURAL OUTCOME	North De- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan		South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	37	117	37	3,2	04	89	3	76	516	2
Dieposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	2	41 31 3 1 6	1 8	6	20 53 8 8 32 3	13 8 1 1 3	1	9	62 33 5 15	1 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	32 29 3	69 52 11 6	15 4			73 65 5	1	44 2	438 392 45 1	1
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury wardict guilty		7 6 1		:	54 39 5	3 1 2			16 15 1	

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

ROAD AND DRIVING LAWS

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	23 Ste		Arizona	Celi- fornie	Co	olorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	4,	.104	9	23		2	147		- 4	18
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		156 546 161 107 124 43 175	3 2	5 2 2 2 1			88 67 18 2 1		- 2	8 5 2 1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	. 2.	200	6	18 14 1 3		2 2	58 55 3		- 2	10 8 1
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weized, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		00					1			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igen	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montane	Ne- bresk	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	26	73	33	3	16	1	. 69	21	7	6
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	23	22 11 8 3	8 6 1	1	01 90 10 1		19	4 2	1	3 3
Found guilty of offense cherged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3 3	51 45 2 4	25 22 3		15 14 99 2	1	21	11 1	3	3 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty							1			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan	ie	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wie- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defandants disposed of-	2	70	11	91	72	9	14	25	2,246	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositione		33 31 2	1 1	1:	18 30 03 59 09 1 6	1 1	13 2 4	1 1	266 35 18 18 2 31 162	
Found guilty of offense charged Flee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty	1	37 29 5 3	10 8 2	52 21 20 10	15	7 7	1	10 7 3	1,978 1,891 82 5	
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty					7 15 6 6	1		4 4	2 2	
					_					

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

PARKING VIOLATIONS

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	10 Sta		Arizona	Cali- fornia	Col	orado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idabo	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	1,	719					2			1
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody		2					2 2			1 1
Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1,	697 680 15 2								
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waiwed, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty										
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igen	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- brasks	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendents disposed of-		4			4					
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury walved, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		4			ī					
Found guilty of offense charged Plss guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty					1					
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty										
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kote	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvan		South Dakote	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-		2	1		30	1		4	1,670	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquited by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		2 2			7 2			1 1	1 1	
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty			1			1 1		2	1,669 1,668	
Found guilty of lesser offenss Ples guilty Jury weired, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty					-					

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OTHER MOTOR VEHICLE LAWS

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	23 Ste	0	rizona	Cali- fornia	Co	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	2,	698	10	160		10	72		4	34
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defenses- Jury waived, equitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		926 323 85 89 323 9	2 2	46 18 14 11 3		7 6 1	30 25 3 2		2 1 1 1	6 3 1 1
Found guilty of offense cherged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1,	745 223 188 334	8 7 1	113 75 19 19		3 3	41 39 2		2 1 1	28 26 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		27 15 3 9		1			1			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan-	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- brasks	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	24	187	24		71	7	21	. 8	10	4
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	19	14 7 2 2			38 32 1 3		2 2	3 1	5 5	1
Never in custody		2	24		30			3	5	3
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2	167	22		19 7 4		2 7	3	1	2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, eourt finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		3 3			3 2 1					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylven		South Dakota	Utah	Wesh- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	16	42	8	1,1	53	12	4	23	794	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense	12	18 10 5	1 1	1	99 14 23	1	1	1	188 55 42	
Jury waived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		1			51 04 7				11 3 6 71	
Found guilty of offense charged Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	4	24 21 3	7 7	2	36 60 84 92	11 8 2 1	2	5	606 537 64 5	
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty					18 8 3 7					
our torus entry					1					

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND DRUNKENNESS

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	24 Sta report	tes	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Col	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendents disposed of-	4,	726	3	8		19	95		28	12
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		183 753 53 63 108 13 193	1	4		18 12 2	39 37 1 1		6 3 1 2	3
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3,	468 924 448 96	2 2	4 1 2 1		1	55 46 4 5		22 15 4 3	7 6
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		75 34 26 15					1			1 1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- sas	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montans	Ne- bresks	New Hamp-	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	100	374	28	3	11	E	86	5 5	18	22
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in cuetody Other no penalty dispositions	70 3 2	42 28 8 1 2		1	47 26 4 3 11	1	23	2	1 1	13
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury welved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	23 17	332 319 6	22 22	1	52 08 37 7		46	3 3	17 13 4	7 3 4
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty					12 4					2 2
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- eylvan		South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	28	168	2	6	81	43	9	17	2,655	9
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		81 76 1	1	2	49 02 15 29 92	7 6 1	1	9	322 117 13 25 4 1	6 6
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty		84 75 8 1	1	1	81 40 81 60	36 36		7	2,333 2,058 270 5	2 2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		1 2			51 25 23 3					1

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE. BY STATES: 1934—Continued

VAGRANCY

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	16 Ste		Arizona	Celi- fornie	Coi	lorado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	3,	576	1	2		1	2			10
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		464 255 15 55 1 12 126	1	1		1				2 2
Found guilty of offense charged Plen guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2,	110 564 541 5		1			2 2			8 8
Found guilty of lesser offense Plen guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty										
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- ses	Mich- igan	Minne- sota	Missou	ri	Montens	Ne- braske	New Hemp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendents disposed of-	1	g		2-	48	1	. 55	j	1	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1			1:	11	1	21			
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		9			85 85		17 3 14		1	
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty										
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylven	ia	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	3		2		7			5	3,228	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	1							2 1 1	253 76 10 41 	
Found guilty of offense cherged Plee guilty Jury weived, court finde guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2 2		2 2		7 7			1	2,975 2,530 441 4	
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty					-			2 2		

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

GAMBLING

			CANDLING							
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	24 Ste		Arizona	Cali- fornia	Co	olorado	Con- necti- cut	Dietrict of Columbia	Idaho	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of-	3	,647	9	23		17	29	29		59
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defease Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		,137 306 83 22 686 18 22	3 1 1	14		6 1 1 1 3	3 3	20		1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury walved, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1	,432 ,444 56 932	6 6	7 6 1		11 7 1 3	26 25 1	2 8 1		58 58
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		74		2 2	1				1	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kan- ses	Mich- igen	Minne- sots	Missou	ri	Montana	Ne- brasks	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	37	38	59		70	39	16	5 5	514	56
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	21	15 4 4 7	20		37 30 2 5	7 4 1 	1	1	147 74 5 56 12	22 17 4 1
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	15	23			30 15 13 2	32 31 1		4	365 354 4 7	17 14 2 1
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury walved, court flads guilty- Jury verdict guilty					3		1		2 2	17 17
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn-sylvan		South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ing- ton	Wie- consin	Wyo- ming
Total defendants disposed of-	12	64	3	2,3	84	3	3	39	138	1
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions		20 18			95 70 7 5 09 1	2		34	49 9 25 4 1	1
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty	12	44				1		5	89 79 10	
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Juxy waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty					52 49 2		1			

TABLE 38.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

ALL OTHER OFFENSES

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME 25 Stetes Arizons California Colorado Section Columbia Idaho Iowe Columbia Idaho Iowe Columbia C											
Disposed of without conviction	PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Ste	tes	Arizone		Co	lorado	necti-	of	Idaho	Iowe
Dismissed by prosecution	Total defendants disposed of-	13	,334	95	586		316	212	96	53	276
Pleas gulity- 5,782 38 249 62 122 39 10 148 Jury warded, court finds gulity- 985 2 28 1 6 2 11 Jury warded gulity- 1,106 4 77 18 2 12 8 8 Found gulity of lesser offense 127 4 11 5 5 3 3 Jury warded, court finds gulity- 25 4 11 5 5 3 3 Jury warded, court finds gulity- 25 4 11 5 5 3 3 Jury warded, court finds gulity- 25 4 1 2 1 FROCEDURAL OUTCOME Kan Mich Minne ses 1gan soite Missourt Montane Nae Hamp hew hamp hereka	Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody		882 403 303 924 133	28 2 1 8	93 12 22 55 6		188 15 15 3	61 10 4	10	25 1 1	64 10 8 8
Procedural Outcome	Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty-	5	782 985	38 2	249 28		62	122	39	10	148 11
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME San San Sissouri Montane New Barrow Montane New Series Montane New New	Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-		25		10		3	4		3	3
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME San San Sissouri Montane New Barrow Montane New Series Montane New New										-	_
Disposed of without conviction	PROCEDURAL OUTCOME				Missou	ri	Montana		Hamp-	21011	Mex-
Dismissed by prosecution	Total defendants disposed of-	279	874	546	5	36	72	253	31	832	104
Plee guilty	Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody	138 4 4 10	162 17 9 41 5	51 8 1 12 1	2	61 7 5 29	18 2 1 1	86 10 8 4	15 1 1	164 6 20 53 53	39 5 1 10
Plee guilty	Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	88 5	465 80	325 107	1	54 45	28	88	11 2	398 49	29 7
### PROCEDURAL OUTCOME Da-kota Onlo Oregon Penn-sylvanie South Dakota Utah ing-ton ming ming	Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	2	3 2	_		1		-		2	2
Disposed of without conviction	PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Da-	Ohio	Oregon		ie		Utah	ing-		
Diemissed on motion of defense- 19 230 21 553 15 20 71 408 17	Total defendants disposed of-	111	561	130	4,1	62	152	62	311	2,624	60
Plea guilty	Dismissed by prosecution	19 4	230 12 16 25 4	21 3 6	65 13 55	53 32 81 21	15 4 1 21	20 7 3 7	71 71 12 31 8	408 59 114 43 16	17 2 3
Plea guilty 42 7 1 3 9 2 Jury weived, court finde guilty 3 10 1	Plea guiltyJury waived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty	59 3 12	192 32 26	73 6 14	1,75 20 55	56 62 73	78 18 6	7	70 25 16	1,267 259	25 3 5
	Plea guiltyJury weived, court finds guilty-		4 3		1	10		1	3	9	2

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934

(Selected States; see page 16. Percentages for the 25 States combined shown by offense in table 37.

Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

					ALL (OFFENSES	3			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 Sta	tes	Arizona	Cali- formia	Col	orado	Con- necti- cut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of-	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	:	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction		0.4	35.8	21.4		43.2	28.6	25.4	27.0	29.5
Dismissed by prosecution	1	6.3	23.4	10.0		29.2	23.5	20.7	19.4	22.6
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-		1.5	0.2	0.5 3.2		2.6	2.7	0.3	0.8	0.1
Acquitted by jury	-	6.9	5.9	5.0		6.4	0.8	4.2	3.7	2.8
Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions		3.0	0.1 4.2	0.3 2.3		2.8	0.3	0.2	0.7	1.3
Found guilty of offense charged	6	6.4	59.1	72.9		52.7	69.1	68.3	46.4	69.2
Plea guilty		0.5	51.2	56.4		42.0	63.5	55-4	36.5	63.6
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty		5.9	0.7 7.1	5.7 10.8		9.8	3.1 2.5	0.6	1.8	2.6
Found guilty of lesser offense		3.2	5.1	5.7		4.1	2.3	6.3	25.6	1.3
Plea guilty	1	2.2	2.6	4.4		2.9	2.1	5.6	26.3	0.9
Jury waived, court finds guilty-		0.4	0.9	0.5		1.1	0.2		0.3	0.1
Jury verdict guilty		0.5	1.6	0.8		1.1	0.1	0.7		0.3
					3					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	**					Ne-	New	New	New	
	Kan- sas	Mich- igan	Minne- sote	Missou	ri l	Montana	brasks	Hamp- shire	Jersey	Mex- ico
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	43.1	21.2	14.7	42	. в	31.3	34.5	28.1	34.2	34.7
Dismissed by prosecution	35.2	12.2	9.8	34		22.5	21.9		15.6	24.0
Dismissed on motion of defense-	1.9	2.2	0.9		.1	0.8	2.9		0.7	3.7
Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	0.7 4.3	3.7	0.1		.3	0.3	2.8		4.1	0.5
Never in custody	0.4	0.2	0.5		.9	1.2	0.7		9.1	0.4
Other no penalty dispositions	0.6	2.0	1.1	2	•4	2.1	1.9	0.8	0.2	0.7
Found guilty of offense charged		76.7	82.1 72.5	52 39		65.0 55.8	63.2		63.7 51.9	60.5 48.4
Plea guilty	1.4	65.6 4.0	4.3		7	2.5	11.7		7.2	3.1
Jury verdict guilty	7.8	7.0	5.3	5.	.4	6.8	4.5		4.6	9.0
Found guilty of lesser offense		2.1	3.1		.3	3.6	2.3		2.1	4.8
Jury waived, court finds guilty-	0.1	0.2	2.5		.2	2.6	0.7		1.6	3.4
Jury verdict guilty	1.0	0.5	0.6		.0	0.8	0.5		0.3	1.1
			1		ALL (OFFENSES	3			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME			T				1			
THOUSE OUTCOME	North			Pann	- 1	Courth	Wash-		974 0	Ware
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Da→	Ohio	Oregon	Penn-	ia I	South Dakota	Utah	ing-	Wia- consin	Wyo- ming
	Da- kota			sylvan	-	Dakota		ing- ton	consin	ming
Total defendants disposed of-	Da- kota	Ohio	Oregon		-		Utah	ing- ton		1 7
Total defendants disposed of-	Da- kota 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	.0	100.0 22.3	100.0	ing- ton 100.0	100.0 25.3	100.0
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	Da- kota 100.0 21.2 13.5	100.0 33.1 25.2	20.9	100.	.0	100.0 22.3 10.5	33.0 11.1	ing- ton 100.0 32.0 13.2	100.0 25.3 8.2	ming 100.0 37.3 23.3
Total defendants disposed of-	Da- kota 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	.0	100.0 22.3	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2	100.0 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8	100.0 25.3	100.0
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury	Da- kota 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1	32, 14, 1, 0, 14,	.0 .7 .8 .5 .9	22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2 8.2	1ng- ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	Da- kots 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2	32, 14, 1, 0,	.0 .7 .8 .5 .9 .5 .5	22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2	1ng- ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5 4.3	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Juny waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in oustody	Da- kota 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4 0.6 1.8	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9 1.2 1.4	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1 0.4 1.4	32, 14, 1, 0, 14, 0, 0, 64,	.0 .7 .8 .5 .9 .5 .3 .8 .4	22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2 0.4 0.9	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2 8.2 1.6 4.3	1ng- ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5 4.3 4.1 65.5	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7 0.4 11.6	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7 1.4 4.2
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in oustody Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	Da- kots 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4 0.6 1.8 75.9 66.3	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9 1.2 1.4 59.0	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1 0.4 1.4 78.3 68.6	100. 32. 14. 10. 14. 0. 64. 39.	.0 .7 .8 .5 .9 .5 .3 .8 .4 .2	22.3 100.0 22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2 0.4 0.9 72.9 62.5	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2 8.2 1.6 4.3 59.0 37.5	100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5 4.3 4.1 65.5 55.3	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7 0.4 11.6 74.3 64.0	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7 1.4 4.2 57.5 48.6
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by jury Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged	Da- kota 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4 0.6 1.8 75.9	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9 1.2 1.4	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1 0.4 1.4	32, 14, 1, 0, 14, 0, 0, 64,	.0 .7 .8 .5 .9 .5 .3 .8 .4 .2 .7	22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2 0.4 0.9	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2 8.2 1.6 4.3	1ng-ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5 4.1 65.5 55.3 3.1	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7 0.4 11.6	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7 1.4 4.2 57.5 48.6 2.8
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in custody Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	Da- kota 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4 0.6 1.8 75.9 66.3 2.2 7.4	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9 1.2 1.4 59.0 48.6 4.7 5.7	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1 1.4 78.3 68.6 2.5 7.1	100. 32. 14. 1. 0. 14. 0. 64. 39. 5.	.0 .7 .8 .5 .9 .5 .3 .8 .4 .2 .7 .5	22.3 100.0 22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2 0.4 0.9 72.9 62.5 4.1 6.2	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2 8.2 1.6 4.3 59.0 37.5 2.9	1ng-ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5 4.1 65.5 55.3 3.1 7.1	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7 0.4 11.6 74.3 64.0 9.4 0.9	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7 1.4 4.2 57.5 48.6 6.1
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury Newer in oustody Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty Ples guilty	Da- kots 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4 0.6 1.8 75.9 66.3 2.2 7.4 2.8 2.0	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9 1.2 1.4 59.0 48.6 4.7 5.7	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1 0.4 1.4 78.3 68.6 2.5 7.1 0.8	91van: 100 32. 14. 0. 14. 0. 64. 39. 5. 19.	.0 .7 .8 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	22.3 100.0 22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2 0.4 0.9 72.9 62.5 4.1 6.2	33.0 11.1 6.6 6.1 22 8.2 1.6 4.3 59.0 37.5 2.9 18.6 8.0 3.3	ing-ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 6.8 3.5 4.1 65.5 55.3 3.1 7.1 2.5	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7 0.4 11.6	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7 1.4
Total defendants disposed of- Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by procecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by jury Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty Found guilty of lesser offense	Da- kots 100.0 21.2 13.5 1.8 0.2 3.4 0.6 1.8 75.9 66.3 2.2 7.4 2.8 2.0	33.1 25.2 0.8 1.7 2.9 1.2 1.4 59.0 48.6 4.7 5.7	20.9 12.8 1.1 0.2 5.1 0.4 1.4 78.3 68.6 2.5 7.1	32, 144, 1, 0, 144, 0, 0, 0, 0, 55, 19, 2, 2, 2	.0 .7 .8 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	22.3 100.0 22.3 10.5 3.8 0.6 6.2 0.4 0.9 9 72.9 62.5 4.1 6.2	33.0 11.1 6.6 1.2 8.2 1.6 4.3 59.0 37.5 2.9 18.6	1ng-ton 100.0 32.0 13.2 6.2 0.8 3.5 4.3 4.1 65.5 55.3 3.1 7.1 2.5 1.9	25.3 8.2 1.9 2.5 0.7 0.4 11.6 74.3 64.0 9.4 0.9	37.3 23.3 1.7 0.9 5.7 1.4 4.2 57.5 48.6 2.8 6.1

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

(See note at head of this table)

	(See no	te at head	of this t	sble)						
		MUF	DER .			MANSLA	UGHTER			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Cali- fornia	Miesouri	Ohio	Penn- syl- venie	Cali- fornis	New Jersey	Ohio	Penn- syl- vanis		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	3.2	44.2 19.7	30.5 12.5	44.0	35.7 4.7	71.2 33.3	49.6 19.1	50.3 17.6		
Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, acquitted by court-			0.4	0.9	1.2 8.2	1.8	1.5	0.8		
Acquitted by jury	17.8	13.6	10.5	34.2	19.9	22.5	23.7	29.7		
Never in custody	8.6	9.5	3.5	0.9	1.8	7.2	0.8	0.8		
Found guilty of offense charged	49.2	34.7	33.6	48.3	58.5	26.1	42.7	46.1		
Plea guilty	17.8	11.6	9.0	20.5	26.3	16.2	29.0	20.0		
Jury weived, court finds guilty-		23.1	5.5 19.1	27.4	6.4	9.9	2.3	2.1		
Jury verdict guilty	29.2				25.7		11.5			
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty	17.8	21.1	35.9 24.2	7.7	5.8	2.7	7.6 5.3	2.6		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-			1.6		1.2		0.8			
Jury werdict guilty	10.3	10.9	10.2	5.1	1.8	2.7	1.5	1.1		
				ROBBER	Y					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Cali- fornis	Connect- icut	District of Columbia	Kansas	Mich- igan	Minne- sots	Missouri	New Jersey		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
24	05.5				10.0					
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	25.3	9.8 5.7	20.7	24.9	19.2	23.1	33.4 24.9	32.8		
Dismissed on motion of defense	0.1				1.1		1.3	0.2		
Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	5.9 7.5	4.1	3.6	1.2	2.3 6.8	1.2	0.3	2.3		
Never in custody	0.1			0.6	0.6	2.3	1.0	14.4		
Other no penalty dispositions	1.7				1.7	2.9	3.3	1.0		
Found guilty of offense charged		84.6	73.4	69.4	79.1	68.8	61.6	64.9		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-	38.9 5.3	67.5 8.1	51.8	53.2	50.8	60.7	48.7	51.1		
Jury werdict guilty	23.7	8.9	20.3	13.3	20.9	8.1	8.5	8.8		
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty	6.7 4.8	5.7 5.7	5.9 5.9	5.8 3.5	1.7	8.1	5.0 4.8	2.3		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-	1.5									
Jury verdict guilty	0.4			2.3		1.2	0.3			
	ROB	BERY-Cont	inued		AGGRA	VATED AS	SAULT			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Penn- sylvania	Wis- consin	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Colo- rado	District of Columbia	Kensas		
Total defendante disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without commission	25.0	35.0	43.6	42.6	24 7	40.0	26.4	42.1		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	25.0 15.3	15.3	7.7	41.6 27.7	24.1	40.8	26.4 19.6	31.4		
Dismissed on motion of defence	0.1	0.1	0.9	3.6	0.3	2.6		1.4		
Jury waiwed, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	3.7 3.5	0.4	0.9	0.7 9.5	3.4 8.0	8.5	6.7	8.6		
Never in custody	0.3					2.1				
Other no penalty dispositions		0.2			2.0	4.2		0.7		
Found guilty of offense charged	63.2	83.4			47.3	52.1	62.6	44.3		
Ples guiltyJury waived, court finds guilty-	49.4	33.0 0.4	42.7 5.1		28.0 6.4	34.5	45.0 1.2	25.7		
Jury verdict guilty		50.0	6.4	4.4	12.9	17.6	15.3	18.6		
Found guilty of lesser offense	11.8	1.3	2.1	11.7	28.6	7.0	11.0	13.6		
Plea guilty	9.7	0.7	1.7	8.8	21.3	3.5	8.6	9.3		
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.7 2.2	2.8 4.6	3.5	2.5	4.3		
	0.9	0.2		2.5	7.0	0.0	2.0	200		

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

		_										
				aggrav <i>a</i>	TED	ASSAUI	T—Coi	ntinu	ed			
FR)CEDURAL OUTCOME	Michigen	Missou	ri	New	У	New Mexic	0	Ohio		Penn- sylvania		Wisconsin
Total dsfendante disposed of-	100.0	100	0.0	100	.0	100	.0	100	.0	:	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody	15.6 2.0 	38	.5	14 0 12 5	.5	27	.9	1 3			42.1 13.8 0.3 0.1 27.3 0.2	53.7 16.3 1.3 4.2 2.6
Other no penalty dispositions	4.8	5	.0					2	.3		0.4	29.4
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	37.4 4.1	28	.7	38	.7	36 2	.5 .9 .7				55.3 25.5 2.4 27.4	43.5 24.3 12.5 6.7
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	11.6 4.1 2.0 5.4	6	.9	6	.5 .9 .5	2	.6				2.6 1.7 0.2 0.7	2.9 1.6 1.0 0.3
					OTI	HER ASS	AULT					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kanses	Michig	en	Missou	ri	New Jerse	у	Ohio		-	enn- venie	Wisconsin
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100	.0	100	.0	100	.0	100	.0		100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody	52.5 3.0 3.0 8.9	23 3 0 4	.3	38 3 1	.3	26 1 9	.9 .2 .3 .6 .1	2			44.0 22.7 1.3 0.9 18.0 0.3	42.6 24.7 6.5 9.1 0.9
Other no penalty dispositions Found guilty of offense charged		3	.1	0	.4		.7		.1		0.9	1.5
Plea guilty	19.8 4.0	36	.2	36 2		40		37			38.6 1.5 13.6	35.6 20.1 1.2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty - Jury verdict guilty	4.0 3.0	0	.1	2	.5	0	.7	1	.5		2.3 1.8 0.1 0.4	0.4
				<u> </u>		BURGLA	RY					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Arizone	Cali- fornia	Co	loredo	1	inect-	Dietr of Colum		Ida	ho	Iowa	Kansas
Total defendents disposed of-	100.0	100.0		100.0		100.0	10	0.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	25.8	16.5 7.8 0.4 2.0		24.6 17.0 0.4		9.0 8.7		7.8	6	.9	20.:	21.1
Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	6.7	2.9		2.8 2.6 1.9				0.6	3		3.2 0.5 0.5	0.2
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury werdict guilty	65.0	79.0 63.7 5.9 9.4		71.3 60.2 1.9 9.3		89.6 86.0 3.0 0.7	7	8.0 5.9 0.6 1.4	44	.6	78.3 70.9 1.3 5.9	59.2
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3.1	4.5 4.0 0.1 0.4		4.1 3.0		1.3		1.8		.6	1.6	6.2

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

	(See note at head of this table)								
			BURGI	ARY—Conti	nued				
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Michigan	Minne- sots	Missouri	Montana	Nebraeka	New Hemp- abire	New Jersey		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction	9.9	8.3	26.5	14.9	9.2	13.4	17.8		
Diamissed by prosecution	5.6	5.8	19.9	12.4	6.1	13.4	8.4		
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-		0.3	0.8	0.8			0.1		
Acquitted by jury	1.5	0.9	4.0		1.0		1.3		
Never in custody		1.2	0.1	1.7	2.0		5.6		
Found guilty of offense charged	87.4 82.3	87.8 83.5	66.2 56.7	81.8	89.8 75.9	85.8 79.5	79.1 69.3		
Plea guilty	1.1	0.3	2.9	73.6	12.2	6.3	6.6		
Jury verdict guilty	4.1	4.0	6.6	4.1	1.7		3.2		
Found guilty of lesser offense	2.7	4.0	7.2	3.3	1.0	0.8	3.1		
Plea guilty	2.3	3.7	5.7	2.5	0.7	0.8	2.9		
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury wordict guilty		0.3	1.5	0.8	0.3		0.1		
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME				ARY—Conti	.nuea				
11001001011 0010011	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- eylwania	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ington	Wisconsin		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Discool of without contested	18.3		17.1	0.5	24.0	10.0	40.1		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	13.2	8.2	13.1	9.5	24.0	10.2	40.1		
Dismissed on motion of defense-	0.2	0.7	0.5		5.0	0.3	0.1		
Jury waived, acquitted by court-		0.7	0.2		1.7		0.8		
Acquitted by jury	1.5	0.7	7.5	. 4.3	4.1	0.6	0.7		
Never in cuetody	1.1	0.7 1.5	0.3		7.4	2.1	30.8		
Found guilty of offense charged	72.6	91.8	84.2	80.2	71.9	87.7	59.0		
Ples guilty	63.5	85.1	60.5	75.0	54.5	79.6	53.5		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-		0.7	1.7		1.7	2.1	4.5		
Jury verdict guilty	5.6	6.0	22.0	5,2	15.7	6.0	1.0		
Found guilty of lesser offense			2.7	10.3	4.1	2.1	0.9		
Plea guilty	7.0		2.3	9.5	2.5 1.7	1.8	0.9		
Jury werdict guilty	0.8		0.2	0.9		0.3			
				EXCEPT AU	TO THEFT	,			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Cali- fornia	Colorado	District of Columbia	Iowa	Kensee	Michigan	Minnesota		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction	27.5	31.6	24.6	18.0	25.0	14.1	11.5		
Dismissed by prosecution	13.9	22.7	20.2	11.8	19.2	6.6	.7.7		
Dismissed on motion of defense	0.3	0.8		1.4	1.5	2.4	0.4		
Jury waived, acquitted by court-	4.3	0.2	0.9		0.4	0.8	0.1		
Acquitted by jury Never in quetody	5.1 2.1	5.1 1.3	3.5	3.9 0.9	3.1 0.6	2.0	1.8		
Other no pensity dispositions	1.8	1.5	********		0.2	2.4	0.6		
Found guilty of offense charged	64.3	63.1	70.6	81.8	70.4	84.1	85.4		
Ples guilty	42.8	54.6	57.9	75.8	62.1	76.5	79.5		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-		0.8	0.4	4.2	0.9	1.0	2.0 3.8		
Jury wordiot guilty	12.6	7.6	12.3	1.8	7.4	6.6	3.8		
Found guilty of leeser offense	8.2	5.3	4.8	0.2	4.6	1.8	3.1		
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	7.0 1.0	4.5	4.8	0.2	3.5 0.2	1.6	3.1		
Jury verdict guilty	0.1	0.8			0.9	0.2			

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

			-				
		LAR	CENY, EXCE	PT AUTO THE	FT-Conti	nued	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Miesouri	Montena	Nebraska	New Jereey	New Mexico	North Dekota	Ohio
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense-	31.0 22.9 1.0	36.4 28.7 0.7	20.6 13.2 3.1	23.8 12.8 0.5	28.9 18.7	6.0	22.6 19.6 0.3
Jury waived, acquitted by court-	0.2	0.7	1.3	4.1	1.2		0.5
Acquitted by jury	3.7	7.0	1.8		2.8		1.7
Never in custodyOther no penalty dispositions	0.2 3.0		0.9	3.4	0.8		0.3
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty	63.8 55.3	61.5 55.2	70.6 54.4	63.5	69.1 57.7	72.3	57.0
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3.5 5.1	6.3	11.4	7.0	3.3		3.7 5.1
	5.2	2.1	8.8	1.1	2.0		11.6
Found guilty of leaser offense Ples guilty	4.4	1.4	3.9	0.6	2.0		9.7
Jury waived, court finds guilty-			3.1				0.8
Jury verdict guilty	0.7	0.7	1.8	0.5		0.5	1.1
		LAR	CENY, EXCE	PT AUTO THE	FTConti	nued	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Oragon	Penn- sylvenie	South Dakote	Weshingt	on W	isconsin	Wyoming
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	.00.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	17.9	24.3	24.2		20.2	31.0	25.5
Dismissed by prosecution	10.7	8.6	9.0		10.3	10.4	15.5
Dismissed on motion of defense	0.4	0.8	6.2		0.5	3.5	
Jury waived, acquitted by court-		0.3			0.2	3.5	
Acquitted by jury Never in custody	4.7	13.7	8.1 0.5		1.6	0.3	6.4
Other no penalty dispositions	1.7	0.6	0.5		1.4	12.8	1.8
Found guilty of offense charged	81.6	73.6	69.2		76.4	69.0	68.2
Plea guilty	73.1	52.9	59.7		70.4	58.1	62.7
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	1.7	2.3	2.4		2.1	9.9 1.0	3.6
Found guilty of lesser offense	0.4	2.1	6.6		3.4	0.1	6.4
Plea guilty	0.4	1.9	5.7		3.0	0.1	5.5
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury vardict guilty		0.1			0.5		0.9
				AUTO THEFT			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME		District		1		T	
	Cali- formia	of Columbia	Iowa	Kansas	Michigan	Minne- eota	Missouri
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	14.6	19.9	17.6	20.9	11.2	7.7	23.3
Dismissed by prosecution	7.5	15.4	13.9	15.5	4.6	4.6	20.0
Dismissed on motion of defense	0.1		0.9		0.4		
Jury waived, sequitted by court- Acquitted by jury	2.0	4.5	0.9	4.1	1.5	2.1	0.9
Never in custody	1.4	4.0	0.9	0.7	1.0	2.1	0.9
Other no penalty dispositions	3.5		1.9	0.7	4.6	1.0	1.9
Found guilty of offense charged	81.5	80.1 69.7	79.6	77.7	87.7 81.5	90.2	75.3
Ples guilty		69.7	73.1 3.7	67.6 2.7	0.4	89.2	66.5 4.2
Jury verdict guilty	5.8	10.4	2.8	7.4	5.8	1.0	4.7
Found guilty of lesser offense	4.0		2.8	1.4	1.2	2.1	1.4
Plea guilty	3,5		1.9	1.4	1.2	2.1	1.4
Jury waived, court finds guilty-	0.3		0.9				
Jury verdict guilty	0,1						

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

	(See Note at need of this teore)									
		AUTO TH	EFT-	-Cont	Lnued			EMBEZZI	EMENT ANI	FRAUD
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	New Jersey	Obi	0	Per			is- onsin	Cali- fornia	Colorado	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of-	100.	0 10	0.0	10	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	17.	9 1	7.8	,	23.5		32.8	23.9	50.0	40.0
Dismissed by prosecution	7.	8 1	1.7		7.7	8.1		14.7	30.7	34.3
Dismissed on motion of defense-	0.	3	1.1		0.2		0.8	1.2	5.0	
Jury weived, acquitted by court-	1. 1.		1.0	,	0.6		2.5	2.0	11.9	2.9
Acquitted by jury	6.		0.5	0.1			0.3	0.4	2.0	2.9
Other no penalty dispositions	0.	6	1.6		0.2		20.7	2.2	0.5	
Found guilty of offense charged		5 7	4.9	74.7			67.2	74.8	44.6	58.6
Plea guiltyJury waived, court finds guilty-	78. 2.		5.6		7.7		57.4	65.4 4.3	31.2	53.6
Jury verdict guilty	0.	9	4.4		7.0		1.1	5.1	12.4	4.3
Found guilty of lesser offense	0.	6	7.3		1.8			1.2	5.4	1.4
Plea guilty	0.		6.3		1.1			0.6	5.0	0.7
Jury waived, court finds guilty-			0.5					0.4	0.5	0.7
Jury verdict guilty		-	0.5		0.7			0.2		
		EME	EZZL	EMENT	AND F	RAU	D_Cont:	STOLEN		
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME									7	PROPERTY
	Kansas	Mich- igen	Mis	souri	New Jers		Ohio	Penn- sylvenie	Wis- consin	Celi- fornie
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0		100.0	100	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	62.4	35.2		51.5	56	.7	49.1	42.]	44.5	29.8
Dismissed by prosecution	51.5	16.4	İ	35.4		1.1	38.4	22.9		16.9
Dismissed on motion of defense-	3.6	3.1		2.6	4	.9	1.7	1.5	9.1	0.8
Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	0.5	3.1		0.4	4.1		2,2	0.8		5.6
Never in custody	6.2	6.3		4.4	2.3		3.1	13.5		4.0
Other no penalty dispositions	0.5	6.3		8.3			1.1	0.6		2.4
Found guilty of offense cherged	37.1	60.9	-	47.2	4.3	.1	48.3	57.6	55.4	68.5
Plee guilty	30.9	53.1		38.9	38	1.4	39.9	36.6	38.3	46.0
Jury weived, court finde guilty-		3.1		3.1		.3	4.4	1.2		12.1
Jury verdict guilty	6.2	4.7		5.2	2	.5	4.1	19.8	0.5	10.5
Found guilty of lesser offense	0.5	3.9		1.3		2.6		0.2		1.6
Plea guilty	0.5	3.9		1.3	0	.1	1.3	0.1	0,1	1.6
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty							0.9	0.1		
			L							<u></u>
	STOL	EN PROPE	RTY-	-Conti	nued			FOF	GERY	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	New Jersey	Ohio		enn- venie	Wis		Cali- fornia	Iowa	Kanses	Michigen
Total defendents disposed of-	100.0	100.0		100.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	33.7	40,2		39.8	31	.7	12.2	44.9	25,1	18.6
Dismissed by prosecution	20.9	36.3		16.3	7	.7	7.9	38.1	20.2	15.9
Dismissed on motion of defense	0.5			2.0		8.8		0.7	1.1	
Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	4.3	1.1		0.2		.7	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.9
Never in custody	0.5							5.4	0.5	
Other no penalty dispositions				0.6	11	5	2.2		1.6	1.8
Found guilty of offense charged	65.8	55.3		60.0	68	3.3	86.4	55.1	73.2	81.4
Plee guilty	52.9	47.5		32.7	57	.7	76.4	49.0	68.9	79.6
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	7.0 5.9	4.5 3.4		3.5	10	.6	3.1 6.8	3.4	0.5	1.8
								2.1		1.0
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty	0.5 0.5	4.5 3.9		0.2			1.5 1.3		1.6	
Jury waived, court finds guilty-										
Jury verdict guilty		0.6				0.2				

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

BY STATES: 1934—Cont		te at head	of this	table)				
				FORGERYC	ontinued			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Minne- sota	Missouri	Ne- braeka	New Jersey	Ohio	Penn- syl- vania	Wash- ington	Wis- consin
Total defendents disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	7.0 4.9	27.1 21.1	14.0 12.3	46.0 18.2	35.0 31.8	25.8 11.4	25.2 10.1	46.1
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	0.7	2.8	0.9	0.5 2.1 1.1	0.6	0.9	0.8	1.7 0.7 0.7
Never in custodyOther no penalty dispositions	0.7	2.4	0.9	24.1	1.3	2.6	11.8	1.4 34.5
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	91.6 88.1 1.4	67.0 62.3 2.4	85.1 75.4 7.0	52.9 39.6 4.3	64.6 56.7 1.9	71.9 55.1 0.3	74.8 73.1	53.9 49.8 4.1
Jury verdict guilty	2.1	2.4	2.6	9.1	6.1	16.5	1.7	
Found guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	1.4	5.7 5.7	0.9	0.5	0.3	2.3 0.6 1.0		
Jury wordict guilty				0.5		0.7		
				RAP	E			1
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Cali- formia	Colorado	Mich- igan	Missouri	New Jersey	Ohio	Penn- sylvenia	Wie- consin
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	29.9	42.3 30.8	24.7	58.0 42.9	36.5	33.7 19.7 2.2	38.0 16.2 1.4	56.3 10.5 1.9
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by Jury	0.3 4.6 9.5	8.7	1.1 1.7 8.6	6.7	4.8 9.0	3.4 6.7	0.6 19.0	3.6
Never in custodyOther no penalty dispositions	2.6	1.0	2.3	0.8 7.6	4.2 0.6	1.7	0.1	37.1
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	39.6	52.9 36.5	68.4 47.7 4.6	37.0 28.6 0.8	57.5 42.5 8.4	52.2 34.8 7.3	56.6 29.1 1.4	43,2 32.5 7.1
Jury verdict guilty Found guilty of lesser offense	15.3	16.3	16.1	7.6 5.0	6.6	10.1	26.2	3.6
Plea guilty	6.6	4.8	4.0	3.4	5.4	9.6	2.5	0.5
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	2.3		2.9	1.7	0.6	2.8	2.9	
		TUTION AND		0	THER SEX	OFFENSES		
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	New Jersey	Penn- sylvania	Celi- formia	Connect-	Mich- igan	Minn- esota	New Jersey	Ohio
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution	15.3	36.8	26.5 8.1	16.7	29.1	20.3	34.3 16.6	28.4
Dismissed on motion of defence Jury waived, acquitted by court-	0.5	1.0	7.4	0.7	2.8	0.7	0.5 2.6	2.9
Acquitted by jury Never in custody	5.3	26.9	7.1		5.6	2.8	12.0	2.9
Other no penalty dispositions		0.7	3.2	1.4	1.4	3.5	0.3	2.0
Found guilty of offense charged Plea guilty	63.7	62.2 35.1	63.6	79.7 79.0	70.0 56.3	75.5 66.4	64.1 51.8	61.8
Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	9.5 2.6	0.7	9.9	0.7	6.1 7.5	9.1	6.7 5.6	7.8
Found guilty of lesser offense Plee guilty	0.5	1.0	9.9	3.6 2.9	0.9	4.2 2.1	1.6	9.8
Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty			0.4	0.7	0.9	2.1	0.3	1.0

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

	(See note	et head	of this	table)	9)					
TO SECURITY OF THE SECURITY OF	OTHER OFFENSES-			ING DRUG AWS	CA	RRYING WEA	PONS, ET	o		
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Penn- sylvania	Wis- consin	Cali- fornia	Penn- sylvania	Cali- fornia	Missouri	New	Ohio		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction	31.0	36.1	13.7	17.1	29.4	37.3	30.0	21.4		
Dismissed by prosecution	15.3	10.2	8.9	1.2	16.1	32.9	17.2	13.7		
Dismissed on motion of defense-	1.4	1.7	2.4	0.6	6.3	0.6	1.5	0.8		
Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	12.0	1.4	1.4	14.6	2.8	3.7	3.7	2.8		
Never in custody		0.1	0.1		0.7		1.8	0.4		
Other no penalty dispositions	1.2	19.7	0.9	0.6	2.8			2.4		
Found guilty of offense charged	67.0	62.6	85.4	82.9	55.9	62.1	70.0	77.0		
Plea guilty	44.6	49.9	75.8	40.9	41.3	58.4	61.5	67.7		
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty	3.4 19.0	10.8	5.6	40.9	9.8	1.2 2.5	3.7	4.0		
Found guilty of leaser offense	2.0	1.3	0.9		14.7	0.6		1.6		
Plea guilty		0.1			1.4					
Jury verdict guilty	0.8	0.1						0.4		
	L			<u> </u>						
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	CARRYING ETC.—Co			ИОИ	SUPPORT	OR NEGLECT				
THOUSENED OUTCOME	Penn- sylvenia	Wis- consin	Cali- fornia	Iowa	Kansas	Michigan	New	Ohio		
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction	26.5	16.1	41.1	68.6	60.9	41.0	58.1	66.4		
Dismissed by prosecution	8.0	10.7	29.4	53.4	57.4	33.3	12.0	55.3		
Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court-	0.6	0.9	4.6	2.5	1.8	3.2 1.3	2.9	3.6		
Acquitted by jury			1.5	5.1	0.6	1.9	1.1	1.2		
Never in custody			0.5	2.5			41.9	5.4		
Other no penalty dispositions	0.1	4.5	1.0	5.1	1.2	1.3	0.1	0.2		
Found guilty of offense charged	68.8	82.1	57.9	31.4	37.9	58.3	41.7	32.8		
Plee guilty	36.7	63.4	51.8	28.8	27.2	53.8	22.4	24.5		
Jury weived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		17.9	3.6 2.5	0.8	4.7 5.9	2.6	17.9	2.8		
vary variation Barray										
Found guilty of lesser offense		1.8	1.0		1.2	0.6	0.1	0.8		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-	3.7 0.3	1.8			1.2	0.6		0.1		
Jury verdict guilty	0.8		1.0				0.1	0.7		
					_					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	NONSUPPO NEGLECT-			▼10	LATING 1	IQUOR LAWS	3	-		
	Penn- eylvania	Wie- consin	Iowa	Kaneas	Mich- igan	Missouri	No- braska	New Jersey		
Total defendante disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction	20.9	60.2	33.6	50.6	27.0	66.7	52.5	27.2		
Dismissed by prosecution	12.9	22.3	28.6	42.4	18.9	61.3	33.3	19.1		
Dismissed on motion of defense	4.3	1.8	1.9	2.7	2.8	5.0	2.7	0.3		
Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury		5.0 0.4	0.1	1.5	3.7	0.9	7.8 6.3	2.5		
Never in quetody	0.3	1.1	0.3	0.9		1.2	0.4	2.9		
Other no penalty dispositions	2.2	29.6	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.3	2.0			
Found guilty of offense charged	68.9	39.8	65.8	48.4	72.6	32.7	46.3	72.1		
Plea guilty	29.2	24.9	61.4	42.6	64.3	18.8	32.9	66.3		
Jury waived, court finds guilty-		14.8	2.4	0.7	2.9	12.8	5.9	2.2		
Jury werdict guilty	3.5	0,1	1.9	5.1	5.3	1.2	7.5	3.7		
Found guilty of lesser offense	10.2		0.7	1.0	0.4	0.6	1.2	0.7		
Plea guilty	2.9 7.2		0.5	0.9	0.4	0.6	1.2	0.5		
Jury waived, court linds guilty-	0.1		0.1	0.1				0.2		
3		<u> </u>			L			L		

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

	(See not	e at head	of this	tel	ble)					
		VIOLATIN	G LIQUO	R L	AWSCo	ntinued				IG WHILE
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	North Dakote	Ohio	Oregon		enn- lvania	South Dakota	W1 cons		eli- rnie	
Total defendante dieposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100	0.0 1	00.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction			14.5		52.3	19.0	25	5.4	12.2	20.1
Dismissed by prosecution			11.7		41.7	7.8		9.5	5.0	
Dismissed on motion of defense- Jury waived, sequitted by court-	1.8		0.7		0.5	5.2		0.8	0.2	
Acquitted by jury	3.6	2.7	2.1		9.1	1.7		2.3	2.1	
Never in custody	0.9				0.1			0.5	0.1	
Other no penalty dispositions	2.3				0.4	2.6		7.4	0.8	
Found guilty of offense charged			84.1		46.7	77.6			35.7	79.4
Plee guilty	65.8		74.5		35.2	72.4			4.3	
Jury waived, court finds guilty-		6.9	4.8		1.1	3.4			5.1	
Jury verdict guilty		2.6	4.8		10.4	1.7	1	. 9	6.4	1.9
Found guilty of lesser offense	0.5	1.5	1.4		1.0	3.4			2.1	
Plea guilty	0.5		1.4		0.8	3.4			0.1	
Jury verdict guilty					0.1				0.1	
		DR	IVING W	HILE	INTOXI	CATED—Co	ntinu	le d		
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Michigan	Minne- sote	Misso	ari	Ne- braska	Ohio		Penn- sylvani	6	Nisconsin
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100	0.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.	0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	19.1	8.7	35	7.8	26.1	35	5.0	25.6		12.0
Dismissed by prosecution	6.4	4.6		.9	16.6		6.5	4.		6.4
Dismissed on motion of defense	2.9	0.5			5.0		2.6	0.		1.0
Jury waived, acquitted by court-	0.6	0.5			3.5		0.9		2	2.9
Acquitted by jury Never in custody	6.4 0.6	2.6		2.0	1.0		5.1	19.		0.2
Other no penalty dispositions	2.3	0.5		2.4				0.		1.6
Found guilty of offense cherged	78,6	90.8		.0	73.9		9.0	72.		84.9
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty-	64.2	86.7		8.1	57.3 15.1	4	9.4	52.		76.0
Jury verdict guilty	7.5	3.6		5.1	1.5		5.1	18.		0.2
Found guilty of lesser offense	2.3	0.5	1	. 2		(5.0	1.	7	3.1
Plee guilty	2.3	0.5		.2		5.1		1.		2.9
Jury waived, court finds guilty-								0.		0.2
Jury verdict guilty							0.9	0.	3 -	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	RO	AD AND DR	IVING LA	ws		PARKING				MOTOR LAWS
	Con- necticut	Missouri	Penn- eylvar		Wis- consin	Wiscons	in	Celi- fornie		lichigen
Total defendants disposed of-	100.0	100.0	100	0.0	100.0	100	0.0	100.	0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	59.9	63.6	42		11.8		0.1	28.	8	7.5
Diemissed by prosecution	45.6	60.1	13	.4	1.6		0.1	11.		3.7
Dismissed on motion of defense	12.2			0.6	0.8					1.1
Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury	1.4			.1	0.8			8. 6.		1.1
Never in oustody		3.2	-	0.1	1.4				- -	
Other no penalty dispositions		0.3		0.6		1		1.		1.1
Found guilty of offense charged	39.5	- 36.4		1.2	88.1	99	9.9	70.		90.9
Plea guilty	37.4	4.4		2.1	84.2		9.9	46.		89.3
Jury waived, court finds guilty- Jury verdict guilty		31.3 0.6		.0	3.7 0.2		0.1	11.		1.6
Found guilty of lesser offense				8	0.1			0	6	1.6
Plee guilty	0.7			.5	0.1				-	1.6
Jury waived, court finds guilty-				. 6			-		- 1	
Jury verdict guilty			0	.6				0.	0 -	

TABLE 39.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES
DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE,
BY STATES: 1934—Continued

(See note at head of this table)

			R VEHICLE ntinued		DIS	ORDE	RLY C	ONDUC	T AND	DRUNKE	nness
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Penn- sylvania		Wiscons	in	Kansı	13	Mich	igan	Missouri		Ohio
Total defendants disposed of	100.	.0	100	0.0	100	0.0	1	00.0	10	0.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions Plea guilty of offense charged Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty Plea guilty of lesser offense Plea guilty, court finds guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	9.9 2.0 4.4 26.4 0.6 55.2 22.5 7.3 25.3		76 67	33.7 33.9 55.3 1.4 20.4 20.8 33.9 33.9 33.3 33.1 20.6	23 17 2 4	3.0 3.0 3.0 7.0 2.0 4.0			4 3 1	7.3 0.5 1.3 	48.2 45.2 0.6
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	DISORDERLY DRUNKENNESS Penn- sylvania	-0		Mis	VAGRA	W	s- sin	New Jerse		MBLING Penn-	Wis- consin
Total defendents disposed of	100.0		100.0		100.0	10	0.0	100.	0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by ocurt Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	29.7 2.2 4.3 13.5		12.1 4.4 0.5 0.9 0.2		65.7 60.9 0.4 4.4		7.8 2.4 0.3 1.3	28. 14. 1. 10. 2.	4 - 0 9 3	29.2 2.9 0.3 0.2 25.5	35.5 6.5 18.1 2.9 0.7
Found guilty of offense charged Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	41.3 20.6 11.9 8.8		87.9 77.5 10.2 0.2		34.3	7	2.2 8.4 3.7 0.1	71. 68. 0. 1.	9	68.7 29.2 0.9 38.5	64.5 57.2 7.2
Found guilty of lesser offense Ples guilty	7.5 3.7 3.4 0.4							0.	4	2.2 2.1 0.1	

TABLE 40.—NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, WITHOUT CONVICTION, BY METHOD OF DISPOSITION, BY STATES: 1934

OF DISPOSITION, BI SI		1994										
	25° S7	TATES		ARIZ	ONA	CALI	FORNIA	С	OLORA	DO	CONNEC	TICUT
METHOD OF DISPOSITION	Number	Per-	N	umber	Per-		Percen			Per- cent	Number	Per-
Disposed of without conviction	42,563	100.0		341	100.0	2,22	6 100.0	0 1,	001 1	00.0	517	100.0
Diemissed by procecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waired, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penalty dispositions	2,139	5.0 5.2 22.6 3.9		223 19 2 56 1 40	5.6 0.6 16.4	336 336 523 36	2.2 5 15.1 5 23.5 1.3	2 1 5 3	61	67.5 6.1 0.2 14.9 4.9 6.4	425 49 23 14	82.2 9.5 4.4 2.7
	DISTRI	CT OF		IDAH	0	107	ŧΑ	K	earna		MICHI	GAN
METHOD OF DISPOSITION	Number	Per-	Nu	nber	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Numb		er-	Number	Per- cent
Disposed of without conviction	445	100.0		161	100.0	1,024	100.0	1,6	13 10	0.0	1,183	100.0
Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	363 5 73	1.1		116 7 5 22 4 7	72.0 4.3 3.1 13.7 2.5 4.3	786 50 4 96 45 43	76.8 4.9 0.4 9.4 4.4 4.2	1	70 4 28 1 60 9	1.6 4.3 1.7 9.9 1.0	678 121 59 206 9	57.3 10.2 5.0 17.4 0.8 9.3
	MINNE	SOTA	1	ISSO	URI	MONTA	.NA	NE	BRASKA	a T	NEW HAM	PSHTRE
METHOD OF DISPOSITION	Number	Per-	Nur	aber	Per-	Number	Per-	Numb	Pe	er-	Number	Per-
Disposed of without conviction	451	100.0	2	746	100.0	226	100.0	7	68 100	0.0	148	100.0
Diemissed by prosecution Diemissed on motion of defense Jury weived, ecquitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositions	300 27 3 73 14 34	6.0	2,	73 17 221 56 151	81.1 2.7 0.6 8.0 2.0 5.5	162 6 2 32 9 15	71.7 2.7 0.9 14.2 4.0 6.6		64 8 96 12 62 8 16 2	3.5 3.5 3.3 2.5 3.1 2.1	130 7 2 4 1	87.8 4.7 1.4 2.7 0.7 2.7
	NEW J	ERSEY	INF	EW MED	KTGO	NORTH D	AKOTA		OHIO	Ť	OREG	ON .
METHOD OF DISPOSITION	Number	Per-	-	aber	Per-	Number	Per-	Numbe	Pe	ent	Number	Per-
Disposed of without conviction	3,486	100.0		402	100.0	218	100.0	3,26	52 100	0.0	234	100.0
Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury waived, equitted by court- Acquitted by jury Never in custody Other no pensity dispositions	1,590 76 413 455 927 25	2.2		278 43 6 62 5	69.2 10.7 1.5 15.4 1.2 2.0	139 18 2 35 6 18	63.8 8.3 0.9 16.1 2.8 8.3	2,48 16 28 11	76 2 57 5 36 6 16 3	5.1 2.3 5.1 3.8 5.6	143 12 2 57 4 16	61.1 5.1 0.9 24.4 1.7 6.8
	PEN SYLVA			UTH		UTAH	WASHI	NGTON	WISC	CONSI	N WYOL	ING
METHOD OF DISPOSITION	Num- ber	Per-	Num-	Per-		- Per-	Num- ber	Per-	Num- ber		r- Num- nt ber	Per-
Disposed of without conviction	15,110	100.6	237	100.0	16	9 100.0	629	100.0	5,808	100	.0 158	100.0
Dismissed by prosecution Dismissed on motion of defense Jury weived, acquitted by court- Acquitted by Jury Never in custody Other no penelty dispositione	6,836 670 409 6,689 159 347	45.2 4.4 2.7 44.3 1.1 2.3	111 40 6 66 4 10	46.8 16.9 2.5 27.8 1.7	3 3	20.1 3.6 24.9 8 4.7	259 121 15 68 85 81	19.2 2.4 10.8 13.5	1,871 439 580 153 100 2,665	7 10 2 1	.6 24	62.7 4.4 2.5 15.2 3.8 11.4

TABLE 41.—NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF DEFENDANTS CONVICTED, BY METHOD OF CONVICTION, BY STATES: 1934

	25 ST	ATES	ARI	ZONA	CALI	FORNIA	COL	DRADO	CONNEC	TICUT
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Number	Per-	Numbe	r Per-		Per-		Per-		Per-
Total convictions	97,365	100.0	61	2 100.0	8,16	3 100.0	1,31	5 100.0	1,291	100.0
Found guilty of offense cherged Found guilty of lesser offense		95.4 4.6	56 4			92.7				
Plee of guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	73,771	75.8	51	3 83.6	6,31	9 77.4	1,04	79.2	1,186	91.9
offense	8,826	9.1	1	6 2.6	64	4 7.9	2:	1.6	59	4.6
Jury verdict guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	14,768	15.2	8	3 13.6	1,20	14.7	25:	3 19.2	46	3.6
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	DISTRIC		IDA	но	IOI	NA.	KANS	SAS	MICHI	GAN
	Number	Per-	Number	Per-	Number	Per-	Number	Per-	Number	Per-
Total convictions	1,307	100.0	436	100.0	2,450	100.0	2,128	100.0	4,391	100.0
Found guilty of offense charged Found guilty of lesser offense	1,196 111	91.5 8.5	277 159	63.5 36.5	2,404 46	98.1	1,999 129	93.9 6.1	4,274 117	97.3 2.7
Plee of guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	1,069	81.8	375	86.0	2,241	91,5	1,747	82.1	3,736	85.1
offense charged or of lesser offense	11	0.8	13	3.0	93	3.8	53	2.5	236	5.4
Jury verdict guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	227	17.4	48	11.0	116	4.7	328	15.4	419	9.5
	MINNES	OTA	MISS	OURI	MONTA	INA	NEERA	SKA	NEW HAM	PSHIRE
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per-	Number	Per-	Number	Per-	Number	Per-
Total convictions	2,609	100.0	3,666	100.0	495	100.0	1,461	100.0	379	100.0
Found guilty of offense charged Found guilty of lesser offense	2,513 96	96.3 3.7	3,389	92.4 7.6	469 26	94.7	1,409	96.4 3.6	374 5	98.7 1.3
Plee of guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	2,297	88.0	2,749	75.0	421	85.1	1,074	73.5	337	88.9
offense charged or of lesser	132	5.1	501	13.7	19	3.8	275	18.8	34	9.0
Jury verdict guilty of offense charged or of leseer offense	180	6.9	416	11.3	55	11.1	112	7.7	8	2.1

TABLE 41. - NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF DEFENDANTS CONVICTED, BY METHOD OF CONVICTION, BY STATES: 1934-Continued

	NEW J	ERSEY	1	NEW ME	xICO	NORTH	DAKOTA		OHIO		OREGON	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Number	Per		mper	Per- cent	Number	Per-		Per cen		mber	Per-
Total convictions	6,69	9 100.	0	758	100.0	809	100.0	6,5	100	.0	885	100.0
Found guilty of offense cherged Found guilty of lesser offense				702 56	92.6 7.4	780 29			318 88 79 11		876 9	99.0
Plea of guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	5,45	81.	4	602	79.4	702	86.8	5,3	81	.2	771	87.1
offense	74			39 117	5.1	23 84			556 8 581 10	.3	29 85	9.6
	PENNSYI	VANIA		OUTH KOTA	ט	TAH	Washi	RGTON	WISC	ONSIN	WYO	MING
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Num- ber	Per-	Num-	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per-	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per-	Number	
Total convictions	31,029	100.0	824	100.0	343	100.0	1,335	100.0	17,117	100.0	266	100.0
Found guilty of offense cherged Found guilty of leseer offense		95.7 4.3	773 51	93.8 6.2			1,286 49	96.3 3.7	17,035 82		244 22	91.7
Plee of guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	18,782	60.5	707	85.8	209	60.9	1,124	84.2	14,732	86.1	225	84.6
Jury verdict guilty of offense charged or of lesser offense	3,016	9.7	48 69	5.8			60 151	4.5	2,167	12.7	12	4.5

TABLE 42.—NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF CASES TRIED BY JURY, OR BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES), BY STATES: 1934

STATE	Total defendants disposed of	OR BY	NDANTS BY JURY THE COURT	STATE	Total defendants disposed of	TRIED	NDANTS BY JURY THE COURT
		Number	Percent			Number	Parcent
Twenty-five Statas-	139,928	35,416	25.3	Arizona	5,574	157 920	16.5 16.5
Pennsylvania		19,345	41.9	Wyoming	424 1,119 3,741	69 173 569	16.3 15.5 15.2
California	10,389	2,703	26.0	Montana	721	108	15.0
Nebraska	2,229	545	24.5	Washington		294	15.0
New Jersey		2,115	20.8	Idaho	597	88	14.7
New Mexico		224	19.3	North Dakota		144	14.0
Colorado District of Columbia		425	18.4	Wisconein	22,925	3,118	13.6
Missouri	1,752 6,412	316	18.0	Minnesota	3,060	388	12.7
South Dakota	1,061	189	17.8	New Hampshire	527	309	9.1 8.9
Ohio	9,859	1,690	17.1	Connecticut	3,474	142	7.9
				00111000	1,000	146	,,,,
ALTO TO THE STATE OF THE STATE							
	Total	JURY	CASES		Total	JURY	CASES
STATE	defendants		,	STATE	defendante		
	disposed	37	D		disposed		
	01	Number	Percent		of	Numbar	Percant
Twenty-five States-	139,928	24,396	17.4	Montana	721	87	12.1
110000 1110 00000	100,000	27,000	11.44	Idaho	597	70	11.7
				North Dakota	1,027	119	11.6
Pennsylvania	46,139	15,920	34.5	Michigan	5,574	625	11.2
Utah	512	154	30.1	Washington	1,964	219	11.2
Colorado	2,316	402	17.4	Missouri	6,412	637	9.9
District of Columbia	1,752	300	17.1	Ohio	9,859	967	9.8
California	10,369	1,723	16.6	New Jarsey	10,185	955	9.4
New Mexico	1,160	179	15.4	Minnesota	3,060	253	8.3
Arizona	953 3,741	139 488	14.6 13.0	Nebraska	2,229	174	7.8
Oregon	1,119	142	12.7	Connecticut	3,474 1,808	212 60	6.1 3.3
South Dakotn	1,061	135	12.7	New Hampshire	527	12	2.3
Wyoming	424	53	12.5	Wisconsin	22,925	371	1.6
	.~.		2210	W1000H01H	22, 220	5/1	1.0
STATE	Total dafendante		WAIVED SES	STATE	Total defendants		WAIVED
34411	disposed To	Number	Percent	SIALE	dieposed fo	Number	Percent
Twenty-five Statee-	139,928	11,020	7.9	Connecticut	1,808	82	4.5
				Minnesota	3,060	135	. 4.4
Nahma ala	0.000	0.02	20.0	Naw Maxico	1,160	45	3.9
Wisconsin	2,229	371	16.6	Washington	1,964	75	3.8
New Jersey	22,925 10,185	2,747	11.4	WyomingIdaho	424 597	16 18	3.8 3.0
California	10,389	980	9.4	Montana	721	21	2,9
Missouri	6,412	518	8.1	Iowa	3,474	97	2.8
Pennsylvania	46,139	3,425	7.4	Oregon	1,119	31	2.6
Ohio	9,859	723	7.3	North Dakota	1,027	25	2.4
New Hempshire	527	36	6.8	Kansas	3,741	81	2.2
Utah	512	28	5.5	Arizona	953	18	1.9
Michigan	5,574	295	5.3	Colorado	2,316	23	1.0
South Dakota	1,061	54	5.1	District of Columbia-	1,752	16	0.9

TABLE 43.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934

		,		, BI STA		34			
OFFENSE	25 8	States	Árizona	Call- fornia	Colo- rado	Con- nect- icut	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa
All offenses		97,365	612	8,163	1,315	1,291	1,307	436	2,450
Murder		795	1		24	3 36	42		14
Robberg		4,603	2		55	111	243		59
Aggravated assault		4,263	7:		83	42	120		61
Other assault		3,335 L2,264	12:		16 404	47 269	298	10	36 298
Larceny, except auto theft		3,737	4	522	323	57	172	61	355
Auto thaft		3,737	3:		27 95	83 44	161 37		89 84
Embezzlement and fraud		3,588	3	370	95	44	57	1/	34
Stolen property		1,111			12	14	10		39
Forgery		2,690	22		60 60	19 33	30 26		81 33
Prostitution and commercialized vi		572			1	12	2		22
Other sex offenses		3,134		183	6	116	3		57
Violating drug laws		970	10	100	2	10	17	1	30
Nonsupport or neglect		4,581	1:		15	10	9		37
						32			103
Violating liquor laws		6,154 5,939	56		8 3	31	70	1	491 369
Road and driving laws		2,973		29	2	60		~	10
Parking violations Other motor-vehicle laws		1,697			3	41		2	23
Disorderly conduct and drunkenness		3,548			ı	57			8
Vagrancy		3,117		1	11	2			8 58
GamblingAll other offensee		2,507	4.8		96	26 135	51		171
			,				1	1	
		Mich-	Minne			No.	New	New	New
OFFENSE	Kansas	Mich- igan	Minne- aota	Miasouri	Montana	Ne- braska	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Maxico
OFFENSE	Kansas			Miasouri	Montana		Hamp-		
OFFENSE All offenses	Kanses			Miasouri 3,666	Montana 495		Hamp-		
All offenges	2,128	igan 4,391	80ta 2,609	3,666	495	l,461	Hamp-shire	3,669	Maxico 758
All offenses	2,128	1gan 4,391 42	2,609	3,666	495	1,461	Hemp-shire	3,669	758 28
All offenses Murder	2,128 39 24 130	4,391 4,2 27 142	2,609 11 17 132	3,666 65 36 258	495 10 3 20	1,461	379	6,669 43 38 401	758 28 13 25
All offenses Murder	2,128 39 24 130 74	4,391 4,391 42 27 142 99	2,609 11 17 132 55	3,666 65 36 258 135	495 10 3 20 19	1,461 13 12 56 19	379	6,669 43 38 401 423	758 28 13 25 68
All offenses Murder	2,128 39 24 130	4,391 4,2 27 142	2,609 11 17 132	3,666 65 36 258	495 10 3 20	1,461	379	6,669 43 38 401	758 28 13 25
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407	4,391 4,391 42 27 142 99 150 835 437	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696	100 3 200 199 200 1022 91	1,461 13,12 56 19 43 267	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318	4,391 4,391 42 27 142 99 150 835	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684	10 3 20 19 20 102 91	1,461 13,12 566 19 43,267 76	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73	42,391 4,391 42,27 142,99 150,835 437,232,82	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 165	100 3 200 199 20 1022 91 31	1,461 13 12 566 19 43 267 171 76	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33
All offenses Munsleughter	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73	4,391 42,27 142 99 150 835 437 232 82 25	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 165 110	100 33 200 199 200 102 911 31 7	1,461 13 12 566 19 43 267 171 76 28	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 466 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73	42,391 4,391 42,27 142,99 150,835 437,232,82	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 165	100 3 200 199 20 1022 91 31	1,461 13 12 566 19 43 267 171 76	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 466 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33
Murder	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40	4,391 42,27 142,99 150 835 437 232,82 25 92 129	2,609 11 17 132 55 4 301 601 180 64 43 133 39	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 165 110 48 180 50	100 3 200 199 200 1022 91 311 7	1,461 13,12,566 19,43,267 171 76 28 54 98 16	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40	4,391 4,391 42 27 142 99 150 835 437 232 82 25 92 129	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 180 64 43 133 39	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 165 110 48 180 50	100 3 200 199 200 102 102 31 7 9 455 22	1,461 13,12,566 19,43,267,171,75 28 544,98	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9
Murder	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40	4,391 42 27 142 99 150 835 437 232 25 92 129	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 180 64 43 133 39 7 115	3,666 65 36 258 135 694 696 165 110 48 180 50	100 30 200 102 91 31 7 9 455 22	1,461 13,12 566 19 43,267 171 75 28 54 98 16	379 1 2 8 1 1 4 1 1 0 4 6 2 0 3 7 1 5 2 0 8 8 8	43,669 43,38,401 423,376 1,104 852,288,299 131,99 105 145,402,22	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 6
Murder	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40	4,391 42 27 142 99 150 835 437 232 82 25 92 119	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 7 115	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 165 110 48 180 50	100 33 200 102 91 31 7 7 9 45 22	1,461 13,12,566 19,43,267,171,75 28 544,98	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33
All offenses	2,128 39 24 1300 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40 5 18 16	4,391 42,27 142,99 150 835 437 232,82 25 92,129 11 153 20 75	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9	3,666 655 366 2588 135 1533 684 696 165 110 48 190 500 111 34	100 3 200 102 91 31 7 7 9 45 222 1 8 8 12 15	1,461 13,12 566 19 43,267 171 756 28 54 98 166 18 16	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 298 131 99 105 145 402 22 198	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 6 6 6 21 3
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 74 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40 5 18 166 66 406 41	1gan 4,391 42,27 142,99 150,635 437 232,82 25 92,129 11,153 20,75 92 396 140	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9 63 28 8178	3,666 65 366 258 135 694 696 165 110 48 180 50 11 34	100 3 200 199 200 102 911 31, 7 9 455 222 18 8 122 15	1,461 133 122 566 19 433 267 171 76 28 54 98 16 18 16 33 25	379 1 2 8 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 0 4 6 20 37 8 1 5 7 7 9 32	43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402 22 198 357 434 51	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 21 3 72 42
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40 5 18 16 66 406 411	1gan 4,391 42 27 142 99 150 835 437 232 25 92 129 1153 20 75 92	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9 63 28 178 25	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 684 696 696 105 11 34 110 5 113 159	495 100 3 200 199 200 102 91 31 7 9 455 22 1 8 122 15	1,461 13,12 566 19 43,267 171 766 28 54 98 16	379 1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37	43,669 43,401 423,376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402 22 198 357	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 6 21 3 72
Murder	2,128 39 24 130 74 74 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40 5 18 166 66 406 41	1gan 4,391 42,27 142,99 150,635 437 232,82 25 92,129 11,153 20,75 92 396 140	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9 63 28 8178	3,666 65 366 258 135 694 696 165 110 48 180 50 11 34	100 3 200 199 200 102 911 31, 7 9 455 222 18 8 122 15	1,461 133 122 566 19 433 267 171 76 28 54 98 16 18 16 33 25	1 2 8 11 14 15 20 37 9 15 20 17 7 9 32 2 15 3	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402 22 198 357 434 51 3	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 6 21 3 72 42 3 3
Murder	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 1366 40 5 18 16 66 406 41 3 4 25	1gan 4,391 42,27 142,99 150 835 437 232,282 25 92 111 153 20 75 92 396 140 52 173 332	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9 63 28 178 25 24 23	3,666 65 36 258 135 153 694 696 165 110 48 180 50 11 34 101 5 113 159 116 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	100 3 200 102 911 31, 7 7 9 45 222 1 8 1 12, 15	1,461 13,12 566 19 43,267 171 766 28 54 98 16 18 18 16 33,25	1 2 8 11 14 110 46 20 37 8 1 7 9 32 15 3 3 3 3	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402 22 198 357 434 51 3	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 21 3 772 42 3 8
All offenses	2,128 39 24 130 74 37 318 407 118 73 19 136 40 5 18 16 66 406 411 3	1gan 4,391 42,27 142,99 150,635 437 232,82 25 92,129 11,153 20 75 92 396,140 52 173 332 9	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9 63 28 178 25 24	3,666 65 36 258 135 51 153 684 696 665 110 48 180 50 11 34 101 5 113 159 116 3 33	100 3 200 102 91 31 7 7 9 455 22 1 8 8 122 1 5	1,461 13,12 566 19 43,267 171 75 28 54 98 16 33,25 120 147 30	1 2 8 11 14 15 20 37 9 15 20 17 7 9 32 2 15 3	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402 22 198 357 434 51 3	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 6 21 3 72 42 3 3
Murder	2,128 39 24 130 7318 407 118 73 19 136 40 5 18 16 66 41 3 4 25	1gan 4,391 42,27 142,99 150 835 437 232,282 25 92 111 153 20 75 92 396 140 52 173 332	2,609 11 17 132 55 54 301 601 180 64 43 133 39 7 115 9 63 28 178 25 24 23	3,666 65 36 258 135 694 696 165 110 48 180 50 11 34	100 3 200 102 911 31, 7 7 9 45 222 1 8 1 12, 15	1,461 13,13 12,566 19,43 267 11,75 28 54 98 16 18 16 33 25 120 147 30 12 48	379 1 2 8 1 1 4 110 46 20 37 15 20 8 1 5 3 2 15 3 3 3 3	6,669 43 38 401 423 376 1,104 852 288 299 131 99 105 145 402 22 198 357 434 51 3	758 28 13 25 68 30 61 175 20 33 11 34 9 2 6 6 21 3 72 42 3 3 8 8 5

TABLE 43.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

Offense	North Dakota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvenie	South Dakota	Utah	Wash- ington	Wis- consin	Wyoming
All offenses	809	6,597	885	31,029	824	343	1,335	17,117	266
Murder	2	161	3	131	3	4	17	19	8
Manslaughter	1	78	3	189	8	10	16	21	3
Robbery	25	667	13	1,432	21	27	46	129	15
Aggravatad assault	18	394	30	1,953	22	23	36	144	14
Other assault	28	196	24	1,432	26	6	50	394	3
Burglary	66	1,549	123	2,750	106	93	298	675	40
Larceny, except auto theft	157	617	191	3,869	160	39	349	1,270	80
Auto theft	21	510	23	677	29	13	75	240	10
Embezzlement and fraud	20	280	53	1,256	14	9	9	520	10
Stolen property	11	108	26	306	13	1	10	71	11
Forgary	22	204	54	512	26	24	89	158	22
Repe	29	117	21	448	20	18	25	184	2
Prostitution and commercial-			1					ĺ	
izad vica	2	9	1	261	2		1	41	
Other sex offenses	56	73	35	1,167	24	15	62	555	
Violating drug laws		1	2	136	1	5	11	19	3
Carrying weapons, etc	9	196	3	744	2			94	
Nonsupport or neglact	14	290	13	3,077	11	18	13	323	1
Violating liquor laws	174	617	124	2,332	94	5	24	563	2
Driving while intoxicated	32	73	25	2,384	76	1	51	442	3
Road and driving laws	1	40	10	554	8	1	14	1,989	
Parking violations			1	21	1		2	1,669	
Other motor-vehicle laws	4	24	7	654	11	3	6	606	
Disorderly conduct and drunk-									
annass	24	88	1	332	36	7	7	2,336	2
Vagrancy	2		2	7			3	2,975	
Gembling	12	44	2	1,689	1	1	5	89	
All other offenses	79	261	95	2,716	109	20	116	1,591	37

TABLE 44.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, CF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1934

OFFENSE	25 States	Arizona	Cali- fornia	Colo- rado	Connect-	District of Columbia	Idaho	Iowa			
All offenses	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Murder	3.8	1.5 2.5 4.6 12.3 3.4 19.9 8.0 5.4 6.0	1.2 1.5 6.7 4.1 2.1 16.0 6.4 7.3 4.5	1.8 0.6 4.2 6.3 1.2 30.7 24.6 2.1 7.2	0.2 2.8 8.6 3.3 3.6 20.8 4.4 6.4 3.4	3.2 0.5 18.6 9.2 	1.1 1.4 3.7 3.2 2.3 20.4 14.0 5.0 3.9	0.6 0.4 2.4 2.5 1.5 12.2 14.5 3.6 3.4			
Stolen property————————————————————————————————————	2.8 1.8 0.6 3.2 1.0	1.3 3.6 3.6 0.5 1.3 1.6	1.4 5.8 3.0 0.2 2.2 8.4 1.2 1.4	0.9 4.6 4.6 0.1 0.5 0.2	1.1 1.5 2.6 0.9 9.0 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.5	0.8 2.3 2.0 0.2 0.2 1.3	4.6 13.5 3.7 3.2 0.2 1.1 6.0	1.6 3.3 1.3 0.9 2.3 0.1 1.2 1.5 20.0			
Driving while intoxiceted	6.1 3.1 1.7 1.8 3.6 3.2 2.6 8.4	9.2 1.0 1.3 0.5	19.6 0.3 1.5 0.1 5.2	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1	2.4 4.6 3.2 4.4 0.2 2.0 10.5	0.7	0.9 0.5 0.5 5.0	15.1 0.4 1.1 0.3 0.3 2.4 7.0			

TABLE 44.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OFFENSE	Kensaa	Mich- igan	Minn- esote	Missouri	Montana	Ne- bres- ke	New Hamp- shire	New Jersey	New Mexico
All offenses	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	1.8	1.0	0.4	1.8	2.0	0.9	0.3	0.6	3.7
Mansleughter	1.1	0.6 3.2	0.7 5.1	1.0 7.0	0.6 4.0	0.8	0.5	0.6	1.7
Aggravated essault	6.1	2.3	2.1	3.7	3.8	1.3	2.9	6.3	9.0
Other assault	1.7	3.4	2.1	4.2	4.0	2.9	3.7	5.6	4.0
Burglery	14.9	19.0	11.5	18.7 19.0	20.6	18.3	29.0	16.5	8.0 23.1
Auto theft	5.5	5.3	6.9	4.5	6.3	5.2	5.3	4.3	2.6
Embezzlement and fraud	3.4	1.9	2.5	3.0	1.4	1.9	9.8	4.5	4.4
Stolen property		0.6	1.6	1.3	1.8	3.7		2.0	1.5
Forgery	6.4	2.1	5.1	4.9	9.1 4.4	6.7	4.0 5.3	1.5	1.2
Prostitution and commercialized	1.9	2.3	1.5	1.4	7.7	1.1	3.0	1.0	1.62
Vice	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.2		2.2	0.3
Other sex offenses Violeting drug lews	0.8	3.5 0.5	4.4	0.9	1.6	1.1	2.1	6.0	0.8
Carrying weapons, etc		1.7	0.3	2.8	3.0	2.3	0.3	3.0	2.8
Nonsupport or neglect	3.1	2.1	2.4	0.1		1.7	1.8	5.3	0.4
Violeting liquor laws	19.1	9.0	1.1	3.1	0.4	8,2	2.4	6.5	9.5
Driving while intoxicated	1.9	3.2	6.8	4.3		10.1	8.4	0.8	5.5
Road and driving laws Parking violations	0.1	1.2	1.0	3.2	0.2	2.1	4.0		0.4
Other motor-vehicle laws	0.2	3.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.4
Disorderly conduct end drunken-									
ness	1.2	7.6	0.9	4.5 2.3		3.3	0.8	0.3	1.1
Gambling	0.7	0.5	1.5	0.9	6.5	0.1	1.1	5.5	4.5
All other offenses	5.4	14.2	18.0	6.2	8,5	9.6	3.4	8.0	6.1
		l							
OFFENSE	North			D	South		Wash-	Wis-	
OFFERSE	Dakota	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- sylvania	Dakota	Utah	ington	consin	Wyoming
All offeness		0hio	Oregon			Utah			Wyoming
	Dakota			sylvania	Dakota		ington	consin	
All offenses	Dakota 100.0	100.0	100.0	sylvania	Dakota	100.0	ington	consin	
All offeness Murder Mansleughter	Dakota		100.0 0.3 0.3	sylvania	100.0 0.4 1.0	1.2	100.0 1.3 1.2	0.1 0.1	3.0
All offenses Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1	0.3 0.3 1.5	0.4 0.6 4.6	100.0 0.4 1.0 2.5	1.2 2.9 7.9	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4	0.1 0.1 0.8	3.0 1.1 5.6
All offeness Murder	100.0 0.2 0.1	2.4	100.0 0.3 0.3	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3	100.0 0.4 1.0	1.2	100.0 1.3 1.2	0.1 0.1	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2	2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1	1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1	1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2	2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1	1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5	2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6	2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 2.6	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0	0.4 1.00 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0	3.00 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8	100.0 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 2.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 3.4.6 8.9 9.2 2.2 4.0 1.0	0.4 100.0 0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 3.0 0.4 0.9	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 3.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 4.1 8.3
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5	2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 2.6 6.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1	100.0 0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1	3.00 1.11 5.66 5.33 1.11 15.00 30.11 3.88 3.88 4.11 8.33 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1	100.0 0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 0.2	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 4.4 3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1	3.00 1.11 5.66 5.33 1.11 15.00 30.11 3.88 3.88 4.1 8.33 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1	100.0 0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1	3.00 1.11 5.66 5.33 1.11 15.00 30.11 3.88 3.88 4.11 8.33 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 6.9	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1	100.0 0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 0.4 2.4 9.9 7.5	0.4 1.00 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.55 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 0.2 1.3 11.4	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 4.4 1.5 	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 4.3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9	3.00 1.11 5.66 5.33 1.11 15.00 30.11 3.88 3.88 4.11 8.33 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1	100.0 0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3 1.5	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 3.4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 8.0.4 2.4	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 0.2 1.3	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 6.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 0.2 6.9	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1 	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0 0.2	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 3.4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 8.0.4 2.4 9.9 7.5 7.7	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 0.2 1.3 11.4 9.2 1.0 0.1	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 4.4 1.5 	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9 3.3	100.00 3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 6.9	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 2.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 0.4 9.9 7.5 7.7	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 1.2 1.3 11.4	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 1.5 0.3 0.3 0.3	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8 1.0 1.8 3.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 4.3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9 3.3	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 0.2 6.9	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1 	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0 0.2	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 3.4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 8.0.4 2.4 9.9 7.5 7.7	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 0.2 1.3 11.4 9.2 1.0 0.1	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 4.4 1.5 	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8 3.8 1.0 0.1 1.0 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9 3.3	100.00 3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 0.2 6.9 	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1 3.0 4.4 9.4 1.1 0.6 0.4	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 0.4 2.4 9.9 7.5 7.7 1.8 0.1 2.1	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 1.3 11.4 9.2 1.0 0.1 1.3	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 1.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.9 2.0	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 4.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9 3.3 2.6 11.6 9.8 3.5	100.0 3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8 0.4 0.8 1.1
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 0.2 6.9 	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1 3.0 4.4 9.4 1.1 0.6 0.4 1.1 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 0.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 3.4 6.8 9.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 0.4 2.4 9.9 7.5 7.7 1.8 0.1 2.1	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 0.2 1.3 11.4 9.2 1.0 0.1 1.3	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 1.5 0.3 0.3 0.9 2.0	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8 1.0 1.8 3.8 1.0 0.1 0.4 0.5 0.2 0.4	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9 3.3	100.0 3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8 1.1 0.4 0.8
Murder	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 3.5 8.2 19.4 2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6 0.2 6.9 	100.0 2.4 1.2 10.1 6.0 3.0 23.5 9.4 7.7 4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8 0.1 1.1 3.0 4.4 9.4 1.1 0.6 0.4	0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 2.7 13.9 21.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.1 4.0 0.2 0.3 1.5 14.0	0.4 0.6 4.6 6.3 4.6 8.9 12.5 2.2 4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4 0.8 3.8 0.4 2.4 9.9 7.5 7.7 1.8 0.1 2.1	0.4 1.0 2.5 2.7 3.2 12.9 19.4 3.5 1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.2 2.9 0.1 1.3 11.4 9.2 1.0 0.1 1.3	100.0 1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 1.7 27.1 11.4 3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 1.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.9 2.0	100.0 1.3 1.2 3.4 2.7 3.7 22.3 26.1 5.6 0.7 0.7 6.7 1.9 0.1 4.6 0.8	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 2.3 3.9 7.4 1.4 3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.2 3.2 0.1 0.5 1.9 3.3	100.0 3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 1.1 15.0 30.1 3.8 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8

TABLE 45.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY OF THE MAJOR OFFENSES AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1934

	/16106		MONII WHOLE						
OFFENSE	25 States	Arizon	Calif- ornia	Colo- rado	Connect-	Distric of Columbi	Idal	no lowa	Kansas
Major offenses	51.2	70.	3 67.3	87.7	55.9	89.	0 74	.8 47.1	65.5
Murder	0.8 0.7 4.7 4.4 12.6 12.1	1. 2. 4. 12. 19.	5 1.5 6 6.7 3 4.1 9 16.0	1.8 0.6 4.2 6.3 30.7 24.6	0,2 2,8 8.6 3.3 20.8 4.4	3. 0. 18. 9. 22.	5 1 .6 3 .2 3 .8 20		1.8 1.1 6.1 3.5 14.9
Auto theft	3.7 1.1 2.8 1.8	6. 1. 3.	0 4.5 3 1.4 6 5.8 6 3.0 6 8.4	2.1 7.2 0.9 4.6 4.6 0.2	6.4 3.4 1.1 1.5 2.6 0.1 0.8	12. 2. 0. 2. 2.	8 3 8 4 3 13 0 3 3 0	7 1.3	5.5 3.4 0.9 6.4 1.9 0.8
OFFENSE	Michigan	Minne- sota	Missouri	Montana	Nebraska	New Hemp- shire	New Jersey	New Mexico	North Dakota
Major offenses	50.9	60.8	69.0	78.0	57.7	71.5	59.8	66.5	47.1
Murder	1.0 0.6 3.2 2.3 19.0	0.4 0.7 5.1 2.1 11.5 23.0	1.8 1.0 7.0 3.7 18.7 19.0	2.0 0.6 4.0 3.8 20.6 18.4	0.9 0.8 3.8 1.3 18.3	0.3 0.5 2.1 2.9 29.0 12.1	0.6 0.6 6.0 6.3 16.5 12.7	3.7 1.7 3.3 9.0 8.0 23.1	0.2 0.1 3.1 2.2 8.2 19.4
Auto theft- Embezzlement and fraud- Stolen property- Forgery- Rape- Violating drug laws- Carrying weapons, etc	1.9	5.9 2.5 1.6 5.1 1.5	4.5 3.0 1.3 4.9 1.4	6.3 1.4 1.8 9.1 4.4 2.4 3.0	5.2 1.9 3.7 6.7 1.1	5.3 9.8 4.0 5.3	4.3 4.5 2.0 1.5 1.6 0.3 3.0	2.6 4.4 1.5 4.5 1.2 0.8 2.8	2.6 2.5 1.4 2.7 3.6
OFFENSE	Ohio	Oregon	Penn- aylvanis	South Dakota	Utab	Washingt	on Wi	aconsin	Wyoming
Major offenses	74.0	61.6	46.4	51.6	77.6	73	.5	20.7	82.0
Murder		0.3 0.3 1.5 3.4 13.9 21.6	0.6 4.6 6.3 8.9	1.0 2.5 2.7 12.9 19.4	1.2 2.9 7.9 6.7 27.1 11.4	1 3 2 22 26	.3 .2 .4 .7 .3 .1	0.1 0.1 0.8 0.8 3.9 7.4	3.0 1.1 5.6 5.3 15.0 30.1
Auto theft	4.2 1.6 3.1 1.8	2.6 6.0 2.9 6.1 2.4 0.2 0.3	4.0 1.0 1.7 1.4 0.4	1.7 1.6 3.2 2.4 0.1	3.8 2.6 0.3 7.0 5.2 1.5	0 0 6 1	.6 .7 .7 .7 .9	1.4 3.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.1 0.5	3.8 3.8 4.1 8.3 0.8 1.1
			J		1				

TABLE 46.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE: 1934

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	All of- fenses	Mur- der	Man- slaugh- ter	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated ee- seult	Other es- seult	Bur- glary	Larceny, except auto theft	Auto theft
Total defendants sentenced	97,365	7 95	702	4,60	3 4,263	3,335	12,264	11,747	3,737
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	21,374 5,291 16,083	669 161 508	334 75 259	2,69° 61. 2,08°	236	237 61 176	5,561 1,413 4,148	3,483 945 2,538	1,446 360 1,086
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	1,016		5	65	9 29	24	244	150	93
Local jails, workhouses, etc With money payment also Without money payment	24,829 9,312 15,517	36 16 20	158 63 95	1,113	503	1,175 600 575	2,290 880 1,410	3,343 1,414 1,929	634 203 431
Fines, costs, or other money payment only Fine with or without other payment Costs only Restitution or support order			57 40 15 2	3.	3 381	1,076 748 254 74	288 114 110 64	1,186 688 325 173	140 93 29 18
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	21,704 10,392 11,312	27 6 21	134 64 70	632 181 451	1 337	640 381 259	3,446 1,507 1,939	3,060 1,336 1,724	1,320 547 773
Probation or suspended sentence with- out supervision	5,143 62 572	3 57 3	12	41	- 2	166 	411	506	95 9
SERTENCE OR TREATMENT	Em- bezzle- ment and fraud	Stc- len pro- perty	For- gery	Rape	Prosti- tution and com. vice	Other sex offen- ses	Viol- eting drug laws	Carrying weapons, etc.	Non- sup- port or neg- lect
Total defendants sentenced	3,598	1,111	2,690	1,731	572	3,134	970	1,641	4,581
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	723 169 554	232 83 149	1,201 278 923	768 168 600	119 16 103	756 112 644	201 27 174	262 71 191	144 43 101
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	31	5	25	45	3	28	3	15	1
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1,004 400 604	298 121 177	460 188 272	428 140 288	184 85 99	643 243 400	523 21 502	644 199 445	167 55 .112
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment Costs only	701 339 240 122	137 94 27 16	135 52 34 49	115 64 31 20	101 92 4 5	848 445 143 260	21 15 3 3	231 171 34 26	2,757 64 593 2,100
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	958 453 505	397 189 208	788 404 384	337 186 151	135 60 75	709 288 421	170 32 138	429 144 285	1,437 1,172 265
Probation or suspended sentence with- out supervision	163	42	74	33	29	136	45	59	69
Death penelty Other	8		7	5	1	14	7	1	6

TABLE 46.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE: 1934—Continued

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Viole- ting liquor lews	Driv- ing while in- toxi- cated	Roed and driv- ing laws	Perk- ing viole- tions	Other motor- vehicle laws	Disor- derly con- duct and drunk- enness	Vag- rancy	Gam- bling	All other of- fenses
Total defendants sentenced	6,154	5,939	2,973	1,697	1,777	3,548	3,117	2,507	8.189
State prisons end reformatories (for adults)	129 157	105 48 57	17 7 10		54 6 48	85 7 78	22	9 1 8	948 264 684
only Local jails, workhouses, etc With money payment also Without money payment	2,128 1,345	2,365 1,313 1,052	281 154 127	7 1 6	278 107 171	1,066 235 831	1,190 48 1,142	1,111 206 905	1,693 632 1,061
Fines, costs, or other money payment only Fine with or without other payment Costs only Restitution or support order	260	1,471 1,275 133 63	2,343 2,125 198 20	1,686 1,678 8	899 644 244 11	1,609 1,397 193 19	154 152 2	665 568 78 19	3,051 1,960 594 497
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	635	1,797 963 834	177 149 28	22	453 171 282	367 137 230	126 46 80	649 253 396	1,515 751 764
Probation or suspended sentence with- out superwision		157 6	113	2	83 6	355 60	1,611	69	516 3 298

TABLE 47.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY
AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES, BY
OFFENSE: 1934

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	All of- fenses	Mur- der	Man- slaugh- ter	Rob- bery	Aggra- veted as- seult	Other es- seult	Bur- glary	Larceny, except auto theft	Auto theft
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	22.0 5.4	84.2 20.3 63.9	47.6 10.7 36.9	58.6 13.3 45.3	23.8 5.5 18.3	7.1 1.8 5.3	45.3 11.5 33.8	29.7 8.0 21.6	38.7 9.6 29.1
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	1.0		0.7	1.5	0.7	0.7	2.0	1.3	2,5
Local jails, workhouses, etc With money payment also Without money payment		4.5 2.0 2.5	22.5 9.0 13.5	24.3 3.0 21.2	37.7 11.8 25.9	35.2 18.0 17.2	18.7 7.2 11.5	28.5 12.0 16.4	17.0 5.4 11.5
Fines, costs, or other money payment only Fine with or without other payment Costs only Restitution or support order	15.7 3.8		8.1 5.7 2.1 0.3	1.0 0.7 0.2 0.1	13.2 8.9 3.7 0.6	32.3 22.4 7.6 2.2	2.3 0.9 0.9 0.5	10.1 5.9 2.8 1.5	3.7 2.5 0.8 0.5
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	10.7	3.4 0.8 2.6	19.1 9.1 10.0	13.7 3.9 9.8	21.5 7.9 13.6	19.2 11.4 7.8	28.1 12.3 15.8	26.0 11.4 14.7	35.3 14.6 20.7
Probation or suspended sentence with- out supervision	0.1	0.4 7.2 0.4	1.7	0.9	3.0	5.0	3.4	4.3	2.5

TABLE 47.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY
AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION IN 25 STATES, BY
OFFENSE: 1934—Continued

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Em- bezzle- ment and fraud	Sto- len pro- perty	For- gery	Rape	Prosti- tution and com. vice	Other sex offen-	Viol- eting drug lews	Carrying weapons, etc.	Non- sup- port or neg- lect
Total defendante sentenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	20.2 4.7 15.4	20.8 7.5 13.4	44.6 10.3 34.3	44.4 9.7 34.7	20.8 2.8 18.0	24.1 3.6 20.5	20.7 2.8 17.9	16.0 4.3 11.6	3.1 0.9 2.2
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	0.9	0.5	0.9	2.6	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.9	
Local jails, workhouses, etc	28.0 11.1 16.8	26.8 10.9 15.9	17.1 7.0 10.1	24.7 8.1 16.6	32.2 14.9 17.3	20.5 7.8 12.8	53.9 2.2 51.8	39.2 12.1 27.1	3.6 1.2 2.4
Fines, costs, or other money payment only Fine with or without other peyment Costs only Restitution or support order	19.5 9.4 6.7 3.4	12.3 8.5 2.4 1.4	5.0 1.9 1.3 1.8	6.6 3.7 1.8 1.2	17.7 16.1 0.7 0.9	27.1 14.2 4.6 8.3	2.2 1.5 0.3 0.3	14.1 10.4 2.1 1.6	60.2 1.4 12.9 45.8
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	26.7 12.6 14.1	35.7 17.0 18.7	29.3 15.0 14.3	19.5 10.7 8.7	23.6 10.5 13.1	22.6 9.2 13.4	17.5 3.3 14.2	26.1 8.8 17.4	31.4 25.6 5.8
Probation or suspended sentence with- out supervision	4.5	3,8	2.8	1.9	5.1	4.3	4.6	3.6	1.5
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Viole- ting liquor lews	Driv- ing while in- toxi- ceted	Roed end driv- ing laws	Park- ing viole tione	Other motor- vehicle lews	Disor derly con- duct and drunk ennes	Vag ranc		All other of- fenses
Totel defendants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100.	0 100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	4.6 2.1 2.6	1.8 0.8 1.0	0.6 0.2 0.3		3.0 0.3 2.7	2.	2	-	11.6 3.2 8.4
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	0.4	0.6	0.2		0.2	0.:	2 0.	0.2	2.0
Local jeils, workhouses, etc With money payment also Without money payment	34.6 21.9 12.7	39.8 22.1 17.7	9.5 5.2 4.3	0.4 0.1 0.4	15.6 6.0 9.6	30. 6. 23.	6 1.	5 8.2	20.7 7.7 13.0
Fines, costs, or other money payment only Fine with or without other payment Costs only	38.7 33.2 4.2 1.3	24.8 21.5 2.2 1.1	78.8 71.5 6.7 0.7	99.4 98.9 0.5	50.6 36.2 13.7 0.6	45. 39. 5.	4 4.	9 22.7	37.3 23.9 7.3 6.1
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	17.6 10.3 7.3	30.3 16.2 14.0	6.0 5.0 0.9	0.1	25.5 9.6 15.9	10.	9 1.	5 10.1	18.5 9.2 9.3
Probation or suspended sentence with- out supervision	3.7	2.6	3.8	0.1	4.7	10.	-		6.3

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934

ALL OFFENSES

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Sta	tes	Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idah	o Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	97,	365	612	8,163	1,315	1,291	1,30	7 43	6 2,450
State prisons and reformatories (for									+
With money payment also	21,		298	2,215			79		
Without money payment arso	16		2 296	2,202			798		2 192 556
Institutions for juvenile delinquente only			1	232					5 20
Local jalla, workhouses, etc	24,	829	71	2,328			123		9 765
With money payment also	9,		4	154		147		9 3	
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-			67 38	2,174			28	- 1	
Fine with or without other payment			30	390			28		
Costs only	3,	715	1		1	1			- 18
Restitution or support order	- 3,	677	7	19	5	1		- 4	9
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	91	704	148	2,760	219	177	352	2 79	429
With money payment also	10.		17	1,188			302		
Without money payment			131	1,572	156	94	350	7	
Probetion or suspended sentence without	i .								1
Supervision	5,		55	200	4	99			
Other			1	14	6				1 4
		2,128 4,391 1,185 1,540 1,185 28 1,512 472 598 472 92 506 120 764 91 438 14 250 15 76 315 1,401 282 709 33 692 33 80 3 8		"					1
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mioh	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nabr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Maha 3 da									
Total defendants sentenced	2,128	4,39	2,609	3,666	495	1,461	379	6,699	758
State prisons and reformatories (for									
With money payment also					302	513 195	85	338	358 93
Without money payment					287	318		1,298	265
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			- 2	82	23	28		105	19
Local jails, workhouses, etc					53	297		1,308	62
Without money payment erso					11	134	59	354 954	25 37
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-					38	184	71	731	89
Fine with or without other payment	91		8 139		38	153	43	525	70
Costs only						13	26	10	19
Restitution or support order Probstion or suspended sentence with	15	"	6 56	3		18	2	196	
supervision	315	1,40	1 573	529	63	401	57	2,478	104
With money payment also		70	9 81		1	174	11	1,669	34
Without money payment	33	69	2 492	154	62	227	46	809	70
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	33		0 65	41	15	36	96	432	113
Death penalty				4	1			6	. 6
Other	3		8 219	7		2	5	3	7
		<u> </u>						-	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	809	6,59	7 885	31,029	824	343	1,335	17,117	266
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	248			2,216	287	199	760	998	142
With money payment also	230	1,24		1,319	24 263	5 194	176 584	972	128
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	35	1,00	2 9	340	9	2	30	36	22
Local jails, workhouses, etc	328	1,014		11,427	206	52	243	3,122	33
With money payment also	184	788		5,520	96	10	81	349	13
Without money payment	144	226 801		5,907 9,094	110	42 26	162	2,773 8,441	20
Fine with or without other payment	48	738		3,292	106	10	83	7,831	10
Costs only	11	25	5 2	2,770	9		2	475	
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with	22	44	1	3,032	11	16	4	135	1
supervision	77	2,172	194	6,942	159	37	175	1,827	36
With money payment elso	41	1,159	53	3,150	89	8	43	986	5
Without money payment	36	1,013		3,792	70	29	132	841	31
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	32	123	86	937	37	21	20	2,498	19
Death paralty		15		4			1		1
Other	8	8	2	69		6	17	195	2

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

MURDER

		MURDI	ER							
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Ste	itee	P	riz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced		795		9	9	8 24	3	42	5	14
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)		669		9	8	6 15		42	5	12
With money payment also	4	161								2
Without money payment	-	508		9	8			42	5	10
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, stc	j	36	1			1				
With money payment also	-	16								
Without money payment		20	}			_				
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment			4							
Costs only			1							
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with										
eupervision	-	27				2 1				
With money payment slso	1	6								
Without money payment		21				1				
supervision	-	3				1				
Death penalty		57			10	6	3			1
Other	1	3								1
			-		Ţ				1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	1.	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nabr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendents sentenced	39	4	2	1.1	65	10	13	1	43	28
State prisons and reformatories (for			\top							
adults)	38	4	10	11	61	9	13	1	38	18
With money payment also						9	3		5 33	5
Without money payment			10	11	81		10	1		13
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1		- 1							
With money payment also	1									
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-										
Fine with or without other payment										
Costs only			- 1							
Probation or suspended sentence with	1 1									
Supervision			7 (1
With money payment alsoWithout money payment			- 1							1
Probation or suspended sentence without	1 1				ĺ			ĺ		
supervision			1		4	1			5	3 6
Other			- 1							
COMMON P OD MURAUSCENTI	N. Dole	0.4		0	- De	G. Dole	The	Wash	Wee	Wase
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT Total defendants sentenced	N.Dak.	0h1	+	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah 4	Wash.	W18.	Wyo.
		10	_		101		-		1.0	
State prisons and reformatories (for adulte)	2	111	9	3	99	3	3	16	19	7
With money payment also		6	2 .		44			1		
Without money payment	2	5		3	55	3	3	15	19	7
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc		1	- 1		25					
With money payment also			_		12					
Without money payment			٠,١		13					
Fine with or without other payment			- -							
Costs only			1							
Restitution or support order			- -							
supervieiou		1			1		1			
With money payment elso		1	-		1					
Without money payment		1	* .				1			
eupervision			- 1							
Other		1:			2			1		1

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

MANSLAUGHTER

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 St	ates	Ariz.	Celif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced		702	15	11	9 8	36	7	6	10
State prisons and reformatories (for									-
edults)		334	13	5		12	7	1	7
With money payment also		75 259	13	5	2 1 2	111	7	1	4 3
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		5							
Local jails, workhouses, etc	-	158		2		12			1
With money payment also		63 95		1	3 B 4	111			1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		57			2	9			
Fine with or without other payment		40			2	9			
Restitution or support order		15							
Probation or suspended sentence with								}	
Supervision		134	1	3:		3		5	1
Without money payment		70	1	1		2		5	1
Probation or suspended sentence without		1							
supervision		12	1		1 1				1
Other		2							
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendante sentenced	24	2	7 17	36	3	12	2	38	13
State prisons and reformatories (for									
edults)		1.	4 16	23	3	7	1	21	9
With money payment also						3		2	3
Without money payment		1	16	23	3	4	1	19	6
Local jails, workhouses, etc	3	:	1 1	8				10	
With money payment elec			-	1				1	
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-			- 1	7 4				9 2	
Fine with or without other payment				4				2	
Restitution or support order									
Probation or suspended sentence with									
supervision		9		1		5		5	2
With money payment aleo Without money payment		1 5		1		1 4		3 2	2
Probation or suspended sentence without		i `	/	1		-		~	
supervision	1]					1		2
Death penalty									
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wesh.	Wie.	Wyo.
Total defendante eentenced	1	78	3	189	8	10	16	21	3
Stete prisons and reformatories (for					_				
With money payment also		14		39 19	7	2	12	12	3
Without money payment		27		20	7	2	6	12	3
Institutione for juvenile delinquente only				5					
Local jails, workhouses, etc With money payment also		8		77 41	1	6	1	3	
Without money payment		i		36		6		2	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-				35 19				3	
Fine with or without other payment Coets only				19					
Restitution or support order				2					
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision		29	1	29		2	3	2	
With money payment also		14		16		ĩ	2	ĩ	
Without money payment		15	1	13		1	1	1	
Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision				3					
Death penalty									
Other				1				1	
			I						

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

ROBBERY

SENTENCE OR THEATMENT	25 Ste	ntes	Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	4,	603	28	54	4 55	111	243	16	59
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)		697	26	39		78		14	
Without money payment also		086	26	39		20 58		14	9 42
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	7	69		3'				1	
Local jeils, workhouses, etc	1,	117		33		25 6	22	1	1
Without money payment		977		3		19	22	1	
Finss, costs, or other money payment only-		46					3		2 2
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		7							
Restitution or support order	-	6							
Probetion or suspended sentence with supervision		632		74		7	35		5
With money payment also		181	2	11		3			
Without monsy payment	1	451	2	51	7	4	35		5
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		41		,	2	1			
Death penalty									
Other	}	1							
		Т	<u> </u>		-		-	1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defandants sentenced	130	14:	2 132	258	20	56	8	401	25
State prisons and reformatories (for									
With money payment also	121	119		230	17	42	2	262	21 12
Without money payment		119		225	17	35	2	197	9
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			_	5	1	3		8	
Local jails, workhouses, etc	5 5		- 1	11 2		3		40	
Without money payment		4		9		2		36	
Fines, costs, or other monsy payment only-		1	.				1	4	
Fine with or without other payment Costs only							1	3	
Restitution or support order		1	L						
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	4	16	7	12	2	8	1	75	1
With money payment elso	3	1 5		6		4		39	
Without money payment	1	7	7	6	2	4	1	36	1
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		2	,				4	12	3
Death penalty									
Other									
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	25	667	13	1,432	21	27	46	129	15
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	23	468		262	6	21	45	106	15
With money payment also Without money payment	23	258		130	6	21	15 30	106	15
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only				11	2				
Local jails, workhousss, etc		40 25		916 95		3		9	
Without money payment		15		821		3		9	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	1	2		32					
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	1	2		22 5					
Restitution or support order Prohetion or suspended sentence with supervision		152		5	13	3	1	14	
With money payment elso		78		12	13			9	
Without money payment		74	1	187	12	3	1	5	
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	1	5		11					
Death penalty									
Other				1					

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 St	ates	Ariz.	Celif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	4	,263	75	33	1 83	42	120	14	61
State prisons and reformatories (for edults)		015	29	8	7 67	9	75	7	23
With money payment also	-	236				2			6
Without money payment		779	29	8		7	75		
Institutions for juvenile delinquente only Local jeile, workhouses, etc		29			4			1	
With money payment also		503	8	20		28	16	2	19
Without money payment		103	7	19		23	16	1	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	. -	564	4	1		3	2		4
Fine with or without other payment		381	4	1	5 1	3	2	1	4
Reetitution or support order		156							
Probation or suspended sentence with		27			2				
supervision		916	16	1	2 7	1	27	3	13
With money payment also		337	1		3 3				6
Without money peyment	1	579	15		9 4	1	27	3	7
Probation or suspended sentence without eupervision		126	18		3	1			2
Death penalty		2			2				
Other		5							
				L					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.E.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	74	91	9 55	135	19	19	11	423	68
State prisons end reformatories (for				1			1		
edults)		54	41	64	14	15	2	117	40
With money payment also						6		29	7
Without money payment		50	3 38		14	9	2	88	33
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc	22	1	7 5	26	3	1	2	136	2
With money payment also	22		3 1		l i			25	
Without money payment		14			2	1	2	111	2
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-					1	1	3	20	5
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	5	4		23	1	1	2	19	4
Restitution or support order								1	
Probation or suspended sentence with			1						
supervision	9	23		16	1	2	1	124	9
With money payment also	6	11		9 7	1	2	1	98 26	9
Probation or suspended sentence without	٦	1.5	'	1	1	-	1	20	
supervision			. 1	1			3	23	10
Death penelty									
Other			1						2
		T	T]			1	1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Oh1c	-	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utsh	We sh .	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendante sentenced	18	394	30	1,953	22	23	36	144	14
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	8	129	9	73	12	14	24	52	9
With money payment also		73		45	6	1	1	3	
Without money pagment	8	56		28	6	13	23	49	9
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only				15				1	1
With money payment aleo	6	118		909 303		7	5	3	3
Without money payment also	2	22		606		7	1	41	3
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	2	11		435	4	í	ī	13	1
Fins with or without other payment	2	11		259	4	1	1	13	1
Costs only				152					
Restitution or support order				24					
supervision		128	6	476	5		4	29	
With money payment elso		59	3	114	5		3	15	
Without money payment		69	3	362			1	14	****
Probation or suspended sentence without	-	_				,	,		
Supervision	2	9	1	44	1	1	1	5	
Other		1		1					~~~~
			1						

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	24 Sta			Ariz.	Calif	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Ideho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	3,	335		21	169	16	47		10	36
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)		237	F	7	4	4	5		2	8
With money payment also		61	-			2				2
Without money payment		176		7	4	4	5		2	6
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc	1	24 175	~	6	127	9	21		4	14
With money payment also	1 -,	600	İ	1	7		15		3	3
Without money payment		575		5	120		6		1	11
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		076 748	1		14	_	17		4	5 4
Costs only		254	-			- 1				1
Restitution or support order		74	-							
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision		640		6	18	2	2			7
With money payment also		381	-		4	1	2			1
Without money peyment		259		6	14					6
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		166		2	6		2			2
Death penalty			-							
Other		17	-							
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich		Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
			-							
Total defendents sentenced	37	15	50	54	153	20	43	14	376	30
State prisons and reformatories (for			_		_	_		1		
with money payment also	4		27	11	5	7	6		40	11
Without money payment			26	11	5	6	2		40	7
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only									3	
With money payment also	19 19		2	20	74 22	9 2	20 5	3	122	1
Without money payment			13	17	52	7	15	3	96	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	9	-	88	12	61	2	8	- 4	23	10
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	9		LB LO	10	60	2	8	2 2	23	5
Restitution or support order			- 1							
Probation or suspended sentence with			_							
Supervision	5		15	10	8	2	5	2	151	4
Without money payment			22	9	4	2	4	i	71	4
Probation or suspended sentence without			-							
Supervision			5	1	3		4	5	37	4
Other			-		2					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	.0	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Uteh	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	28	19	36	24	1,432	26	6	50	394	3
State prisons and reformatories (for		-	+							
edults)	1		8	3	54	2		13	15	
With money payment also	1		5	3	39 15	2		2	15	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			- 1		20			11	15	
Local jails, workhouses, etc	22	8	10	13	438	14	3	18	90	3
With money payment also	7 15	5 2		13	382 56	9	3	8	20 70	2
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	5	4		3	586	6		8	222	7
Fine with or without other payment	5	4	3	3	295	6		8	211	
00010 0.2.3			4		218 73				11	
Probation or suspended sentence with			1		73					
supervision		4		4	285	4	2	9	24	
		2		1 3	218 67	4	1	4 5	5 19	
Prohation or suspended sentence without		1	1	3	07	4	1	٥	19	
supervision		1	- 1	1	48			1	32	
			- 1							
Other		1	2		1		1		11	

TABLE 48. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

BURGLARY

		-							
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Sta	tes	Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	12,	,264	122	1,30	2 404	269	298	89	298
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	-,	561	85	44		111	207	37	161
With money payment also Without money payment		148	85	438	69 3 238	90	207	2	35
Institutions for juvenile delinquente only		244	05	7		90	207	35	126
Local jails, workhouses, etc	2,	290	1	31		59	18	28	70
With money payment also	١,	880	1	10		22		4	46
Without money paymentFines, costs, or other money payment only-	1,	288		300	15	37	18	24	24
Fine with or without other payment		114			- 2	1			1
Restitution or support order		110							2
Probation or suspended sentence with		04							
supervision	3,	446	26	448		78	71	21	53
With money payment also Without money payment	1,	507	1	132		35			20
Probation or suspended sentence without	١, ٠,	939	25	31	56	43	71	21	33
supervision		411	10	20		20		1	4
Death peneltyOther									
Other		24							1
			<u> </u>	1	1			1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kane.	Mich	. Minn	. Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendents sentenced	318	83	5 30	684	102	267	110	1,104	61
State prisons and reformatories (for			1	+	 				
edulte)	259	42	4 140	526	77	150	36	400	43
With money payment also	259		6 :	1 41	1	55		91	8
Without money payment		41	1 -		76	95	36	309	35
Local jails, workhouses, etc		5	1 -		2	5 35	16	35 173	2 4
With money payment also	15	1	0	- 12	1	12	1	40	
Without money payment		4	-		1	23	15	133	4
Fines, coets, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment			6 3			3	1	11	3
Costs only			2				1		3
Restitution or support order		:	2					1	
Probetion or euspended sentence with supervision	41	344	3 100	88	16	69	24	417	2
With money payment also	37	150				34	2	264	
Without money payment	4	19	2 97	26	16	35	22	153	2
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	3		7 6	5 5	3	3	30	68	6
Death penalty									
Other			- l	. 2		2	3		1
		T .	1.	 					_
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	-	-	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wie.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	66	1,549	123	2,750	106	93	298	675	40
State prisons and reformatories (for								-	
With money payment also	43	788			66 5	65 3	241 40	231	30
Without money payment argument	43	366			61	62	201	231	29
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	6	2	2	51	1	1	11	12	3
Local jails, workhouses, etc	8	140		-,	9	2	4	101	2
With money payment also Without money payment	3 5	115		549	1 8	2	2	99	2
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		12		232	3			3	
Fine with or without other payment		10						2	
Restitution or support order		2		100	3			1	
Probation or suspended sentence with									
supervision	8	584			18	13	33	314	4
With money payment also Without money payment	8	304		276 285	5. 13	10	5 28	166 148	4
Probation or suspended sentence without	_					j		-10	
supervision	1	21	. 18	146	9	10	7	12	1
Death penalty Other		2		6		2	2	2	
								~	

LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Ste	tes	-	Ariz.	Celif	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	11,	747		49	522	323	57	172	61	355
State prisons and reformatories (for			F	-		-				
edults)	3,	483		31	211	220	7	101	33	140
With money payment also		945]	. 37	1			32
Without money peyment		538		31	210		6	101	33	108
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc		150 343	Г	9	121		31	13	15	130
With money payment also	1,	414	.		15		10		3	55
Without money payment		929		9	106		21	13	12	75
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		186 688	1		1		4		3	4
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		325								
Restitution or support order		173								
Probation or suspended sentence with	_			_					1	
Supervision		060 336	١.	7	167		10	58	9	64
Without money payment	1.	724		7	85		8	58	9	53
Probation or suspended sentence without										
supervision		506	1	2	10	1	5			15
Death penaltyOther		19	1							
00101		-				ľ				
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	1.	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
			_						-	
Total defendants sentenced	407	43	37	601	696	91	171	46	852	175
State prisons and reformatories (for								-		
adults)	278	17	78	290	312	59 2	74	6	186	97 26
With money payment also Without money payment		17	-	288	24	57	43	6	165	71
Instituitons for juvenile deliquents only-					19	3	5		22	11
Local jails, workhouses, etc	63		56	154	237	8	45	8	155	12
With money payment also	63		2	15	28	8	18	8	25 130	7 5
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	12	1 -	21	22	37	2	5	3	21	4
Fins with or without other payment	7		6	11	35	2	5		19	4
Coets only	5		2	3	2			3	1	
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with			۵							
supervision	44	17		124	87	18	38	9	393	28
With money payment also	39	10		13	45	1	18		206	5
Without money payment	5	7	6	111	42	17	20	9	187	23
supervision	9		6	11	4	1	4	20	75	22
Death penalty			-							
Other	1									1
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	.0	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	157	61	.7	191	3,869	160	39	349	1,270	80
State prisons and reformatories (for			_							
adults)	60	14		88	496	91	21	188	136	35
With money payment also	3 57	-	34	11	311 185	8 83	21	55 133	130	9 26
Without money payment Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	12			2	185	85	1	133	2	10
Local jails, workhouses, etc	60	16	4	33	1,540	31	10	104	297	11
With money payment elso	18	14		12	894	15	2	22	50	6
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	42		.8	21	646 547	16 11	8 2	82	247 428	5
Fine with or without other payment	2		9	4	138	9	2	2	389	2
Costs only		1 :	2	1	258	2		1	28	
Restitution or support order			4		151				11	1
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	16	24	9	44	1,088	22	3	42	291	8
With money payment also	7	12	9	11	502	6	1	7	132	1
Without money payment	9	12	0.9	33	586	16	2	35	159	7
Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision	7	1	.4	19	150	5	2	4	108	12
Death penalty			-							
Other			-		4			4	8	1

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

AUTO THEFT

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 St	ates	Ariz.	Calif	Colo.	Conn.	D.c.	Ideho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	- 3	,737	33	59	14 27	83	161	22	89
Stete prisons and reformatories (for adults)		,446	18	13	26	70		10	
With money payment also	-	360			16	38	77	16	51
Without money payment	- 1	,086	18	13	- 1	32	77	16	45
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		93			8		3		2
Local jails, workhouses, etc		203	1	3		26	11	3	14
Without money payment		431	1	4		20	11	3	7
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		140		5		3			4
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		93		4	9	3			4
Restitution or support order		18			3				
Probation or suspended sentence with	1	-							
supervision		,320	11	25		11	70	3	17
With money payment also Without money payment		773	10	17	-	5	70	3	4
Propertion or suspended sentence without		773	10	17	* *	3	70	3	13
supervision		95	3		6	5			1
Death penalty									
Other	ĺ	9			-				
				T	T.				
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	118	23	2 180	165	31	76	20	288	20
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	98	10	5 85	102	16	53	7	97	16
With money payment also	98		2	8		15	1	20	5
Without money payment		10		94	16	38	6	77	11
Local jails, workhouses, etc	5	14		33	7	9	4	49	1
With money payment also	5			3	3	6		22	
Without money payment		14		30	4	3	4	27	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	1						1		
Costs only	1		-						
Restitution or support order							1		
Probetion or suspended sentence with	14	116	7 75	0.1		100	-	104	
With money payment also	14	112		21	. 2	12	5	124	
Without money payment		61		11	2	7	5	31	
Probation or suspended sentence without					1				
supervision		:	1	1	2		3	15	3
Other			- 2	1					
						<u> </u>	L	L	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendents sentenced	21	510	23	677	29	13	75	240	10
State prisons and reformatories (for edults	18	222	2 8	109	20	12	48	69	6
With money payment also		119	1	65			13		
Without money payment	18	103		44	20	12	35	69	6
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc.	2	31		278	3	1	4	3 36	2
With money payment also		24		90			1	2	
Without money payment		7	1	188	3	1	3	34	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		3		65 25			1	6	
Costs only		1	_	25			1		
Restitution or support order			1	14					
Probetion or suspended sentence with		000		100			3.0	220	
with money peyment also	1	252		183	6 5		18	112	2
Without money payment	1	122		131	1		14	37	2
Probation or suspended sentance without				1					
supervision		2	-	34			1	13	
				2			3	1	

EMBEZZLEMENT AND FRAUD

I	SMBEZZLI	EMENT	AND FRAU	7D					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 St	ates	Ariz.	Calif.	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendents sentenced	3	,588	37	370	95	44	37	17	84
State prisons and reformatories (for									
edults)		723	16	124	61	15	17	10	46
With money payment also		169		1		2			9
Without money payment		554	16	123		13	17	10	37
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1,	004	1	108	.	22	4	3	16
With money payment elso		400		11		5		1	8
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		701	1	97		17	4	2 3	8
Fine with or without other payment	- [339		ī		2		1	10
Coets only		240						2	
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentance with		122						2	
supervision		958	19	128		4	16	1	12
With money payment also Without money payment	ļ	453 505	5 14	67 61		4	16	1	1 11
Probation or suspended sentence without		303	14	61		1 "	16	1	11
supervision		163	1	7	1	1			
Death penaltyOther		8		1					
ocher-								1	
						1	1	I	T
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nehr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendents sentenced	73	8	2 64	110	7	28	37	299	33
State prisons and reformatories (for				1					
edults)	44	2	4 4	40	4	10	8	41	21
With money payment elso	44			2		6		4	6
Without money payment		2		38	4	4	8	37	15
Local jails, workhouses, etc	13		B 30	39			11	61	
With money payment also	13		2 3 6 27	1C 29			10	16 45	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		1		16		5	4	25	
Fins with or without other payment	1		5 3	15		1	2	16	
Costs only Restitution or support order			4 1 7 1	1		4	2	9	
Probation or suspended sentance with				-					
supervision	14	3.		12	2	13	4	138	4
With money payment also	14	2		9	2	9	3	109 29	1 3
Probation or suspended sentence without									
supervision	1		3		1		10	34	8
Other				1					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Uteh	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	20	280	53	1,256	14	9	9	520	10
State prisons and reformatories (for	2.2	100		0.0			-	7.0	
With money payment also	11	12:		22	7 2	8	5	34	8
Without money payment	11	6:		7	5	8	4	32	8
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	6	28		28 569				64	
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1	27		292	1		1	9	
Without money payment	5	1 3		277	1		1	55	
Fines, costs, or other money peyment only- Fine with or without other payment	1	18		280	3 2		1	308 152	
Costs cnly	1			87	ĩ			144	
Restitution or support order				86				12	
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	2	108	16	292	2		2	89	2
ith money payment also	1	49	9 5	88	2			57	
Without money payment Probetion or suspended sentence without	1	59	11	204			2	32	2
supervision		5	5 4	62	1	1		23	
Death penalty				3				2	
Other			1	3		30000		۵	

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE. BY STATES: 1934—Continued

STOLEN PROPERTY

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	24 Sta		1	Ariz.	Celif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowe
Total defendants sentenced	1,	,111		8	11	1 12	14	10	20	39
Stete prisons and reformatories (for										
With money payment elso		232	L	2	1	9 12	1	4	6	19
Without money payment		149	'	2	1		1	4	6	16
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	Ŧ	5	-			1				1
Local jails, workhouses, etc		298		1		1	9		7	8 5
With money payment elso Without money payment		121	-	1	3	1	3 6		1 6	3
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		137	-			- 1	3		3	4
Fine with or without other payment	-	94	-		:	1	3		3	3
Costs only Restitution or support order		27								1
Probetion or suspended sentence with										
supervision		397		4	5	- 1	1	6	4	7
With money payment also Without money payment		189 208	-	4	20		1	6	4	3
Probetion or suspended sentence without		200		4	31		1	0	4	3
supervision		42		1	:	3				
Death penalty			-							
Other			-							
				<u>_</u>	T	T	I	T	<u> </u>	<u></u>
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kens.	Mich	1.	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	19	2	25	43	48	9	54		131	11
Stete prisons and reformatories (for adults)	10	١,	12	9	12	2	21		18	4
With money payment also				i	4		13		5	1
Without money payment			12	8	8	2	8		13	3
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			3	18	13	3	13		32	
Local jails, workhouses, etc			1	3	6		111		16	
Without money payment			2	15	7	3	2		16	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-			-	4 3	3		2 2		13	1
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	1		_	1	1		2		13	1
Restitution or support order										
Probation or suspended sentence with	_					_				
with money payment also	5		0	11 2	18	3	18 12		54 41	4
Without money payment	2		4	9	3	3	6		13	4
Probation or suspended sentence without										
Supervision Death penalty			-	1	1	1			13	2
Other			_							
			닉							
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	٥	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	11	10	8	26	306	13	1	10	71	11
State prisons and reformatories (for										
adults)	6	2		5	25	5		3	4	5
With money payment also		1		2	20 5	5		3	1 3	1 4
Without money payment	6	1	-		2					
Local jails, workhouses, etc	3	2	1	9	95	7	1	1	19	1
With money peyment also	1	1		1	47	7			3	1
Without money payment	2	1	2	8	48 62		1	1	16 31	
Fine with or without other payment		1	4	3	25			ī	29	
Costs only			- 1		21				2	
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentance with			-		16					
supervision	2	5	4	6	111	1		5	13	4
With money payment also	2	2	5	1	54				4	
Without money payment Probetion or suspended sentence without		2:	9	5	57	1		5	9	4
supervision			1	3	11				4	1
Desth penalty			-							
Other			-							

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

FORGERY

		1 01101								
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Sts	ites	Ari	z.	Celif.	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	2,	690		22	476	60	19	30	59	81
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	1,	201		12	177	48	4	19	29	46
With money payment also		278		1		- 14	1			11
Without money payment		923		11	177		3	19	29	35
Local jails, workhouses, etc	-	460			97		12	4	12	13
With money payment also		188		- 1	7		2	1		3
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		135			90		10	3	12	10
Fine with or without other payment		52								2
Restitution or support order		34		- 1						
Probation or suspended sentence with										
supervision		788 404		6	189		3	7	16	17
Without money payment		384		6	95 94		2	7	16	15
Probation or suspended sentence without							_			
supervision Death penal ty	1	74		4	7				2	3
Other		7								
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	м	nn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
									1	1112021
Total defendants sentenced	136	9	2	133	180	45	98	15	99	34
State prisons and reformatories (for										
adulta)	91	5	9	84	131	41	64	3	22	30
With money payment elso			1		9	1	32		9	6
Without money psyment Institutions for juvenils delinquents only		5	- 1	84	122	40	32	3	13	24
Local jails, workhouses, etc	5		5	7	23		2	5	20	1
With money payment also Without money payment	5		1 4	1	22		2	5	7	1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	5			2	4		3		3	i
Fine with or without other payment	5				3				2	1
Costs only Restitution or support order			- 1		1		3		1	
Probation or suspended sentence with										
With money payment also	32 24	2		37	16	4	27	1	49	2
Without money payment	8	1		35	9	4	13	1	9	2
Probation or suspended sentence without	2		1	3	3		2	4	5	
supervision Desth penalty										
Other	1		-					2		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	0 Or	eg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	22	204	4	54	512	26	24	89	158	22
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	7	98		31	45	16	17	75	20	3.4
With money payment also		41	- 1	3	35	1	1/	11	38	14
Without money payment	7	51		28	10	15	17	64	35	12
Inetitutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc	2 8			4	10 219	1			18	3
With money payment also	1	3		2	151				1	
Without money payment	7			2	68	1			17	1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		2		1	109				3	
Costs only			- 1		27				1	
Restitution or support order Probetion or suspended sentence with			-		46				2	
supervision	3	99		13	103	9	6	13	95	2
With money payment also	3	52		12	61 42	6		2	79 16	1
Without money payment Probetion or suspended sentence without	3	4.		12	46	٥	0	11	10	1
supervision	2	8	1	5	23		1		3	2
Death penaltyOther					3			1		

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

RAPE

SENTENCE OR THEATMENT	25 Sts	atee	Ariz.	Ca	lif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants contenced	1,	,731	2	2	247	60	33	26	16	33
State prisons and reformatories (for										
With money payment also		768 168	1	-	73	49	13	14	12	21
Without money payment	4	600	1	4	73	40	13	14	12	17
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc	-	45 428		-	12 83		10	6	2	4
With money payment also		140 288		-	83	1 2	1 9	6	2	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	-	115					2			
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		64					2			`
Restitution or support order	1	20		-						
supervision		337		7	75	7	4	6	2	8
With money payment also Without money payment		186		6	19 56		4	6	2	1 7
Probation or suspended sentence without										
supervision		33		:	4		4			
Other	·	5		1						
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Min	1. N	10.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	40	12	9	39	50	22	16	20	105	9
State prisons and reformatories (for sdults)	35	е	8 3	29	34	17	14	10	31	6
With money payment also	35	35 1		2	33	17	7	10	30	1 5
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			-		2	1	1		8	1
Local jails, workhouses, etc			7	3	6		1	6	20	
Without money payment			5	3	5		1	6	15	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment			1	-	1				8 7	
Restitution or support order	1		1	-					1	
Probation or suspended sentence with	_								-	
Supervision	4	3		7 2	6	2		2	29	1
Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without			4	5		2		1	9	
supervision			1			2		2	9	
Death penaltyOther			-	-						
		T			_				1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	ooreg	. P	a.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendents sentenced	29	11	7 2	1 4	48	20	18	25	184	2
State prisons and reformatories (for										
With money payment also	18	3			86 57	16	16	18	64	2
Without money payment	18	3		.8	29	16	16	10	61	2
Local jails, workhouses, etc	2	3:	2		14		2	4	22	
With money payment also Without money payment	1	2			97		2	3	20	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-			-	- 1	88			1	13 12	
Fine with or without other payment Costs only				- :	30				1	
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with			-	- :	17					
supervision		15			41	4		1	83	
With money payment also Without money payment		3			27			1	70 13	
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		,		_	8			1	1	
Death penalty				-						
Other				-	2					
		_							1	

PROSTITUTION AND COMMERCIALIZED VICE

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	21 Sta		Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced		572	3	15	1	12	2		22
State prisons and reformatories (for		10		.,					1
With money payment also		16		11	1	1 1	2		15
Without money payment	- 1	.03		11	1		2		11
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		3							
Local jails, workhouses, atc	1	85		4		7			3 2
Without money payment		99		4		6			1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	1	.01				3			
Fine with or without other payment		92				3			
Restitution or support order		5							
Probation or suspended sentence with	1	"							
eupervision	1	.35	1						1
With money payment also		60							1
Without money payment	•	75	1						
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		29	2			1			3
Death penalty									
Other	-	1							
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	5	5 11		11	1	18		145	2
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	2 2	6	5	1	1	1		45	1
Without money payment		6	5	1	1	1		45	1
Institutione for juvenile delinquente only								1	
Local jails, workhouses, stc	1	3	1	1		10		15	
With money payment also	1			1		5		2	
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		3	1 1	2		5 7		13 21	1
Fine with or without other payment			1	2		6		21	1
Costs only						1			
Restitution or support order			~						
Probation or suspended sentence with		,		7				50	
Supervision		1		7				57 35	
Without money payment								22	
Probation or suspended sentence without								-	
supervision		1						6	
Death penalty									
Other									
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	o Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	2	9	1	261	2		1	41	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
state prisons and reformatories (for adults)	1	6	1	11			1	7	
With money payment also		6		3					
Without money payment	1		1	8			1	7	
Institutione for juvenile delinquents only				2					
Local jails, workhouses, atc		1		132				6	
Without money payment also		1		62				3	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		1		37				25	
Fine with or without other payment		1		29				25	
Costs only				3					
Restitution or support order				5					
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision		1		64				3	
With money payment elso		1		14				1	
Without money payment				50				2	
Probation or suspended sentence without									
supervision				14	2				
Death penaltyOther				1					
A110 1									
		-1							

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

OTHER SEX OFFENSES

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	24 Sta		Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	1owa
Total defendants sentenced	3,	134		18	3 6	116	3	14	57
State prisone and reformatories (for									
adulte)		756	6	11	.0 3	13	1	5	23
With money payment also		112				3			5
Without money payment	1	28	6		0 3	10	1	5	18
Local jails, workhouses, etc		643		3	- 1	53		6	14
With money payment also		243			1	15		4	8
Without money payment	-	400			2 1	38		2	6
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		848 445			1 1	12		1	5
Costs only		143			1				l ĩ
Restitution or support order	·	260	1		-				2
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision		709	١,			100			
With money payment also		288	1		6 1 1	19	2	1	7 2
Without money payment		421	1	1	- 1 -	15	2	1	5
Probation or suspended sentence without									
supervision		136			3	19		1	6
Death penaltyOther		14							
Ofte Leaders and the second and the		14		1	-				
	<u> </u>		L	1		1	1	<u> </u>	-
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn	. Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Totel defendants sentenced	18	15	3 11	5 34	8	16	8	402	6
Stats prisons and reformatories (for adults)	. 9		4 5	8 16	8	2	1	174	4
With money payment also				-			1	134	1
Without money payment		6	4 6	8 16	8	2	1	104	3
Inetitutions for juvenile delinquents only								4	
Local jails, workhousee, etc		2		3 8		12	4	72	
With money payment aleo Without money payment	4		2 1	2 5 1 3		8	1 3	18 54	
Finee, costs, or other money payment only-				7 9		1	1	18	
Fine with or without other payment			9			1	1	18	
Costs only				1 4					
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with	1		6	6					
supervision	4	4	5 2	2 1		1	2	139	
With money payment also	2		-	6			2	90	
Without money payment	2	3	0 1	6 1		1		49	
Probation or suspended sentence without				_				95	
supervision			4	5				35	
Other			1						2
						<u> </u>		1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	o Oreg	. Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	56	7	3 3	5 1,167	24	15	62	555	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	17	4	8 1	4 58	19	9	28	96	
With money payment also	2			1 37			6	1	
Without money payment	15	1	1 1		19	9	22	95	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc	19	1	1	- 11 8 253	1 3	3	20	82	
With money payment also	6			2 147			4	9	
Without money payment	13			106	3	3	16	73	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	6			1 537		1		225	
Fine with or without other payment	1		4	- 179 1 129				211	
Restitution or support order	5			229		1		9	
Probation or suspended sentence with									
supervision	8		-	293		2	7	102	
With money payment also	6 2			1 119		2	1 6	36 66	
Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without	2			1/4		-	°	0.0	
supervision	4		-	1 15	1		1	38	
Death panalty	_		1						
Other			-					11	

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

VIOLATING DRUG LAWS

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	20 Ste		Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced		970	10	683	2	1	17	1	2
State prisone and reformatoriee (for									
with money payment also		201	8	119			10		
Without money payment		174	8	118			10		
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	1	3		1					
Local jails, workhouses, etc		523	1	425			3		
Without money payment	1	502	1	424			3		
Finee, costs, or other money payment only-		21		1		1			2
Fine with or without other payment		15		1		1			2
Costs only		3							
Rectitution or support order		3							
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision		170	1	98			4		
With money payment also		32		12					
Without money payment		138	1	86			4		
Probation or suspended sentence without		45							
Supervision	1	45		39					
Death penaltyOther		7						1	
0110	1	.						-	
								ļ	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants centanced	16	2	0		12			22	6
State prisons and reformatories (for			_						
adults)		1			12			6	4
With money payment alec Without money payment		1	1	1	10			6	1 3
Inetitutione for juvenile delinquents only				1					2
Local jails, workhouses, atc	2		3					9	
with money payment elso	2			1					
Without money payment								9	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment									
Coste only			_						
Restitution or support order			-						
Probation or suspended sentence with				1					
eupervieion	2 2		3					6	
With money payment also Without money payment			1					2	
Probation or suspended sentence without								-	
eupervision	1							1	
Death penalty									
Other			-						
				1			1	1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	o Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendents sentenced			1 2	136	1	5	11	19	5
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adulta)			3	4		5	5	3	1
With money payment also				3			1		
Without money payment				1		5	4	3	1
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc				57	1		5	12	2
With money payment also			- 1	16	1				
Without money payment			-	41			5	12	2
Fines, coets, or other money payment only-							1	1	
Fine with or without other payment			1	7 5			1	1	
Costs only Restitution or support order		1		3					
Probation or suspended sentence with									
supervision				54				1	
With money payment also				10				1	
Without money payment			-	44					
Probation or suspended sentence without			_	4					
supervision			1						
Other			į.	4				2	
	L								

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

CARRYING WEAPONS, ETC.

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	17 Sta		Ariz.	Calif	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Ideho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	1,	,641		10	0	10			30
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adulta)	_	262		3	1	4			14
With money payment also		71				1			2
Without money payment		191		3		3			12
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc		15			4				
With money payment also		199		5	2	6 2			10
Without money payment		445		5		4			7
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	-	231			7				1
Fine with or without other payment		171		1	7				1
Restitution or support order		34 26							
Probation or suspended sentence with		20			-				
supervision		429			4				4
With money payment also		144			1				
Without money payment	·	285		:	3				4
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	İ	59		Ι.	2				1
Death penalty		33							1
Other		1			-				
						<u> </u>			
				T	1		T		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced		7:	5 9	101	15	33	1	198	21
State prisons and reformatories (for				+			1		
adults)		3	2 2	20	4	11		48	8
With money payment also				_		6		21	4
Without money payment		3	1	1	4	5		27	4
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc			5 5	52	4	1 5		59	7
With money payment also		3		9		1		15	5
			5 5		4	4		44	2
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		1	L		6	12	1	2	2
Fine with or without other payment			1		6	12	1	2	1
Restitution or support order		1	L	1					1
Probation or suspended sentence with				1					
supervision		36	1	7		4		74	1
With money payment elso		15		4		2		53	
Without money payment		2]	1	3		2		21	1
supervision		1	. 1	2	1			13	3
Death penalty									
Other									
	1			-					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	9	196	3	744	2			94	
State prisons and reformatories (for								-	
edults)	3	16		30 18				2	
		1 10						2	
With money payment also	3	36							
Without money payment	3	36		12					
Without money payment	3	61		8 35?	1			16	
Without money peyment	3 4 2	61		35? 126				16 2	
Without money psyment	3 4	61 31 30		35? 126 231	_ :			16 2 14	
Without money peyment	3 4 2	61	1	35? 126				16 2	
Without money psyment- Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local joils, workhouses, etc With money psyment also Without money psyment Fines, costs, or other money psyment Fine with or without other psyment Costs only	3 4 2 2	61 31 30 14	1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22	1 1			16 2 14 60 53	
Without money peyment	3 4 2 2	61 31 30 14	1	8 35? 126 231 103 55	1 1 1			16 2 14 60 53	
Without money psyment	3 4 2 2	61 31 30 14 12	1 1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22 26	1 1 1			16 2 14 60 53	
Without money peyment	3 4 2 2 2	61 31 30 14 12	1 1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22	1 1			16 2 14 60 53 7	
Without money psyment	3 4 2 2 2	61 31 30 14 12 2	1 1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22 26	1 1 1 1			16 2 14 60 53 7	
Without money peyment. Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local joils, workhouses, etc With money peyment also Without money peyment. Fines, costs, or other money peyment only- Fine with or without other peyment Costs only Restitution or support order Probation or support order With money peyment also	3 4 2 2 2	61 31 30 14 12 2 66 26 40	1 1 1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22 26 223 42 181	1 1 1			16 2 14 60 53 7 	
Without money psyment. Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jeils, workhouses, etc With money payment also Without money payment. Fines, costs, or other money psyment only- Fines, costs, or other money psyment Costs only Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with supervision With money psyment elso With money peyment. Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	3 4 2 2 1 1	61 31 30 14 12 2 66 26 40	1 1 1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22 26 223 42 181	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			16 2 14 60 53 7	
Without money payment— Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local joils, workhouses, etc.———— With money payment also————— Fines, costs, or other money payment only— Fine with or without other payment————————————————————————————————————	3 	61 31 30 14 12 2 66 26 40	1 1 1	8 35? 126 231 103 55 22 26 223 42 181	1 1 1			16 2 14 60 53 7 	

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

NONSUPPORT OR NEGLECT

	NONSUPP	ORT O)R	NEGLECT	:					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	24 Sta		I	Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	4,	581		12	11:	5 15	10	9	5	37
State prisons and reformatories (for			r							
With money payment also		144		2						8
Without money payment		101		2		- 2 L 6				2
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		1	İ				1			6
Local jails, workhouses, etc		167			2		1		1	4
With money payment also		55			-	-	ı			3
Without money payment		112			23				1	1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		757	1		10	5	1		3	8
Fine with or without other psyment	1	64	1						1	
Costs only		593								1
Restitution or support order	2,	100			10	5	1		2	7
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	,	437	П	9	77	, 2	6	9	1	7
With money payment also	1 1	172	1	3	32	. ~	4	7	1	3
Without money payment	-,	265		6	45		2	9		4
Probation or suspended sentence without			1				~			*
supervision		69		1	3	3	1			10
Death penalty										
Other		6								
								l	1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	h.	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentanced	66	ç	92	63	5		25	7	357	3
Stats prisons and reformatories (for										
adults)	19	1	13	18			2		11	1
With money peyment also										
Without money payment		1	13	18			2		11	1
Institutions for juvenile delinquenta only										
Local jails, workhouses, etc	5		2	: 7	3		3		18	
With money payment also	5								9	
Without money payment			2		3		3		9	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	11		2		1		6	1	175	
Fina with or without other payment Costs only				2					1	
Restitution or support order			2	2	1		6	1	174	
Probation or suspended sentence with	**		~	~	-					
supervision	29	7	71	30	1		12	1	142	2
With money payment also	29		41	1 -	1		10	1	118	
Without money payment		3	30	21			2		24	2
Probation or suspended sentence without					}					
supervision	2		4				2	5	10	
Death penalty									1	
Other									1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	lo	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	14	29	90	13	3,077	11	18	13	323	1
State prisons and reformatories (for										
adults)	4	3	59		2	2	1		13	
With money payment also		1	9		1					
Without money payment	4	~	09	1	1	2	1		13	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only										
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1	_	5		41			6	34	
With money payment also	1		8		18 23			3	30	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	9	1	i		2,450	2	13	3	19	
Fine with or without other payment) -	1		58		1			
Costs only			2		590					
Restitution or support order	2		88			2	12	3	19	
Probation or suspended sentence with					-					
supervision	6	19			569	7	4	4	249	1
With money payment also	5	16			562	4	2	4	174	
Without money payment	1	2	27	4	7	3	2		75	1
Probation or suspended sentence without	1			3	15				4	
supervision	1		4	3	15					
Other			1						4	
O MIO I			-							

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

VIOLATING LIQUOR LAWS

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 St	ates	Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	6	,154	11]	. 8	32	70	26	491
State prisons and reformatories (for		\exists							
adults)		286	4				9	3	17
With money payment also Without money payment		129	4				1 8	3	6
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		22							
Local jails, workhoussa, etc		,128	2		- 5	12	23	13	226
With money payment also With money payment	1 1	783	2		4	7 5	5 18	7 6	148
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	2,	384	5	1		17	16	6	139
Fine with or without other payment	2,	041	5	1	. 2	17	16	6	135
Restitution or support order	1	260 83							4
Probation or suspended sentence with		00							
supervision	1,	083			1	1	22	4	83
With money payment also Without money payment		635 448			1	1	20	4	49 34
Probation or suspended sentence without		440					20	4	34
supervision		227				2			25
Death penaltyOther		24							
Other Fermion and State of the		24							1
				<u> </u>			l		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mer.
Total defendants sentenced	406	39	06 28	113	2	120	9	434	72
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	58		3 2	3		3		1	15
With money payment also	58		0	3		1 2		1	15
Institutions for juvenile delinquenta only									
Local jails, workhouses, stc	240		8 10		1	43		106	8
With money payment also	240	-	5 3	16	1	27 16		31 75	3 5
Without money paymentFines, costs, or other money payment only-	23		8 12	46		45	5	181	9
Fine with or without other payment	18		9 12	44		44	1	181	7
Costs only	5		8	2		1	4		2
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with			1						
supervision	79	14	4 3	29		25	2	120	12
With money payment also	76	7		23		10	2	89 31	6
Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without	3	7	3 2	6		15		31	6
supervision	5	1	0 1	4	1	4	2	26	28
Death penalty									
Othsr	1		3						
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	o Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total dafendants sentenced	174	61	7 124	2,332	94	5	24	563	2
Stats prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	23 12		5 1	31	2	2	5 1	7	
Without money payment	11		î 1		2	2	4	4	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	1			19			2		
Local jails, workhouses, stc	119	13		906 534	55 41	1	3	69 23	
Without money payment also	12		9 26	372	14	1	2	46	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	10		0.	902	16		6	425 418	2
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	7	40		610	13			418	
Restitution or support order				81	1				
Probation or auspended sentence with				425	10		3	26	
With money payment also	16 12	5		205	17 13			18	
Without money payment	4			212	4		3	8	
Probation or suspended sentence without								29	
Supervision	5	2		52	2				
Other			- 1	5		2	5	6	
				L					

TABLE 48. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934 — Continued DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

DA	TATMO N	HILL	INTOXICA	TED					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	23 Sta		Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Ideho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	5,	939	56	1,599	3	31		4	369
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)		105	1	23	3				
With money payment also		48	1	2					11
Without money payment		57	1	2					8
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		38	1	1					2
Local jails, workhouses, etc		365	33	406		13		3	154
With money payment also	1,	313		39	3	7		1	72
Without money payment		052	33	367		6		2	82
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	1,	471	7	250		17		1	111
Fine with or without other payment	1,	275	7	247		17		1	110
Restitution or support order		133		3					1
Probation or suspended sentence with	1	00		1	,				
supervision	1.	797	13	860	2	1			72
With money payment also		963	3	516		1			43
Without money payment		834	10	344	2				34
Probation or suspended sentence without							1		}
supervision		157	1	56	1				13
Deeth penelty									
Other		6		3					1
			<u></u>						
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	41	14	0 178	159		147	32	51	42
State prisons and reformatories (for								ì	
adults)	4		8	18		1			3
With money payment also	4		1	2		ī			2
Without money payment			7	16					1
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			_	1					
Local jails, workhouses, etc	22	5		49		15	3	17	17
With money payment also	22		8 36	21		6	2	3	5
Without money peyment	8	4		28		9	1 25	14	12
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	8	6 5		57 55		5	22	34	1
Costs only			3 1	2		li	3	1	3
Restitution or support order						1			
Probation or suspended sentence with									
eupervision	. 7	2		28		117	1		12
With money payment elso	7	1		24		35	1		11
Without money payment			6 31	4		82			1
Probation or suepended sentence without supervision			- 8	6		9	3		6
Death penelty									0
Other									
						L		L	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	o Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	32	7	3 25	2,384	76	1	51	442	3
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)			- 1	33	1		1		
With money payment also			_	31			1		
Without money payment				33	1				
Local jeils, workhouses, etc	22	3		1,283	29	1	30	45	1
With money payment also	19	2		972	9	1	24	22	
Without money peyment	3	-	B 2	311	20		6	23	1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	2	2		440	17		10	385	
Fine with or without other payment	2	2		264	17		10	384	
Costs only			-	117				1	
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with				59					
supervision	7	.	7 4	547	23		10	12	2
With money payment also	3		6 3	248	20		4	8	
Without money payment	4		1 1	299	3		6	4	2
Probetion or suspended sentence without									
supervision	1			46	6				
Death penalty			-						
Other				2					

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued ROAD AND DRIVING LAWS

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	23 Sta report		Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowe
Total defendants sentenced	2,	973	6	28	2	60		2	10
State prisons and reformatories (for						2			
adults)		17		1		2			
With money payment elso Without money payment		10		1		2			
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		5							
Locel jails, workhouses, etc		281		10		19		1	5
With money payment also		154		1		9			4
Without money payment		127		9		10		1	1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	2,	343	3	3		38		1	4
Fine with or without other payment		125	2	3		38		1	4
Costs only		198	1						
Restitution or support order		50							
Probation or suspended sentence with		177	3	12		1			Ì
With money payment also		149	1	1 6					
Without money payment		28	2	1 8		1			
Probation or suspended sentence without						-	1		
supervision		113		2					1
Death penelty									
Other		37							
							1		
					1		1	1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	3	5	2 25	116	1	30	15	3	3
State prisons and reformatories (for									
sdults)			1	-			4		
With money payment also			-						
Without money payment			1				4		
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	2	**	5 11	23		1	3		
Local jails, workhouses, etc	2		5 11		1	10	1		
With money payment also Without money payment			1 11		1	8	2	1	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	1		8 1 11			111	7	3	3
Fine with or without other payment	ī	1				10	6	3	3
Costs only		2	1 1	. 6		1	1		
Restitution or support order			-						
Probetion or suspended sentence with								1	
supervision			6 1			7			
With money payment also			4 1	. 54		3			
Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without			2			4			
supervision			2 8	,		1	1		
Deeth penalty			-						
Other			-						
					1		L	L	L
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N. Dak.	Ohi	o Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	1	4	0 10	554	8	1	14	1,989	
State prisons and reformatories (for			1						
With money payment also				8 7					
Without money payment also			1						
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		1	_	3					
Local jeils, workhouses, stc	1		7	152	1	1	5	24	
With money payment also	1		6	98	1		1	5	
Without money payment			1	54		1	4	19	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		2			3		8	1,882	
Fins with or without other payment		2	2 8	150	3		8	1,802	
Restitution or support order		l		16				4	
Probation or suspended sentence with				10				*	
supervision			8 2	75	4		1	3	
With money payment elso			4 1		4			1	
Without money payment			4 1				1	2	
Probation or suspended sentence without									
supervision			-	59				45	
Death penalty				2				35	
Other			-	2				33	

PARKING VIOLATIONS

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	6 States reporting	Mo.	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Wesh.	Wis.
Total defendants sentenced	1,697	3	1	21	1	2	1,669
State prisons and reformatories (for edults)	7 1,686 1,678 8	2 2 1 1	1 1	2 1 16 9 7	1	2	1 1,667 1,666 1
Other							

OTHER MOTOR-VEHICLE LAWS

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	23 Sta		Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	1,	777	8	120	3	41		2	28
Stata prisons and reformatories (for adults)		54	1	4	1				9
With money payment elso		6	7	4		4			1
Without money payment		48	1	4	1	4			8
Institutions for juvenila delinquants only		4		1	1				
With money payment also		278	1	29 5		9 5		1	8 5
Without money payment also		171	1	24		4		1	3
Finas, costs, or other money payment only-		899	1	6		16		1	4
Fine with or without other payment	1	644	1	6	2	16		1	4
Costs only	1	244							
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentance with	1	11							
supervision		453	3	73		7			6
With money payment also	-	171		42		5			2
Without money payment	1	282	3	31		2			4
Probation or suspended sentence without						_	1		
Death penalty	1	83	2	7		5			1
Other]	6							
		٠IJ							
						J	<u> </u>		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	4	17:	3 24	33	3	12	3	5	3
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)		,	4 2	2	1	1			1
With money payment also									
Without money payment		4	4 2	2	1	1			1
Institutions for juvenila delinquents only									
Local jails, workhouses, atc	3	10	1 -	10	1	4		1	
Without money payment		16		7	1			1	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	1	113		10	ī	1	3	1	1
Fine with or without other payment	1	36		9	1		2	1	1
Costs only		79	1	1		1	1		
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with			-						
supervision		32	6 5	11		6		3	
With money payment also		14		9		3		1	
Without money payment		18	B. 5	2		3		2	
Probation or suspended sentence without		,	5 2						,
Death penalty			5 2						1
Other									
								1	
SENTENCE OP TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	4	24	1 7	654	. 11	3	6	606	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)		3	3	6	2		2	11	
With money payment also		1	-	4					
Without money payment		2	·	2	2		2	11	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc.	2	2		134		3		46	
With money payment also	1	2		64		2		10	
Without money payment	1		1	70		ī		36	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		10		244	9		1	459	
Fine with or without other payment		7		136	6		1	401	
Restitution or support order		1 2		98	3			58	
Probetion or suspended sentence with		1		1					
supervision	1	9	1	256			2	38	
With money payment elso	1	3		62				28	
Probation or everydad contants without		6		194			2	10	
Probation or suspended sentence without • supervision	1			10				48	
Death penalty									
Other				1			1	4	
		1							

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

DICODDEDIV	COMMITTED AND	DRUNKENNESS

SINTENCE OF TREATMENT											
State prisons and reformatories (for ability)	SENTENCE OR TREATMENT				Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
### Authors of the parameter of the para	Total defendants sentenced	3,548			3	4	1	57		22	8
With momey payment also			05					,			
Mithout soney payment = 1				1			1		1	1	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only 6				-				1			
#ith money payment elso	Institutions for juvenile delinquants only	-		-							
## State prisons and reformatories (for subribout soney payment elso	Local jails, workhouses, etc	1,			- 1				1		3
Fine, costs, or other money payment only	With money payment also			-	- 1				1		
Fine with or without other psyment	Fines costs or other money payment only-	1									
193									-		
Probation or suspended sentence with supervisions 367	Costs only		193	-				1			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT			19								
With money payment = 130			7.00		,		1 ,				
## Without money peyment =	With money payment elec-				-		_				2
Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision	Without money payment also				1					1	2
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Probetion or suspended sentence without						-	-			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT Kans. Mich. Minn. Mo. Mont. Nebr. N.H. N.J. N.Mex.	supervision			-		1		12			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT Kans. Mich. Minn. Mo. Mont. Nebr. N.H. N.J. N.Mex.	Daath penalty			-							
Total defendents centenced	Utner		60								
Total defendents centenced		<u> </u>		<u></u>							
Total defendents centenced								I			
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	1.	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
with money payment elso 2 56 1 — 8 — With out money payment elso 2 1 — <t< td=""><td>Total defendents sentenced</td><td>25</td><td>33</td><td>52</td><td>23</td><td>164</td><td></td><td>48</td><td>3</td><td>17</td><td>8</td></t<>	Total defendents sentenced	25	33	52	23	164		48	3	17	8
with money payment elso 2 56 1 — 8 — With out money payment elso 2 1 — <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>											
With money payment elso 2 1											
Without money payment						1				8	
Institutions for juvanile delinquents only	With money payment also	2		- 1							
Local jsils, workhouses, etc. 9 70 6 46 28 1				- 1		_					
With money payment 9 6 25 7	Local jails, workhouses, etc	9	7	70	6	46		26		1	
Without money payment	With money payment also	9		6		25		7			
Fine with or without other payment 9 51 11 79 8 7	Without money payment										
Costs only				- 1					_		
Restitution or suspended sentence with supervision											
Probetion or suspended sentence with supervision											
With money payment also 4 27 15 4 22 1 Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision 15 3 6 1 2	Probation or suspended sentence with										
### Without money payment					3				1		1
Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision	With money payment also	4						-			1
SENTENCE OF TREATMENT N.Dak. Ohio Oreg. Fe. S.Dak. Utah Wash. Wie. Wyo.		-	0	,0	J	1 4		ı o			1
SENTENCE OF TREATMENT N.Dak. Ohio Oreg. Fa. S.Dak. Utah Wash. Wie. Wyo.	supervision		1	.3	3	6		1	2		
SENTENCE OF TREATMENT N.Dak. Ohio Oreg. Fa. S.Dak. Utah Wash. Wis. Wyo.	Death penalty			- 1							
Total defendents sentenced	Other			2							
Total defendents sentenced			_	_							
State prisons and reformatories (for adults	SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	.0	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wie.	Myo.
Sequence Sequence	Total defandants sentenced	24	8	88	1	332	36	7	7	2,336	2
Sequence Sequence	State prisons and reformatories (for										
Without money payment 3 4 6 Institutions for juvenile dalinquents only 1	adults			4		6				6	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	With money payment also			- 1							
Local jaile, workhouses, etc. 22 15 103 16 5 712			1	- 1						6	
With money payment also			1					5		712	
Without money payment 17 3 83 12 585 585 585 18 13 1 4 1,160 1,160 1,12 14 13 1 4 1,112 45 3 45 3 45 3 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 1 1 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 <	With money payment also		1 -	-							
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment Costs only	Without monay payment	17		3		83	12			585	
Costs only	Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	_						1			
Restitution or support order											
Probetion or suspended sentence with 1 0 1 92 6 3 103 1				-							
Supervision						10			,	3	
## Without money payment		1	1	0	1	92	6		3	103	1
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision				- 1	_						1
supervision		1		2		49			2	80	
Death penalty				5		12	1	1		297	1
	Death penalty		į.					1			
Other 58	Other			-						58	

VAGRANCY

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	13 States reporting	Calif.	Conn.	Iowa	Mich.	Mo.	Nebr	N.J.	
Total defendants sentenced	3,117	1	2	ε	9	85	13	1	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)	22								
With money payment also									
Without money peyment									
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, stc		1	2	4		33	15		
With money payment also		1	1	4		33	1 2		
Without money payment		1	1		1		10		
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-						12	1		
Fine with or without other payment						12	1		
Costs only									
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with									
supervision	126			1		40			
With money payment also	46			1		38			
Without money payment	80					2			
Probation or suspended sentence without									
supervision				3					
Other									
						1			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Mex.	N.Dak	. 0	reg.	Pa.	Was	h.	Wis.	
Total defendants sentenced	5		2	2	7		3	2,975	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)					1			21	
With money payment also									
Without money payment					1			21	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc			2	1	4		3	1,116	
With money payment also			-		4		1 -	1,110	
Without money payment			2	1 .			2	1,116	
fines, costs, or other money payment only-	5		1		1			135	
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	5							134	
Restitution or support order					1			1	
Probation or suspended sentence with									
supervision				1	1			83	
With money payment also								7	
Without money payment				1	1			76	
supervision								1,608	
Death penalty								1,000	
								12	

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

GAMBLING

	(2)	-tiviDiri	TACE						
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	23 Stareport		Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	2,	507	6	7	11	26	9		58
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults)		9			-		1		
With money payment also		1 8			1		1		
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		4							
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1,	111			. 6	9			7
With money payment also		206			-	7			6
Without money payment		905	6	1		2 14	5		1 42
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		568	6	1		14	5		42
Costs only		78			1				
Restitution or support order		19							
Probation or suspended sentence with							_		
supervision		649 253		5		1	3		9
Without money payment arso		396		i		1	3		
Probation or suspended sentence without				-		-	-		
		69				2			
Supervision									
Other									
חורש מון מסוח מא שאורווווווו	Vana	Mich	Minn,	Mo.	None	Nebr.	N U	N T	N.Mex.
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.				Mont.		N.H.	N.J.	
Total defendants santenced	15	2	3 38	33	32	2	4	366	34
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)			2					1	
With money payment also			- 1						
Without money payment			2					1	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only									
Locel jails, workhouses, stc	4		8 9		8			96 49	1
Without money payment			8 8	- 1	7			47	1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	10		8 2		22	2	4	106	24
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	10		6 27		22	1	1	100	21
Restitution or support order			2	- 1		1	3	6	3
Probation or suspended sentence with			-					1	
supervision	1		4 2	5 5				154	2
With money payment also	1		2	-				147	
Without money payment			2 2	2				7	2
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision			1		2			9	7
Death penalty			-						
Other									
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	o Oreg	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	12	4	4 2	1,689	1	1	5	89	
							-		
State prisons and reformatories (for									
With money payment also			1	1					
Without money payment				-					
Institutions for juvenila delinquents only				- 4					
Local jails, workhouses, etc	1		3				3	1	
With money payment also	ı		3				1 2	1	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	11		33 2		1		2	80	
Fine with or without other payment	11		1 2		1		2	80	
Costs only			2						
Restitution or support order				- 19					
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision			7	451		1		1	
With money payment also		1	7						
Without money payment				374		1		1	
Probation or suspended sentence without									
Supervision			1	1				7	
Other				1					
		1		1		1	1	1	1

TABLE 48.—DEFENDANTS FOUND CULTY AND SENTENCED BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

ALL OTHER OFFENSES

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Sta	tes	Ariz.	Calif	. Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendents sentenced	8,	189	48	42	4 96	135	51	25	171
State prisons end reformatories (for edults)	1, 1, 3,	948 264 684 165 693 632 061 051	14 1 13 6 2 4 10 4	9' 9' 12' 12' 11' 3'	11 11 32 32 55 11 5 1 10 30 30 30 30	27 5 22 6 49 11 38 21 21	30 30 3 3 3	5 5 3 1 2 10 10	65 45 20 5 37 13 24 30 23
Costs only	1,	594 497 515 751 764 516 3	6 11 1 10 7	133 34 99	1 12 4 9 8	14 11 3	16	7 1 6	28 7 21 6
		Щ						<u> </u>	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	. Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	114	62	3 469	229	42	140	13	539	46
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	26 26	104 103 124 33 93 271 165 56 54 111 73 38	1 30 4 64 2 13 2 51 1 85 7 27 7 27 1 4 44 1 59 11 3 48	13 55	10 10 10 6 2 4 4 4 4 11 11 1 1	23 5 18 9 25 14 11 55 47 1 7 22 9 13	4	109 35574 14966 4056 50051 188 216137 79941 12	55 11 44 22 9 44 55 99
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT Total defendants sentenced	N.Dak.	Ohio	-	Pa.	S.Dak.	Utah 20	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo. 37
State prisons and reformatories (for edults)	3 1 19 5 14 37 16 6 15 5 4 1	62 36 26 57 37 20 50 47 3 3 5 40	117 5 177 122 129 128 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	88 55 33 55 648 344 304 1,262 573 414 275 529 291 238	8 8 5 33 14 19 36 30 1 5 5 18 8 10 9	3 1 2 3 3 8 5 5 3 3	300 14 16 6 6 6 23 38 37 1 1 14 5 9	52 4 48 15 255 51 204 887 727 86 74 133 75 58	7 1 6 3 9 4 5 5 5 5 5
Other	8	2		28		1	1	37	1
The state of the s		-							

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY
TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY
STATES: 1934

(Selected States. Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

(Selected Ste	tes. I	Perce	nt :	not sh	own whe	re less	than O.	1)		
	T				AI	L OFFENS	SES			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	25 Sta	ates	1	Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D.C.	Idaho	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	10	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
			F							-
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	. 2	22.0		48.7	27.1	69.4	26.7	61.1	42.4	30.5
With money payment elso		5.4		0.3	0.2	14.0	5.0	0.1	0.5	7.8
Without money peyment	rl	1.0		48.4	27.0	55.4	21.8	61.1	42.0	22.7
Local jeils, workhouses, etc	2	9.6		11.6	28.5	9.4	34.9	9.4	27.3	31.2
Without money payment	1	5.9		10.9	26.6		11.4	0.7	8.3	16.7
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	. 2	3.3		6.2	5.0 4.8	3.7	16.2	2.1	9.9	15.8
Costs only	-	3.8		0.2		0.1	0.1			0.7
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with		3.8		1.1	0.2	0.4	0.1		0.9	0.4
supervision	2	2.3		24.2	33.8		13.7	26.9	18.1	17.5
With money payment also	1	0.7		2.8	14.6		7.3	26.8	0.5	6.8
Probation or suspended sentence without										
supervision		5.3		9.0	2.5		7.7		0.9	4.0
Other		0.6	-	0.2	0.1				0.2	0.2
	L				UTT OFF	ENSES—C				
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Kans.	Mich	,	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J.	N.Mex.
Total defendants sentenced		100.	-	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
TOTAL defendants sentenced	100.0	100.	.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons end reformatories (for										
With money payment also	55.7 55.7	35. 0.		37.2	45.6	61.0	35.1 13.3	22.4	24.4	47.2 12.3
Without money payment		34.	.4	36.7	42.6	58.0	21.8	22.2	19.4	35.0
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	22.2	13.		0.1	2.2	4.6	20.3	17.2	1.6	2.5 8.2
Local jails, workhouses, etc	22.2	2.		3.3	6.4	2.2	9.2	1.6	5.3	3.3
Without money payment		11.			16.3	8.5	11.2	15.6	14.2	4.9
Fines, costs, or other monsy payment only- Fine with or without other payment	5.6 4.3	17.		8.7 5.3	13.7	7.7	12.6	18.7	10.9	11.7 9.2
Costs only	0.7	5.		1.2	1.0		0.9	6.9	7.8	2.5
Restitution or support order	0.7	1.		2.1	0.1		1.2	0.5	2.9	
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	14.8	31.		22.0	14.4	12.7	27.4	15.0	37.0	13.7
With money payment elso	13.3	16.		3.1	10.2	0.2	11.9	2.9	24.9	4.5
Without money payment	1.6	15.		18.9	4.2	12.5	15.5	12.1	12.1	9.2
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	1.6	1.		2.5	1.1	3.0	2.5	25.3	6.4	1
Death penalty	1.0		-	2.5	0.1	0.2	2.5	25.3	0.1	14.9
Other	0.1	0.	,2	8.4	0.2		0.1	1.3		0.9
					IT OFF	ENSES-C	on+inuo	A		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.Dak.	Ohi	10	Oreg.	Pe.	S.Dak.	Uteh	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced		-	-		-		0 0 021			
Total desendants sentenced	100.0	100.	.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for										
With money payment also	30.7			34.7	7.1	34.8	58.0	56.9 13.2	5.8	53.4 5.3
Without money payment	28.4	18.		31.6	2.9	31.9	56.6	43.7	5.7	48.1
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only				1.0	1.1	1.1	0.6	2.2	0.2	8.3
Local jails, workhouses, etc	40.5			20.8		25.0	15.2	18.2	18.2	12.4
Without money payment	17.8			7.2 13.6	17.8	11.7	2.9	6.1	2.0	4.9 7.5
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	10.0	12.	2	11.6	29.3	15.3	7.6	6.7	49.3	4.1
Fine with or without other payment Costa only				11.3	10.6	12.9	2.9	6.2	45.7	3.8
Restitution or support order	1.4			0.2	9.8	1.1	4.7	0.1	2.8	0.4
Probation or suspended sentence with		1								
supervision	9.5			21.9	22.4	19.3	10.8	13.1	10.7	13.5
Without money payment	5.1 4.4			6.0 15.9	12.2	10.8	2.3 8.5	3.2 9.9	5.8	1.9
Probation or suspended sentence without								1		
supervision	4.0	1.		9.7	3.0	4.5	6.1	1.5	14.6	7.1
Other	1.0			0.2				1.3	1.1	0.8

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY
TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY
STATES: 1934—Continued

(See n	ote et h	nead of	this	tabl	e)						
	M	JRDER		MAN	SLAU	GHTER			ROE	BERY	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Ohio	Pe		Cali	f.	Pa.	Cal	lif.	Co	nn.	D.C.
Total defendants eentenced	100.0	10	0.0	100	0.0	100.0	10	00.0	1	0.00	100.0
State prisone and reformatories (for											
adults)	73.9 38.5		5.6		.7	20.6		73.2		70.3	75.3
Without money payment	35.4	1 4	2.0		.9	10.6	5 1	73.0		52.3	75.3
Local jails, workhouses, etc	5.0) 1	9.1		.6	40.7	7	6.1		22.5	9.1
With money payment also	3,1	1	9.2	15	.5	19.0		6.1		5.4	9.1
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment				1	.7	18.5	L				1.2
Restitution or support order						7.4					
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision		3	0.8		.3	15.3		13.6		6.3	14.4
With money payment also Without money payment			0.8		.3	8.5 6.9		3.1		2.7	14.4
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision				0	.8	1.6	3	0.4		0.9	
Death penaltyOther	9,3	3				0.5	-				
	l								_		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT		1 200.0	1			YCon			.		177
	Kans.	Mich	-	lnn.			ī.J.	Ohi	-	Pe.	Wis.
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.	0 10	0.00	10	0.0	100.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	93.1	83.	8 9	92.4	٤	9.1	65.3	70	.2	18.3	82.2
With money payment elso	93.1		-	1.5		1.9	16.2	31	.5	9.3	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		83.		0.8		1.9	2.0	38		9.8	3
Local jails, workhouses, etc With money payment also	3.8	2.		1.5		4.3	10.0		.0	64.0	
Without money payment		2.		1.5		3.5	9.0	2	.2	57.3	7.0
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		0.					0.7		.3	1.5	5
Restitution or support order		0.			1		0.2		L.	0.3	
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	3.1	n.	3	5.3		4.7	18.7	22	. 8	13.9	10.9
With money payment also	2.3	6.	3			2.3	9.7	11	.7	0.8	7.0
Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without	0.8	4.	9	5.3		2.3	9.0	11	.1	13.1	3,9
supervision Death penalty		1.					3.0	0	.7	0.8	3
Other			1			- 1				0.	
			AGGRA	AVATE	D AS	SAULT				OTHE	R ASSAULT
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Calif.	D.C.	Mo.	N	.J.	Ohio	Pa.	W	is.	Cali	Mich.
Total defendants santenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	0 10	0.0	100.	0 100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for										-	
adults)	26.3	62.5	47.4		7.7	32.7	3.7		6.1	2,	
Without money payment also	26.3	62.5	3.7 43.7		6.9	18.5	2.3		2.1	2,	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc.		13.3	3.0		0.7	29.4	0.8 46.5		0.7	75.	
With money peyment also	3.6		5.2	2	5.9	23.9	15.5	5	2.1	1.	1 1.3
Without money payment Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	58.6 5.1	13.3	14.1		6.2 4.7	5.6 2.8	31.0		9.0	71. 8.	0 28.7 3 18.7
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	4.5	1.7	17.0		4.5	2.8	13.3		9.0	8.	
Restitution or support order	0.6				0.2		3.2				
Probation or suspended sentence with supervision	3.6	22.5	11.9		9.3	32.5	24.4		0.1	10.	
With money payment also Without money payment	0.9	22.5	6.7 5.2		3.2	15.0 17.5	5.8 18.5		0.4 9.7	2. 8.	
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	0.9		0.7		5.4	2,3	2.3		3,5	3.	6 3.3
Desth penalty	0.6					0.3	0,1				
						0.0	0,1				

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

(See n	ote et	hea	ad of	this	table)					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT		OI	THER	ASSAUL	T—Con	inued		F	URGLARY	
	Mo.		I.	.J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.	Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.
Total defendants sentenced	100	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for				20.4						
With monsy payment also		3.3		10.6	4.1	3.8	3.8	69.7	33.9	76.0
Without money payment	3	3.3		10.6	2.6	1.0	3.8	69.7	33.6	58.9
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jeile, workhouses, etc	1	3.4		0.8	40.8	30.6	22.8	0.8	5.9	0.2 4.5
With money payment also	14	1.4		6.9	29.6	26.7	5.1		0.8	0.7
Without money payment	34	1.0		25.5	11.2	3.9	17.8	0.8	23.5	3.7
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment		9.9		6.1	24.5	40.9	56.3 53.6			0.5
Costs only	C	0.7	1		2.0	15.2	2.8			
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with					0.5	5.1				
supervision	5	5.2		40.2	23.0	19.9	6.1	21.3	34.4	18.8
With money payment also	2	2.6		21.3	14.3	15.2	1.3	0.8	10.1	5.0
Without money peyment Probation or suspended sentence without	2	2.6		18.9	8.7	4.7	4.8	20.5	24.3	13.9
aupervision	2	0.0		9.8	6.6	3.4	8.1	8.2	1.5	
Death penaltyOther										
Other	1	.3			1.0	0.1	2.6			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	BURGLARY—Continued							l	,	1
	Conn.	\vdash	D.C.	Iowa	Kans.	Mich.	Minn.	Mo.	Mont.	Nebr.
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for										
edults)	41.3	1 .	9.5	54.0	81.4	50.8	46.5	76.9	75.5	56.2
With money payment also	7.8		9.5	11.7	81.4	0.7 50.1	0.3	70.9	1.0 74.5	20.6
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			0.7	2.0			0.3	2.9	3.9	1.9
Local jails, workhouses, etc	21.9		6.0	23.5	4.7	6.0	16.6	5.7	2.0	13.1
With money peyment elso	8.2		6.0	8.1	4.7	1.2	16.6	1.8	1.0	4.5 8.6
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	0.4			1.0		0.7	1.0	0.6		1.1
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	0.4			0.3		0.2	1.0	0.6		1.1
Restitution or support order						0.2				
Probstion or suspended sentence with				3.00			77.0	3.0.0	35.5	25.0
Supervision	29.0		3.8	17.8	12.9	41.7	33.2	12.9	15.7	25.8 12.7
Without money payment	16.0		3.8	11.1	1.3	23.0	32.2	3.8	15.7	13.1
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	7.4			1 2	0.9	0.8	2.0	0.7	0.0	
Death penalty				1.3					2.9	1.1
Other				0.3			0.3	0.3		0.7
					BURGI	ARY—Co	ntinued			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	N.H.		N	.J.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Wash.	Wis.
Total defendants sentenced	100	0.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for										
edults)		.7		36.2	50.9	56.1	22.7	62.3	80.9	34.2
With money peyment also		.7		8.2	27.2	3.3 52.8	12.5	4.7 57.5	13.4	34.2
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only				3.2	0.1	1.6	1.9	0.9	3.7	1.8
Local jails, workhouses, etc.		.5		15.7	9.0		41.1	8.5	1.3	15.0
With money payment also		. 9		3.6	7.4		21.1	0.9 7.5	0.7	0.3
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	0	.9		1.0	0.8	0.8	8,4	2.8		0.4
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		9		0.9	0.6	0.8	2.7			0.3
Restitution or support order				0.1			2.1	2,8		0.1
Probation or suspended sentence with										
with money payment also		.8		37.8 23.9	37.7 18.1	26.8 4.9	20.4	17.0	11.1	46.5 24.6
Without money payment		.0		13.9	19.6	22.0	10.4	12.3	9.4	21.9
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		.3		6.2	1.4	14.6	5.3	8.5	2.3	1.8
Death penaltyOther		.7			0.1		0.2		0.7	0.3

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY
TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY
STATES: 1934—Continued

(See 1	note at	head	of this	table)					
			I	ARCENY,	EXCEPT A	UTO THE	EFT		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Cal	if.	Colo.	D.C.	Iowa	Kans.	Mich.	Minn.	Mo.
Total defendants sentenced	1	00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults) With money payment also	-	40.4	68.1 11.5	58.7	39.4	68.3 68.3	40.7	48.3	44.8
Without money payment	d	40.2 2.3	56.7	58.7	30.4		40.0	47.9	41.4
Local jails, workhouses, etc		23.2	11.1 2.5	7.6	36.6 15.5	15.5 15.5	12.8	25.6	34.1 4.0
Without money paymentFines, costs, or other money payment only-		20.3	8.7 0.3	7.6	21.1	2.9	12.4	23.1	30.0 5.3
Fine with or without other payment Costs only			0.3		1.1	1.7	1.4 3.0	1.8	5.0
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with							0.5	0.5	
supervision With money payment also		32.0 15.7	20.1	33.7	18.0	10.8	40.3	20.6	12.5
Without money payment		16.3	14.6	33.7	14.9	1.2	17.4	18.5	6.0
aupervision		1.9	0.3		4.2	2.2	1.4	1.8	0.6
Other						0.2			
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT			LARCEN	Y, EXCEP	T AUTO I	HEFT-C	Continue	d	
	Neb		N.J.	N.Mex.	N.Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	S.Dak.
Total defendants sentenced	10	0.00	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults;		43,3	21,8	55.4	38.2	23.5	46.1	12.8	56.9
With money payment also		18.1	2.5	14.9	1.9	13.6	5.8	8.0	5.0 51.9
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc.	1	2.9	2.6	6.3	7.6 38.2	26.6	1.0	1.1	19.4
With money payment also Witbout money payment		10.5	2.9	4.0	11.5	23.7	6.3	23.1	9.4
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		2.9	2.5	2.3	1.3	7.3	2.6	14.1	6.9 5.6
Fine with or without other payment Costs only Restitution or support order		2.5	0.1		1.0	0.3	0.5	6.7	1.3
Probation or suspended sentence with		22.2	46.1	16.0	10.2	40.4	23.0	28.1	13.8
With money payment also Without money payment	:	10.5	24.2	2.9	4.5	20.9	5.8	13.0	3.8
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		2.3	8.8	12.6	4.5	2.3	9.9	3.9	3.1
Death penelty				0.6				0.1	
0.467			1	0.0				0.1	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	LARCI Cont				AU	TO THEF	T		
	Wash.	Wis	. Calif.	D.C.	Kans.	Mich.	Minn.	Mo.	N.J.
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.	0 100.0	0 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	87.0	10.	7 23.4	47.8	83,1	45.3	45.0	61.8	33.7
With money payment also Without money payment	15.8	0.	5 0.2	2	83.1	0.9	47.2	4.8	6.9
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc.		10.2	2 9.8	3 1.9		44.4	47.2 7.8	57.0	1.0
With money payment also	6.3	3.9	9 5.7	7	4.2	6.0		1.8	7.6
Without money payment	23.5	33.5	7 8.8	3	0.8	6.0	7.8	18.2	9.4
Fine with or without other payment Costs only	0.6	30.6	2		0.8		1.7		
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with	12.0	0.9			11.0	40.3	41.2	10.7	43.1
With money payment also	2.0	10.4	1 14.1	L	11.9	48.3 22.0	41.7	6.1	43.1 32.3
Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	10.0	12.5				26.3	37.2	0.6	10.8
Death penalty	1.1	8.5				0.4	0.6	0.6	5.2
Other	1.1	0.6	5				1.1	0.8	

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY
TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY
STATES: 1934—Continued

(See I	ote et	head o	f thi	s t	able)					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	AUTO T	HEFT—	Contd	1.		EMBE2	ZLEMENT	AND FF	AUD	
CENTEROE ON TREMINERY	Ohio	Pa.	W1	s.	Calif.	Mo.	N.J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for										
edults)		16.1		8.8	33.5	36.4	13.7	43.2	1.8	6.5
With money payment also	23.3	9.6 6.5		3.8	0.3 33.2		1.3	21.4		0.4 6.2
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only		0.9	1	.3	0.3	1.8			2.2	
Local jails, workhouses, etc	6.1	41.1 13.3		0.8	29.2		20.4	10.0	45.3	12.3
Without money payment	1.4	27.8	14	.2	26.2	26.4	15.1	0.4	22.1	10.6
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	0.6	9.6 3.7	2	.5 .5	0.3		8.4 5.4	6.4	22.3	59.2
Costs only	0.2	3.8							6.9	27.7
Restitution or support order		2.1				0.9	3.0		6.8	2.3
supervision	49.4	27.0		.7	34.6	10.9	46.2	38.6	23.2	17.1
With money payment elso Without money payment	25.5 23.9	7.7 19.4	31	.3	18.1	8.2	36.5	17.5 21.1	7.0	11.0
Probation or suspended sentence without	20.3	13.4	1		10.0	1 2.1	3.1	21.1	10.2	0.2
supervision	0.4	5.0	5	.4	1.9		11.4	1.8	4.9	4.4
Other		0.3	0	1.4	0.3	0.9			0.2	0.4
	l	TOLEN :						ORGERY		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Calif.			10	Pa.	Celif.	Kans.	Minn.	Mo.	Ohto
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.	0 100	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
000000000000000000000000000000000000000		-	-	-	200,0	100.0	100.0	200.0	200,0	20010
State pricone and reformatories (for										
adulte)	17.1	13.	7 25 8 15	.7	8.2 6.5	37.2	66.9 66.9	63.2	72.8 5.0	48.0 23.0
Without money payment	17.1	9.	9 10	.2	1.6	37.2		63.2	67.8	25.0
Inetitutions for juvenile delinquents only	0.9			1.4	0.7	1.3	3.7	5.3	1.7	1.5
Local jails, workhouses, etc		12.		.6	15.4	1.5	3.7	0.8	0.6	1.5
Without money payment	27.9	12.		.9	15.7	18.9		4.5	12.2	~
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	0.9			.7	20.3		3.7	1.5	2.2	1.0
Fine with or without other payment Costs only					6.9			1.5	0.6	
Restitution or support order			-		5.2					
supervision				.0	36.3	39.7	23.5	27.8	8.9	48.5
With money payment alsoWith out money payment	18.0			.1	17.6 18.6	20.0	17.6	26.3	3,9 5.0	25.5 23.0
Probation or suspended sentence without	JC . 4	, ,,	20	. 3	10.0	13.7	3.9	20.0	5.0	23.0
supervision	2.7			.9	3.6	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.7	1.0
Other							0.7			
		1								
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT		RY-Co					RAPE			
	Pa.	-	le.	_	elif.	Mich.	N.J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wie.
Total defendants sentenced	100	.0 10	0.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for										
with money payment elec			1.9		29.6	68.2 0.8	29.5	59.0 32.5	19.2	34.8
Without money payment	2.	.0 2	2.25		29.6	67.4	28.6	26.5	6.5	33.2
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jeils, workhouses, etc	2. 42.	.0	0.6		4.9 33.6	5.4	7.6 19.0	27.4	2.0 47.8	0.5
With money payment elec	29.	.5	0.6			1.6	4.8	23.1	21.7	1.1
Without money payment	13.	.3	10.8		33.6	3.9	14.3	4.3	26.1	10.9
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	7.	.0	1.9			0.8	7.6 6.7		19.6	7.1 6.5
Costs only	5.	.3	0.6						6.7	0.5
Reetitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with	9,	.0	1.3			0.8	1.0		3.8	
eupervision	20.		0.1		30.4	24.8	27.6	12.8	9.2	45.1
With money payment also Without money payment	11.		10.1		7.7	21.7	19.0	7.7 5.1	3.1 6.0	38.0 7.1
Probation or suspended sentence without										
eupervision	4.	5	1.9		1.6	0.8	8.6	0.9	1,8	0.5
Other									0.4	

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY
TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY
STATES: 1934—Continued

(See r	ote at 1	nead o	of this to	able)					
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	PROSTITAND COM				OTHER SI	ex offe	nses		
	N.J.	Pa.	Calif	. Conn.	Mich.	Minn.	N.J.	Pe	. Wis.
Total defendents sentenced	100.0	100.	.0 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	100.	0 100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for									
adults) With money payment also		4.			41.8	59.1	33.		
Without money payment	31.0	3,	1 60.	8.6	41.8	59.1	25.	1.	8 17.1
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, etc	10.3	50,	8 0.5		15.7	11.3	17.		
With money payment also	1.4	26. 23.			1.3	1.7 9.6	13.		6 1.6
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	14.5	14.	.2 0.5	10.3	9.8	6.1	4.	46.	0 40.5
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		11.			5.9	0.9	4.5		
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with		1.	.9		3.9	5.2		- 19.	6 1.6
supervision	39.3	24.			29.4	19.1	34.		
With money payment also	24.1 15.2	5. 19.			9.8	5.2	12.		
Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision		5.	.4 1.6	16.4	2.6	4.3	8.1		
Death penalty				10.4				·	
Other		0.	4		0.7			-	- 2.0
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT			RUG LAWS		CARRYII	_			
	Calif		Pa.	Calif.	Mo.	N.J	• (Dhio	Pa.
Total defendants sentenced	100	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0	00.0	100.0
Stats prisons and reformatories (for									
With money payment also		.1	2.9 2.2	31.0	19.8		.2	26.5	4.0 2.4
Without money payment	17	.3	0.7	31.0	17.8	3 13	.6	18.4	1.6
Institutions for juvenils delinquents only Local jeils, workhouses, etc.	62	2.2	41.9	4.0 52.0				31.1	1.1 48.0
With money payment also Without money payment	62	.1	11.8 30.1	1.0 51.0			.6	15.8 15.3	16.9 31.0
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-	C	0.1	9.6	7.0	19.8	3 1	.0	7.1	13.8
Fine with or without other payment Costs only		0.1	5.1 2.2	7.0	18.8		•0	6.I 1.0	7.4 3.0
Restitution or support order Probation or suspended sentence with			2,2						. 3.5
supervision	14	.3	39.7	4.0	6.9			33.7	30.0
With money payment also Without money payment		.6	7.4 32.4	1.0 3.0	3.0			20.4	5.6 24.3
Probetion or suspended sentence without supervision		.7	2.9	2.0	2.0		.6	1.5	3.1
Death penalty		-							
Other			2.9						
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT		NONS	UPPORT OF	NEGLECT		AIOF	ATING	LIQUO	R LAWS
	Calif.	N.J	. Ohio	Pe.	Wis.	Iow	a B	ans.	Mich.
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.	0 100.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0 1	00.0	100.0
State prisons end reformatories (for		_	, ,,						07.5
With money payment elso	0.9	3.			4.0	1,	.2	14.3 14.3	23.5 2.5
Without money payment	0.9	3.			4.0				21.0
Local jails, workhouses, etc	20.9	5.	0 5.2	1.3	10.5	46.	,0	59.1	17.2
With money payment also	1.7	2.			9.3			59.1	3.8 13.4
Fines, costs, or other money payment only- Fine with or without other payment	8.7	49.	0 14.1	79.6	5.9		.3	5.7	19.7
Coets only			- 0.7	19.2		0.	8	1.2	4.5
Restitution or support order Probetion or suspended sentence with	8.7	48.	1	i	5.9				0.3
Supervision	67.0 27.8	39. 33.			77.1 53.9			19.5	36.4 17.9
Without money payment	39.1	6.			23.2			0.7	18.4
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	2.6	2.		0.5	1.2	5.	1	1.2	2.5
Death penaltyOther		0.	-		1.2		1	0.2	0.8
		0.	0.0	1		1			

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY
TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY
STATES: 1934 -Continued

(See n								
		7	IOLATING	LIQUOR	LAWSCo	ntinued		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Мо.	Nabr.	N.J.	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	W18.
Total defandants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	2.7	2.5 0.8 1.7	0.2	13.2 6.9 6.3	0.8 0.6 0.2	0.8	1.3	1.2 0.5 0.7
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, stc	27.4 14.2 13.3	35.8 22.5 13.3 37.5	24.4 7.1 17.3 41.7	0.6 68.4 61.5 6.9	21.7 17.0 4.7 64.8	42.7 21.8 21.0 29.8	0.8 38.9 22.9 16.0 38.7	12.3 4.1 8.2 75.7
Fins with or without other payment Costs only	38.9	36.7	41.7 27.6	4.0 1.7 	64.8	29.8	26.2 9.0 3.5	74.2 1.4
With money payment slso Without money payment Probation or suspended sentence without supervision	5.3	8.3 12.5	20.5 7.1 6.0	6.9 2.3	7.6 1.6	7.3 10.5	8.8 9.1 2.2	3.2 1.4 5.2
Deeth psnaltyOthsr						0.8	0.2	1.1
			1					
			PRO TUT	20 201111	TOWNSTA	A STIETS		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT			DRIVI	NG WHILE	INTOXIC	ATED		
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Calif.	Iowa	DRIVI	Minn.	INTOXIC	ATED	Pa.	Wis.
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT Total defendants sentanced		Iowa 100.0					Pa.	Wis.
Totel defendants sentenced State prisons and reformatories (for adulta)	100.0		Mich.	Minn.	Mo.	Nebr.		
Total defendants sentenced State prisons and reformatories (for adulte)	1.4 0.1 1.3 0.1 25.4 2.4	3.0 0.8 2.2 0.5 41.7 19.5	5.7 0.7 5.0 36.4 5.7	Minn. 100.0	11.3 1.3 10.1 0.6 30.8 13.2	0.7 0.7 0.7 	1.4 1.3 0.1 1.4 53.8 40.8	100.0
Totel defendants sentenced State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	1.4 0.1 1.3 0.1 25.4 2.4 23.0 15.6 15.4	3.0 0.8 2.2 0.5 41.7	Mich. 100.0 5.7 0.7 5.0 36.4	Minn. 100.0	100.0 11.3 1.3 10.1 0.6 30.8	0.7 0.7 0.7	1.4 1.3 0.1 1.4 53.8	100.0
Total defendants sentenced State prisons and reformatories (for adults)	1.4 0.1 1.3 0.1 25.4 23.0 15.6 15.4	3.0 0.8 2.2 0.5 41.7 19.5 22.2 30.1 29.8 0.3	5.7 0.7 5.0 36.4 5.7 30.7 42.9	Minn. 100.0	Mo. 100.0 11.3 10.1 0.6 30.8 13.2 17.6 35.8 34.6 1.3	0.7 0.7 0.7 10.2 4.1 6.1 3.4 2.0 0.7	1.4 1.3 0.1 1.4 53.8 40.8 13.0 18.5 11.1	100.0 10.2 5.0 5.2 87.1 86.9 0.2

TABLE 49.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY BY TRIAL COURTS OF GENERAL CRIMINAL JURISDICTION AND SENTENCED, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1934—Continued

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	ROAD	AND DRI LAWS	VING	PARKING VIOLATIONS	OTHER MOTOR-VEHICLE LAWS				
	Mo.	Pa.	Wis.	Wis.	Calif.	Mich.	Pa.	Wis.	
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
With money payment also		1.4			3.3	2.3	0.9	1.8	
Without money payment also		1.3			3.3	2.3	0.3	1.	
Institutions for juvenile delinquente only		0.5			0.8		0.5		
Local jails, workhouses, etc		27.4	1.2	0.1	24.2	9.2	20.5	7.	
With money payment also Without money psyment	16.4	17.7	0.3	0.1	20.0	9.2	9.8	1.	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		46.0	94.6	99.9	5.0	66.5	37.3	75.	
Fine with or without other payment	27.6	27.1	90.6	99.8	5.0	20.8	20.8	66.	
Costs only		16.1	3.8	0.1		45.7	15.0	9.	
Restitution or support order		2.9	0.2				1.5		
supervision	46.6	13.5	0.2		60.8	18.5	39.1	6.	
With money payment also	46.6	12.6	0.1		35.0	8.1	9.5	4.	
Without money payment		0.9	0.1		25.8	10.4	29.7	1.	
Probation or suspended sentence without supervision		10.6	2.3	0.1	5.8	3,5	1.5	7.	
Deeth penalty		10.6	2.0	0.1	3,0	3.0	1.0		
Other		0.4	1.8				0.2	0.	
					1				
	DISORDE	RLY CON	DUCT AN	D DRUNKENNES	S VAGR	ANCY	GAME	LING	
SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	Mich.	Mo.	Pa.	Wis.	19	is.	N.J.	Pa,	
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories (for									
sdults)	16.9	0.6	1.8	0.	3	0.7	0.3	0.:	
With money payment also	0.3		0.6		-			0.	
Without money payment	16.6	0.6	1.2	0.		0.7	0.3	0.	
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only Local jails, workhouses, stc		28.0	0.3	30.		37.5	26.2	0. 56.	
With money payment elso		15.2	6.0	5.			13.4	7.	
Without money payment	19.3	12.8	25.0	25.	0	37.5	12.8	48.	
Fines, costs, or other money payment only-		53.0	35.5	49.		4.5	29.0	14.	
Fine with or without other payment Coets only	15.4	48.2	26.5	47. 1.		4.5	27.3	9. 3.	
Restitution or support order		4.9	4.8	0.				1.	
Probation or suspended sentence with									
aupervision	28.6	11.6	27.7	4.		2.8	42.1	26.	
With money payment also	8.1 20.5	9.1	13.0	1.		2.6	40.2	4. 22.	
Probation or suspended sentence without	20.5	2.4	14.0	3.	1	2.0	1.3	22.	
supervision	3.9	3.7	3.6	12.	7	54.1	2.5	2.4	
Desth penaltyOther									
VINO P	0.6			2.	5	0.4			

APPENDIX

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS OF RHODE ISLAND AND VERMONT

In 1934, 7.165 defendants appeared before the district and superior courts of Rhode Island. The cases against 521 defendants, or 7.3 percent of the total, were pending at the end of the year. In Vermont, 5,333 defendants appeared before the county and municipal courts; and the cases of 163, or 3.1 percent of

the total, were pending at the end of the year.

Of the 6,644 defendants whose cases were finally disposed of in Rhode Island, 399, or 6 percent, were eliminations without conviction. Of these eli-Island, 399, or 6 percent, were eliminations without conviction. of these of minations, 170, or 42.6 percent, were by the prosecution; 177, or 44.4 percent, minations, 170, or 42.6 percent, were by the defense; and 48. or 12 percent, by the court on motion of the defense; and 48, or 12 percent,

were acquittals by jury. Acquittals by the court and other no penalty disposi-tions accounted for the remaining 4 defendants. In Vermont, 687 defendants, or 13.3 percent of the 5,170 finally disposed of, were eliminated without conviction. The largest number of these eliminations, 547, or 79.6 percent, were dismissals by the prosecution. In contrast to Rhode dismissals on motion of the defense accounted for only 3.5 percent of Island, the failures to convict. The balance of the defendants were eliminated through acquittals, by court and jury, failures to take into custody, and other dispositions.

Both in Rhode Island and Vermont practically all the convictions were of the offense as originally charged. Of the 6,245 convicted offenders, in Rhode Island, 5,882, or 94.2 percent, pleaded guilty. Only 360 defendants, or 5.8 percent of the total convicted, were found guilty by juries. Three defendants were convicted by the court in jury waived cases.

In Vermont, 4,274 defendants, or 95.3 percent of the 4,483 convicted, pleaded guilty; 143, or 3.2 percent of the total convicted, were found guilty in jury waived cases; and 66 defendants, or 1.5 percent of the total, were found guilty

by juries.
Formal trials played a secondary role in the disposition of criminal cases in both Rhode Island and Vermont. In Rhode Island, of the 408 defendants tried or 88.2 percent, were found guilty. Three of the 4 defendants in jury waived cases were convicted.

DEFENDANTS FINALLY DISPOSED OF, IN RHODE ISLAND AND VERMONT: 1934

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	RHODE IS	SLAND	VERMONT		
TROUBUSED VOICED	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Defendants disposed of	6,644	100.1	5,170	100.0	
Disposed of without conviction	399	6.0	687	13.3	
Dismissed by prosecution	170	2.6	547	10.6	
Dismissed on motion of defense	177	2.7	24	0.5	
Jury waived, acquitted by court	1		31	0.6	
Acquitted by jury	48	0.7	32	0.6	
Never in custody			2		
Other no penalty dispositions	3		51	1.0	
ound guilty of offence charged	6,242	93.9	4.476	86.6	
Plee guilty	5,881	88.5	4,267	82.5	
Jury waived, court finds guilty	3		143	2.8	
Jury verdict guilty	358	5.4	66	1.3	
ound guilty of lesser offense	3		7	0.1	
Ples guilty	1		7	0.1	
Jury waived, court finds guilty					
Jury verdict guilty	2				

Vermont had 98 jury cases, and 174 jury waived cases. In the jury cases 66 defendants, or 67.3 percent of the total, were convicted. Of the defendants tried in jury waived cases, 145, or 82.2 percent, were convicted.

Both Vermont and Rhode Island sentenced over half of the convicted defendants to fines, costs, and money payment. Vermont, however, made a more extensive use of the local jail and workhouse than Rhode Island. Of the defendants convicted in Vermont,32.4 percent were sentenced to local jails and workhouses, as compared with 15.2 percent in Rhode Island. Only 7 percent of Vermont's defendants, however, received probation or suspended sentences, as compared with 21.1 percent of Rhode Island's.

DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED, IN RHODE ISLAND AND VERMONT: 1934

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	RHODE IS	SLAND	VERMONT		
3	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total defendants sentenced	6,245	100.0	4,483	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories	179 14 947 3,522 973 344 266	2.9 0.2 15.2 56.4 15.6 5.5	275 42 1,454 2,384 311 3	6.1 0.9 32.4 53.4 6.9 0.1	

APPENDIX 117

OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION ADOPTED IN 1932 FOR USE IN THE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, AND THE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

1-A. MURDER

Include all degrees of murder. Exclude assaults with intent to kill; exclude attempt to commit murder (see 3-A, Aggravated Assault).

1-B. MANSLAUGHTER

Include all degrees of manslaughter; exclude assaults with intent to kill and attempts at manslaughter (see 3-A, Aggravated Assault).

2. ROBBERY

Include all offenses in which property is taken from the person or immediate presence of another through means of force or violence or by putting in fear. Examples are robbery armed, highway robbery, bank robbery, holdups, etc. Include assaults with intent to rob; include attempts to commit robbery.

3-A. AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

Include assaults and attempted assaults which might well have resulted in severe bodily injury to the victim, or in death. For example, assault with intent to kill; poisoning; mayhem; maiming; assaults with a dangerous or deadly weapon; with explosives; obstructing railroads; assaults by shooting, stabbing, cutting, scalding, use of acids, and similar offenses. Exclude such assaults in connection with robbery, burglary, rape, or other specific offense.

3-B. OTHER ASSAULT

Include assaults and attempted assaults which are not of an aggravated nature and which accordingly do not belong in 3-A, Aggravated Assault. Examples are simple assault; assault and battery; intimidation; hazing; wife beating; pointing gun in jest; drawing dangerous weapon; resisting or obstructing an officer, unless under circumstances which place the offense under 3-A, Aggravated Assault.

4. BURGLARY--BREAKING OR ENTERING

Include all offenses wherein any building or structure is broken into or entered with the intention of committing a felony or any larceny therein at any time, either day or night. Include assaults with intent to commit burglary, and attempts to commit burglary. Exclude making, possessing, etc.; burglars' tools. These offenses are placed in Class 15.

5-A. LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT

Include offenses of stealing which are committed under circumstances not amounting to robbery or burglary. Examples are pocket picking, shoplifting, and other stealing of personal goods other than by force or violence or putting in fear. Include attempts to commit such offenses. Exclude auto theft (see 5-B, Auto Theft). Exclude fraudulent conversion of property entrusted, and obtaining by false pretenses (see 5-C, Embezzlement and Fraud).

5-B. AUTO THEFT

Include all offenses in which the vehicle of another is stolen, or is driven away and abandoned by someone not having lawful access thereto. Include attempts at auto theft.

5-C. EMBEZZLEMENT AND FRAUD

Include all offenses of fraudulent conversion, embezzlement, and obtaining money or property by false pretenses. For example, embezzlement, fraud, confidence game, fraudulent conversion, false pretense, gross fraud, cheating or swindling, check frauds, drawing checks without funds, fraudulent use of telegram or telephone messages, insurance frauds, use of false weights and measures, false advertising. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

5-D. STOLEN PROPERTY

Include buying, receiving, possessing, and attempts to buv, receive, or possess.

6. FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING

Include all offenses relating to the making, altering, uttering, or possessing, with intent to defraud, anything false which is made to appear as true. For example, altering or forging public and other records; making, altering, forging or counterfeiting bills, notes, drafts, tickets, checks, etc.; forging wills, deeds, notes, bonds, seals, trade-marks, etc.; possessing or uttering forged or counterfeited instruments; false signature with intent to defraud; possession, etc., of counterfeiting apparatus; using forged labels; selling goods with altered, forged, or counterfeited trade-marks. Include attempts.

7-A. RAPE

Include such offenses as rape; rape with consent; assault with intent to rape; etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

7-B. PROSTITUTION AND COMMERCIALIZED VICE

Include such offenses as prostitution, keeping bawdy or disorderly house or nouse of ill fame, pandering, procuring, transporting, or detaining women for immoral purposes, etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

7-C. OTHER SEX OFFENSES

Include such offenses as adultery, fornication, and lewd and lascivious cohabitation; buggery; incest; indecent exposure; indecent liberties; seductions; sodomy or crime against nature; etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses. Exclude violations of marriage laws; exclude also abortion and bastardy. These offenses are to be placed in Class 15.

8. VIOLATIONS OF NARCOTIC DRUG LAWS

Include all offenses relating to narcotic drugs; e.g., unlawful possession, sale, etc., of narcotics; keeping or frequenting opium dens; habitual users. Include attempts. Exclude violations of pure food and drug acts (see 15).

9. CARRYING, ETC., DEADLY WEAPONS

Include all regulatory offenses concerning weapons; e.g., manufacture, sale, or possession of deadly weapons, carrying deadly weapons; using, manufacturing, etc., silencers; furnishing deadly weapons to minors. Include all attempts.

10. NONSUPPORT OR NEGLECT OF FAMILY OR CHILDREN

Include offenses of nonsupport, neglect, or abuse of family and children, such as desertion, abandonment, or nonsupport of wife or child.

11. VIOLATIONS OF LIQUOR LAWS

Include liquor law violations, such as illegal manufacturing, selling, transporting, furnishing, and possessing intoxicating liquor; maintaining unlawful drinking places; bootlegging; operating a still, etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses. Exclude driving while intoxicated (see 12-A). Exclude public intoxication and drunkenness (see 13-A).

APPENDIX

119

12-A. DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

Include driving or operating any motor vehicle while drunk or under the influence of liquor or narcotics.

12-B. VIOLATIONS OF ROAD AND DRIVING LAWS

Include violations of regulations with respect to handling of motor vehicle when in motion. Examples: Failure to obey traffic signal; failure to signal; improper speed; reckless driving; operating with unsafe equipment, etc. Exclude 12-A, Driving While Intoxicated.

12-C. PARKING VIOLATIONS

Include all violations of parking ordinances. The emphasis here is upon situations in which the car is not in motion.

12-D. OTHER VIOLATIONS OF MOTOR VEHICLE LAWS

Include offenses not covered in 12-A, 12-B, or 12-C. Examples: Improper license for car or driving; leaving scene of or failure to report accident; lack of title; obscured or defective markers; misrepresentation of ownership or license, etc. Exclude 5-B, Auto Theft.

13-A. DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND DRUNKENNESS

Include such offenses, or attempted offenses, as breach of peace; disturbing the peace; unlawful assembly; disguised or masked person; blasphemy, profanity, and obscene language; drunk and disorderly; drunkards; public intoxication. Exclude operating, while intoxicated, motor vehicle on highways (see 12-A).

13-B. VAGRANCY

Include such offenses as vagrancy; begging; loitering; vagabondage, etc.

14. GAMBLING

Include offenses of promoting, permitting, or engaging in gambling. Examples are: Keeping gambling devices; common gambler; owning and frequenting a gambling resort; lotteries; gambling in any manner. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

15. ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Include all offenses for which provision has not been made in Classes I to 14, inclusive. A few illustrations are: Violation of marriage laws, such as bigamy, abduction, and compelling to marry, marriage within prohibited degree, miscegenation, etc.; offenses contributing to juvenile delinquency (except as provided for in Classes I to 14, inclusive), such as employment in immoral vocations or practices, admitting minors to improper places, etc.; violations of fish and game laws; violations of Sunday laws; violations of labor and factory inspection laws; violations of health measures affecting pure foods and drugs, sanitation, quarantine, etc.; arson, bombing, and other malicious injury to property; trespass; violations of explosives regulations; improper operation of instruments of transportation (other than motor vehicles); blackmail and extortion; bribery; perjury and subornation of perjury; contempt of court; criminal anarchism or syndicalism; displaying red or black flag; rioting; kidnaping; abortion; bastardy; possession or sale of obscene literature; manufacture or possession of burglars' tools: unlawfully bringing weapons, liquor, or drugs into prisons or hospitals; discrimination; unfair competition; etc.









